New information on *Riograndia guaibensis* Bonaparte, Ferigolo & Ribeiro, 2001 (Eucynodontia, Tritheledontidae) from the Late Triassic of southern Brazil: anatomical and biostratigraphic implications

MARINA B. SOARES, CESAR L. SCHULTZ and BRUNO L.D. HORN

Departamento de Paleontologia e Estratigrafia, Instituto de Geociências, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul
Av. Bento Gonçalves, 9500, Caixa Postal 15.001, 91501-970 Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil

Manuscript received on December 20, 2010; accepted for publication on January 24, 2011

ABSTRACT

The tritheledontid *Riograndia guaibensis* was the first cynodont described for the “Caturrita Formation” fauna from the Late Triassic of southern Brazil (Santa Maria 2 Sequence). The type materials did not preserve anatomical information regarding braincase, occiput, basicranium, zygomatic arch, postdentary bones and craniomandibular joint. Here new materials are described and supply the missing information. *Riograndia* shows a suite of important anatomical features quite derived among the non-mammaliaform eucynodonts, such as the partial closure of the medial orbital wall and braincase, extensive secondary osseous palate, wide primary palate, basicranium with jugular foramen separated from the periphery of fenestra rotunda, narrow zygomatic arch and much reduced postdentary bones. Many of these features constitute synapomorphies shared only with the other members of mammaliaform. Thus, the almost complete cranial, mandibular and dental information from the new fossils of *Riograndia* can bring a significant improve in the understanding of the anatomy and phylogenetic relationships of the tritheledontids and help to elucidate the transformational steps involved in the cynodont-mammal transition. Additionally, *Riograndia* is a key taxon in refining the “Caturrita Formation” biostratigraphy, enabling the connection of several fossiliferous outcrops that have a rich tetrapod fauna that can be correlated with other Triassic faunas from Gondwana and Laurasia.
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INTRODUCTION

The upper Triassic beds of southern Brazil assigned as “Caturrita Formation” (e.g. Andreis et al. 1980) or the “upper portion of the Santa Maria 2 Sequence” (Zerfas et al. 2003) became renowned for their primitive dinosaur containing, represented by the prosauropod *Unaysaurus tolentinoi* Leal, Azevedo, Kellner & Da Rosa, 2003, and the theropod (*sensu* Langer et al. 2009) *Guisa-basaurus candelariensis* Bonaparte, Ferigolo & Ribeiro, 1999. Other than these dinosaurs, these beds also produce the dinozauriform *Sacisaurus agudoensis* Ferigolo & Langer, 2006, the dicynodont *Jachaleria candelariensis* Araújo & Gonzaga, 1980, an indeterminate phytosaur (Lucas and Kischlat 2003), isolated teeth of archosaurs (Dornelles 1990) and a stereospondyl amphibian (Dias-da-Silva et al. 2009). However, the most surprising findings from these layers are related with a new and extremely rich association of small tetrapods, which are less than 15 cm length. This fauna has yielded the procolophonid *Soturnia callodon* Cisneros & Schultz, 2003, the sphenodontid *Clevosaurus brasiliensis* Bonaparte & Sues, 2006, the lepidosaur *Cargninia enigmatica* Bonaparte, Schultz, Soares & Martinelli, 2010, the pterosaur *Faxinalipterus minima* Bonaparte, Schultz, Soares & Martinelli, 2010, and five taxa of advanced non-mammaliaform cynodonts (*sensu* Abdala 2007).
Among these, *Riograndia guaibensis* Bonaparte, Ferigolo & Ribeiro, 2001 was the first one reported, followed by *Brasilodon quadangularis*, *Brasiliatherium riograndidens* Bonaparte, Martellini, Schultz & Rubert, 2003, *Irajatherium hernandezi* Martellini, Bonaparte, Schultz & Rubert, 2005, and *Minicynodon maieri* Bonaparte, Schultz, Soares & Martellini, 2010. We judge important to emphasize here that, unlike Liu and Olsen (2010), we follow the view of Bonaparte et al. (2003, 2005, 2010) who consider *Brasilodon* and *Brasiliatherium* different from each other and, therefore, as valid taxa. This whole fauna indicates a Norian age for the layers where it occurs (see Geological Setting and Biostratigraphy section).

Previous knowledge about *Riograndia guaibensis* is based on the description of the holotype MCN-PV-2264, represented by an incomplete skull with dentition, and its related materials, which consist of a left mandible with dentition, but lacking post dentary bones (MCN-PV2265), and a fragmented right mandible with three postcanines teeth (MCN-PV2271) (Bonaparte et al. 2001) (Fig. 1). Bonaparte et al. (2001) recognized several synapomorphies shared by *Riograndia* and the “ictidosaur” (or Trihedralontidae sensu Hopson and Kitching 1972), but proposed the monotypic family *Riograndidae* to include the only species *Riograndia guaibensis*.

The type series of *Riograndia* (Bonaparte et al. 2001) did not provide anatomical information on the orbital wall, braincase, basicranium, primary palate, occiput, zygomatic arch, postdental bones and cranio-mandibular joint. Fortunately, new and more complete materials of *Riograndia* were collected, supplying the missing information concerning these anatomical regions. The new materials include four well-preserved skulls with teeth and several mandibular specimens with teeth, two of them with the postdental bones preserved. It is noteworthy that although many postcranial elements have been found in field works, none of them can be confidently assigned to *Riograndia*. These new cranial, mandibular and dental materials show important derived features, many of them suggesting a closer affinity among *Riograndia, Pachygenelus* (and other Trihedralontids) and Mammaliaformes (sensu Rowe 1988, Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004, Luo 2007).

Although considered by many authors (Hopson and Barghusen 1986, Hopson 1991, Crompton and Luo 1993, Luo 1994, Hopson and Kitching 2001, Luo et al. 2002, Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004) as being the sister group of mammals, the “Ictidosaur” or Trihedralontidae are normally represented by poorly preserved...
and incomplete specimens. Thus, the cranial, mandibular and dental information from the new specimens of *Riograndia* should greatly improve our understanding of the anatomy of the Trietheledontidae, should help to elucidate the intermediate transformational steps in the cynodont-mammal transition, and provides new data to unravel the interrelationships within Cynodontia.

Martinelli et al. (2005) showed *Riograndia* to be the most basal taxon within Trietheledontidae (Fig. 2a), while Martinelli and Rougier (2007) placed *Riograndia* as the most basal taxon of the monophyletic Ictidosauria Clade, and as sister taxon of the most inclusive Trietheledontidae, composed by *Irajatherium*, *Chaliminia*, *Elliotherium*, *Pachygenelus*, *Diarthrognathus*, and *Trietheledon* (Fig. 2b). Recently, Liu and Olsen (2010) used, among the trietheledontids, only *Riograndia* and *Pachygenelus* in their analysis and did not recognize Trietheledonta as a monophyletic group (Fig. 2c). Nevertheless, the authors support the close relationship between *Riograndia* and *Pachygenelus*. They emphasize that the possibility of a monophyletic Ictidosauria (*sensu* Martinelli and Rougier 2007) group cannot be excluded and needs additional tests. In any case, *Riograndia* is closely related to other trietheledontids (or trietheledontians) than other non-mammaliaform cynodonts; the new materials presented here reinforce this phylogenetic hypothesis. In this paper we adopted the view of Martinelli et al. (2005), who place *Riograndia* as the most basal Trietheledontidae.

*Riograndia* also plays an important role in the enlightenment of the biostratigraphic context of the Upper Triassic sequence from southern Brazil, because this non-mammaliaform cynodont is commonly represented in the faunal association of the “Caturrita Formation”, both in number of individuals and in wide geographic distribution.

**SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY**

**THERAPSIDA** Broom, 1905

**CYNODONTIA** Owen, 1861

**EUCYNODONTIA** Kemp, 1982

**PROBAINOGNATHIA** Hopson, 1990

**TRIETHELEDONTIDAE** Broom, 1912

*Riograndia* Bonaparte, Ferigolo & Ribeiro, 2001

**Riograndia guaibensis**

Bonaparte, Ferigolo & Ribeiro, 2001

**Holotype:** MCN-PV2264, anterior portion of the skull, from the tip of snout to the fronto-parietal contact, with complete dentition.

**Hypodigm:** MCN-PV2265, an almost complete lower jaw with complete dentition lacking the postdentary bones and MCN-PV2271, fragment of the middle portion of right lower jaw, with three postcanines.

**Referred specimens:** UFRGS-PV-0569-T, almost complete skull lacking zygomatic arches occluding with the lower jaws and teeth; UFRGS-PV-0601-T, almost complete skull lacking zygomatic arches, with incomplete dentition; UFRGS-PV-0833-T, skull in palatal view with teeth and a left mandibular ramus with postdentary bones; UFRGS-PV-0622-T, left dentary with teeth in lingual view; UFRGS-PV-0623-T, right mandibular ramus with teeth, preserved in buccal view; UFRGS-PV-0624-T, right mandibular ramus with part of the postdentary bones and teeth; UNISINOS-4881, anterior portion of skull with teeth; UFRGS-PV-0788-T, fragment of a left maxilla with the canine and seven postcanines; UFRGS-PV-01802-T, isolated canine tooth; UFRGS-PV-01062-T, isolated incisor tooth; UFRGS-PV-0842-T and MCN-PV10204, isolated postcanine teeth.

**Locality and horizon:** The specimens MCN-PV2264, MCN-PV2265, MCN-PV2271, UFRGS-PV-0596-T and UFRGS-PV-0601-T are from Sesmario da Pinhal 1 outcrop (Candelária municipality); UFRGS-PV-0624-T, UFRGS-PV-0833-T, UFRGS-PV-0842-T and UNISINOS-4881 are from Linha São Luiz outcrop (Faxinal do Soturno municipality). The specimens UFRGS-PV-01062-T and MCN-PV10204 are from Botucarai outcrop (Candelária municipality) and *Sacisaurus* Site (Agudo municipality, respectively). These outcrops are located approximately 200 km west from Porto Alegre,
Fig. 2 – Partial cladograms for Eucynodontia showing the relationships between Riograndia and the other Mammaliamorpha (sensu Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004, Luo 2007). (a) adapted from Martinelli et al. (2005); (b) adapted from Martinelli and Rougier (2007); (c) adapted from Liu and Olsen (2010).

capital of Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil. “Caturrita Formation” (Santa Maria 2 Sequence), Norian age (see Geological Setting and Biostratigraphy).

Emended diagnosis: small tritheledontid (sensu Martinelli et al. 2005) with the combination of the following derived characters: three upper and three lower incisors; reduced upper incisor 1 and hypertrophied lower incisor 1; upper canines larger than the lower ones; postcanines blade-like with 5-9 small, sharp and subequal cuspules evenly distributed on the almost semicircular border of the crown in the upper postcanine crown and in the posterodorsal border of the lower ones; postcanine teeth forming an angle with the long axis of the palate; elongated septomaxilla bordering the posterior margin of the external nares; frontal with an anterolateral projection contacting the nasal medially; absence of prefrontal; postorbital bar absent; dorsoventrally deep lacrimal; contact of the ventral process of frontal with the ascending process of palatine; absence of prefrontal; postorbital bar absent; dorsoventrally deep lacrimal; contact of the ventral process of frontal with the ascending process of palatine; sphenopalatine foramen bordered by the ascending process of palatine; ossified orbitosphenoid; weak anterior portion of the zygomatic arch; dorsoventrally narrow zygomatic arch; wider amplitude of the zygomatic arch at the half of the arch; quadrate suspended by the squamosal; premaxilla bordering the posterior margin of the incisive foramen; maxilla participating of the anterior margin of the subtemporal fossae in palatal view; maxilla excluded from the ventral margin of the subtemporal fossa by the jugal in lateral view; secondary osseous palate extending posteriorly to the last postcanine; presence of the lesser palatine foramina in the secondary osseous palate; wide primary palate; intermediate pterygopalatine ridges reaching the basisphenoid; broad interpterygoid vacuities; basisphenoid wing excluded from the margin of the fenestra ovalis; jugular foramen separated from the fenestra rotunda; two hypoglossal foramina outside the margin of the jugal foramen; prootic and opisthotic unfused; ascending process of the alisphenoid moderately expanded; open pterygoparoccipital foramen; postdentary bones reduced in a rod-like bar; denticate symphysial unfused; the articular process of the dentary in contact with the squamosal.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND BIOSTRATIGRAPHY

Several specimens of Riograndia were collected from four outcrops (Fig. 3) of Rio Grande do Sul State, southern Brazil, making possible to attempt the temporal correlation among them.

This taxon occurs predominantly in the Linha São Luiz outcrop (29°33′29″ S; 53°26′55″ W), located near Faxinal do Soturno city and in Sesmaria do Pinhal 1 outcrop (29°41′08.5″ S; 52°50′45″ W), near Candelária city. An isolated first lower incisor (UFRGS-PV-01062-T), diagnostic of Riograndia, was found in the Botucarai outcrop (29°40′53″ S; 52°50′28″ W), also located near Candelária city, and another postcanine tooth (MCN-PV10204) was collected in the Sactisaurus site, in Agudo city (19°43′12″ S; 47°45′04″ W).

All these fossiliferous outcrops were formerly included in the so-called “Caturrita Member” of the Botucatu Formation (sensu Bortoluzzi 1974) or in the “Catur-
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Fig. 3 – Location map and stratigraphic correlation of the four fossiliferous outcrops where *Riograndia guaibensis* occurs.

Zerfass et al. (2003) refined this allostratigraphic framework, establishing two second-order supersequences for the south Brazilian Triassic (Fig. 4). The upper one, named Santa Maria Supersequence (Middle-Late Triassic) was divided into three third-order sequences, numbered from 1 to 3, which correspond to the Sequence II of U.F. Faccini (unpublished data). The Santa Maria 2 Sequence (*sensu* Zerfass et al. 2003) consists of a coarsening-upward succession that begins...
The Hyperodapedon portion of the Santa Maria 2 Sequence encompasses a lacustrine-deltaic depositional system. This basal portion of the Santa Maria 2 Sequence encompasses the Hyperodapedon Assemblage Zone (sensu Abdala et al. 2001), in which the most abundant components are the rhynchosaur Hyperodapedon and the traversodontid cynodont Exaeretodon. They permit to correlate these levels with those of the Ischigualasto Formation from Argentina, whose basal layer was dated as 230.3-231.4 ± 0.3 My (Rogers et al. 1993, Furin et al. 2006, Martinez et al. 2011).

Upwards, the sandstone content of the Santa Maria 2 Sequence increases. The arenaceous layers occur as narrow, massive or stratified (horizontal and trough cross bedding) lenses interpreted as amalgamated sandstone bodies related to high width/depth ratio channels. This succession is interpreted as the progressive replacement of a lacustrine basin by a fluvial system.

H. Zerfass (personal communication) does not exclude the hypothesis that the upper layers of the Santa Maria 2 Sequence could in fact constitute another depositional sequence, but more detailed stratigraphic work in the area is needed to test this hypothesis, once the currently available evidences do not suggest any significant depositional gap inside this package. Nowadays, seven fossiliferous outcrops are assigned to the upper portion of the Santa Maria 2 Sequence in the Rio Grande do Sul State, as shown in the Table I.

The individualization of a biostratigraphic unit for the upper portion of the Santa Maria 2 Sequence was first proposed by C.M.S. Scherer (unpublished data) who have recognized that the Botucarai outcrop, from which were recovered the dicynodonts, Brasilitherium and Brasilodon, has been dated as 230.3-231.4 ± 0.3 My (Rogers et al. 1993, Furin et al. 2006, Martinez et al. 2011).

Some years later, other outcrops were found near the Botucarai Outcrop, and in Aguado and Faxinal do Soturno cities (ca. 100 km west from the Botucarai region). These outcrops correspond to the upper levels of the Santa Maria 2 Sequence (sensu Zerfass et al. 2003), and have produced the dinosaur Guaibasaurus candelariensis (Bonaparte et al. 1999, 2006) and small vertebrates. Based on these new discoveries, especially on the abundant materials of the “ictidosaur” Riograndia (Bonaparte et al. 2001), Rubert and Schultz (2004) proposed a formal biostratigraphic name – Ictidosauria Assemblage Zone – to replace the “Jachaleria Interval”. Further, this denomination was changed to Mammaliamorph Assemblage Zone by Schultz and Soares (2006) based on the argument that this last name better reflects the phylogenetic status of the small non-mammaliaform cynodonts (e.g. Riograndia, Irajatherium, Brasilodon, Brasilitherium) of the upper levels of the Santa Maria 2 Sequence.

Langer et al. (2007) discussed the possible correlations of this Assemblage Zone, claiming that “the whole fauna might be intermediate between those sampled at localities of La Chilca and La Esquina, both from Los Colorados Formation, Argentina (Bonaparte 1982, Abdala et al. 2001), including the earliest records of certain clevosaurid, tritheledontian and leptopleurine clades. Alternatively, it might congregate temporally separate assemblages. Given their separated occurrences and based on their phylogenetic affinities, Jachaleria candelariensis and Sacisaurus agudoensis would be assigned to an older fauna, while Irajatherium hernandezii and Cleosaurus brasilienis would characterize a younger one. In this case, forms that occur together with most of these taxa, such as Riograndia and brasileo-dontid cynodonts would have longer temporal ranges, occurring along that entire time interval”. Moreover, the presence of the prosauropod Unaysaurus does not allow the correlation between São Martinho da Serra outcrop (Unaysaurus Site) with those of Faxinal do Soturno and Candelária, due to lack of similar faunal elements, but
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Fig. 4 – Comparative chart showing six stratigraphic proposes for the Brazilian Triassic. *Riograndia guaibensis* occurs in the lower portion of the Caturrita Formation (*sensu* Andreis et al. 1980) or in the upper portion of the Santa Maria 2 Sequence (*sensu* Zerfass et al. 2003). Fm., Formation; JUR, Jurassic; Mb, member; My, millions of years; PER, Permian; *s.s.*, *sensu stricto*. Modified from Scherer et al. (2000). Geological Time Scale based on Gradstein and Ogg (2004).

### TABLE I

Fossil record from the Santa Maria 2 Sequence, Upper Triassic of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcrop</th>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>Fossiliferous content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Botucaru</td>
<td>Candelária</td>
<td><em>Jachaleria</em>, indeterminate phytosaur, stereospondyl amphibian, archosaur teeth, <em>Guaibasaurus</em> (?), <em>Riograndia</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesmaria do Pinhal 1</td>
<td>Candelária</td>
<td><em>Clevosaurus</em>, <em>Brasiliodon</em>, <em>Brasilitherium</em>, <em>Irajatherium</em>, <em>Riograndia</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesmaria do Pinhal 2</td>
<td>Candelária</td>
<td><em>Guaibasaurus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesmaria do Pinhal 3</td>
<td>Candelária</td>
<td>indeterminate archosaur, <em>Brasilitherium</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linha São Luiz</td>
<td>Fraxinal do Soturno</td>
<td><em>Clevosaurus</em>, <em>Soturnia</em>, <em>Cargninia</em>, <em>Faxinalipterus</em>, <em>Guaibasaurus</em>, <em>Brasiliodon</em>, <em>Brasilitherium</em>, <em>Minicydon</em>, <em>Irajatherium</em>, <em>Riograndia</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaysaurus Site</td>
<td>Agudo</td>
<td><em>Suxisaurus</em>, <em>Brasilitherium</em>, <em>Riograndia</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaysaurus Site</td>
<td>São Martinho da Serra</td>
<td><em>Unaysaurus</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

suggests a Norian age (Langer et al. 2007).

Following this point of view, Abdala and Ribeiro (2010) used the denomination *Riograndia* Assemblage Zone (AZ) to include the faunas of the outcrops Sesmaria do Pinhal 1, Sesmaria do Pinhal 2 and Linha São Luiz, not including the faunas of the other outcrops above listed (Table I). According to these authors, the *Riograndia* AZ could be correlated with the lower fauna of the Los Colorados Formation (e.g. *Jachaleria colorata*), thus been interpreted as Norian, Late Norian or Rhaetic in age.

As above discussed, the hypothesis that the upper layers of the Santa Maria 2 Sequence constitute a distinct depositional sequence cannot be excluded, but there...
is no strong stratigraphic evidence for a significant discordance between this sequence and the underlying sequences that include the Hyperodapedon AZ. Besides, if a stratigraphic gap inside the Santa Maria 2 Sequence occurs, it is likely to be positioned below Jachaleria and Sacisaurus, but not between these layers and the Riograndia AZ (sensu Abdala and Ribeiro, 2010). Moreover, if the levels containing Jachaleria (as well as the phytosaur and the stereospondyl amphibian) and Sacisaurus, whose outcrops revealed also teeth of Riograndia and Brasilitherium (Ferigolo and Langer 2006), represent a fauna with a distinct age from that of the Riograndia AZ, the choice of this taxon as a guide to name this biozone is not so suitable.

In this paper we preferred to assume that the whole fauna of the upper layers of the Santa Maria 2 Sequence constitutes a different faunal association from that occurring in the lower part of the sequence (Hyperodapedon AZ) and that of the most representative taxon of this fauna, which is Riograndia guaibensis. A synthesis of our biostratigraphic opinion regarding the biostratigraphy of the South Brazilian Triassic is presented in Figure 5.

**DESCRIPTION AND COMPARATIVE ANATOMY**

For purposes of description, only the anatomical regions that were not mentioned by Bonaparte et al. (2001) will be presented. Also, the reinterpretation of some elements not so clear in the holotype was possible thanks to the analysis of the new materials.

**MEDIAL ORBITAL WALL**

The medial orbital wall of Riograndia was described by Bonaparte et al. (2001). However, some new information on the ascending process of palatine and orbitosphenoid was provided by the specimens UFRGS-PV-0596-T and UFRGS-PV-0601-T.

**Palatine** (Fig. 7a, b, c, d). In the medial orbital wall, the ascending process of the palatine contacts the lacrimal anteriorly and the frontal dorsally. This is very similar to that of Diarthrognathus (Crompton 1958), Ellistothe-rium (Sidor and Hancox 2006) Theroioherpeton, Prozostrodon (Bonaparte and Barberena 2001), Brasilodon (Bonaparte et al. 2003), Sinoconodon (Crompton and Luo 1993) and Morganucodon (Kermack et al. 1981).

Although this pattern is a derived condition among non-mammaliaform cynodonts, the palate of Riograndia does not reach the development degree of tritylodontids, in which this bone is wider and contributes for the total closure of the medial orbital wall. The sphenopalatine foramen (not reported by Bonaparte et al. 2001) is enclosed by the ascending process of palatine, at the level of sixth postcanine (UFRGS-PV-0596-T). This foramen is for the passage of the major palate nerves and vessels to the palate and the caudal nasal nerves and vessels to the nasal cavity (Wible et al. 2004). Among the non-mammaliaform cynodonts, mentions about the sphenopalatine foramen are scarce. Bonaparte and Barberena (2001) described that this opening is completely enclosed by the ascending process of palatine in the medial orbital wall of Prozostrodon, and the same pattern is observed in the tritylodontids (e.g. Bienotheroides, Sun 1984; Tritylodon, Rowe 1988; Kayentatherium, Luo 1994) and Sinoconodon (Wible 1991, Crompton and Luo 1993). In another way, Bonaparte et al. (2003) described the sphenopalatine foramen in Brasilodon, which was placed between the ascending process of palatine and orbitosphenoid as in Morganucodon.

**Orbitosphenoid.** No reference on the orbitosphenoid was made by Bonaparte et al. (2001) because this structure was not preserved in the holotype. None of the new materials shows evidence of an ossified orbitosphenoid, but Rodrigues et al. (2006) have confirmed the presence of this element in two specimens of Riograndia (UFRGS-PV-0596-T and UFRGS-0601-T) through a comparative investigation using C.T. Scanning method. According to Rodrigues et al. (2006), the orbitosphenoid of Riograndia is less developed than that of Prozostrodon (UFRGS-PV-0248-T) and Brasilitherium (UFRGS-PV-0760-T), but none of these taxa reaches the ossification degree of the tritylodontids and mammaliaforms, in which this element forms the floor of the anterior portion of the braincase (Kielen-Jaworowska et al. 2004).

**LATERAL WALL OF BRAINCASE**

Only the specimen UFRGS-PV-0601-T (poorly preserved) exhibits the alisphenoid and the prootic anterior lamina. Additional information is provided by UFRGS-PV-0596-T.
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**Fig. 5** – Biostratigraphic chart of terrestrial Triassic faunas from Gondwana showing the **Riograndia AZ**. **Dinodontosaurus AZ** (*sensu* Barberena et al. 1985), **Santacruzodon AZ** (*sensu* Abdala and Ribeiro 2010); **Hyperodapedon AZ** (*sensu* Abdala et al. 2001). Ans, Anisian; AZ, Assemblage Zone; Crn, Carnian; Ind, Induan; Lad, Ladinian; Mad, Madagascar; Nor, Norian; Ol, Olenekian; Rhi, Rhaetic; S. Africa, South Africa. Modified from Abdala and Ribeiro (2010). Geological Time Scale based on Gradstein and Ogg (2004).

**Parietal** (Fig. 6a, b, c). The sutural relationships of the ventral border of the parietal with the elements of the braincase can be better observed in the specimen UFRGS-PV-0601-T. In lateral view, posterior to the contact with the frontal, the parietal contacts the alisphenoid and the prootic ventrally. Despite the sutures are not clear, it can be inferred that, from the frontal-parietal contact, the parietal delineates a descending bend that covers the dorsal margin of the alisphenoid and the prootic until it reaches the squamosal. Along the ventral margin of the parietal, in contact with the prootic and the alisphenoid, a narrow and shallow open groove is placed. The groove is not well defined in its origin, but its posterior end appears to be near the position of the pterygoparoccipital foramen (in the prootic). The position of this groove suggests that it corresponds to the sinus canal or orbitotemporal canal, which passes the ramus suprarotalis of the stapedial artery. **Riograndia** shares the pleisiomorphic condition, an opened orbitotemporal canal, with other non-mammaliaform cynodonts (Wible and Hopson 1993) excepting tritylodontids (Hopson 1994).

**Squamosal** (Fig. 6a, b, c). The cranial area comprised by the squamosal is very restricted. In the posterior-most portion of the temporal region, the squamosal contacts the anterior lamina of the prootic anteriorly and the parietal dorsally. Its anterodorsal limit is situated at the level of the anterior opening of the posttemporal canal, which is placed in the prootic-squamosal suture. Posteriorly, the squamosal borders dorsally on the obliquely descending parietal. There is no evidence of a place to the articulation with the quadrate-jugal in the squamosal of **Riograndia**. The remains from the glenoid in the UFRGS-PV-0596-T permit to observe that it is...
a concave area, more anteriorly rather than ventrally directed, resembling that of Pachygenelus (Luo and Crompton 1994), Sinocodon (Crompton and Luo 1993) and Hadrocodium (Luo et al. 2001).

**Quadrates** (Fig. 6a, b). In the holotype of Riograndia (Bonaparte et al. 2001) the quadrate is not preserved. The information of this element comes from a fragment of right quadrate, which is displaced from its natural position, of UFRGS-PV-0596-T. When observed in anterior or posterior view, the quadrate shows a triangular shape, resembling that of Probainognathus (Romer 1970), but being slimmer. In Riograndia, the trochar area is less expanded than that of Probainognathus, a fact that gives an elongated aspect for the quadrate that is unusual among non-mammaliaform cynodonts. The trochar presents a cylindrical shape and its convex articular facet contacts the concave area of the articular bone. In its ventral surface, the trochar bears a pair of elongated condyles, the medial trochar condyle, and the lateral one, which is wider than the former as in Procynosuchus (Kemp 1979), Thrinaxodon (Fourth 1974), Probainognathus (Romer 1970), among others. A lateral notch is situated above the lateral trochar condyle, which separates the trochar of the lateral margin of the articular facet of the dorsal plate without forming a neck, as in Thrinaxodon and Probainognathus. The dorsal plate of Riograndia’s quadrate shows a sharper dorsal area than in Pachygenelus and Morganucodon (whose quadrate exhibits a rounded dorsal margin), resembling more the pattern of Probainognathus. The articular facet of the dorsal plate is concave as in Probainognathus, Massetognathus, tritylodontids, Pachygenelus and Morganucodon (Luo and Crompton 1994). Differing from Probainognathus, in which the quadrate articulates with the alisphenoid, the quadrate of Riograndia is exclusively suspended by the squamosal as in the tritylodontids Diarthrognathus and Pachygenelus (Crompton 1958, Crompton and Hylander 1986, Allin and Hopson 1992, Luo 1994, Luo and Crompton 1994). Moreover, the quadrate of Riograndia was not covered by the squamosal in lateral view, as in Diarthrognathus, Chiniquodon and traversodontids; this bone is exposed as in Probainognathus, which would provide a better mobility (Romer 1970). There is no stapedial process in the quadrate of Riograndia. Regarding this character it is similar to other tritheledontids (Luo and Crompton 1994), but different from Brasilodon and Brasilitherium (Bonaparte et al. 2005, Luo 2007).

**Alisphenoid** (Fig. 6e). The alisphenoid is identified in two specimens (UFRGS-PV-0596-T and UFRGS-PV-0601-T). In lateral view, the ascending process of the alisphenoid is a spatulated plate. In its dorsal border, the ascending process shows an anterior expansion that meets the ventroposterior margin of the frontal. It seems that the alisphenoid-frontal contact in Riograndia occupies a wider area than that of Pachygenelus (Wible and Hopson 1993), Brasilodon and Brasilitherium (Bonaparte et al. 2003, 2005), similarly to the patterns observed in Diarthrognathus (Crompton 1958), Sinocodon (Crompton and Luo 1993) and Morganucodon (Kermack et al. 1981). The major part of the anterior margin of the alisphenoid delimitates the posterior border of the sphenorbital fissure, as in most non-mammaliaform cynodonts, excepting in tritylodontids (Luo 1994). Below the contact with the frontal, the ascending process of alisphenoid becomes narrower near its base as in Morganucodon (Kermack et al. 1981). Throughout its anterior margin, a well-defined thin vertical ridge is delimited, resembling that of Diarthrognathus (Crompton 1958). The suture between the alisphenoid and the parietal has been already mentioned. The specimen UFRGS-PV-0601-T shows that Riograndia exhibits the general feature of non-mammaliaform cynodonts that is the ascending process of the alisphenoid being antero-posteriorly broad and widely in contact with the anterior lamina of the prootic. The alisphenoid quadrate ramus is preserved in the specimens UFRGS-PV-0601-T and UFRGS-PV-0833-T, and will be described together with other basicranial elements.

**Prootic** (Fig. 6e). The prootic of Riograndia is laterally projected, forming a lateral flange that is supported by the quadrate ramus of the alisphenoid. This lateral flange is well developed as in Pachygenelus (Wible and Hopson 1993), but does not exhibit a vertical component as in tritylodontids (Hopson 1964). The anterior lamina of the prootic, better preserved in the specimen UFRGS-PV-0601-T, meets the posterior margin of the alisphenoid ascending process anteriorly, the parietal dorsally, and the squamosal posteriorly. There is no clear indi-
cation of the location for the exit for the maxillar (V2) and mandibular (V3) branches of the trigeminal nerve. In lateral view, the ventrally opened pterygoparoccipital foramen is reached by the alisphenoid quadrate ramus in its anteroventral margin, but in most of its part this opening is enclosed by the anterior lamina of the prootic. Above the dorsal border of the pterygoparoccipital foramen an excavated area, forming a groove, is visible. At the end of this groove, between the anterior lamina of the prootic and the squamosal, the small anterior opening of the posttemporal canal is placed. We infer that the pterygoparoccipital foramen and the an-

Fig. 6—Riograndia guaibensis. UFRGS-PV-0596-T in lateral view: (a) drawing and (b) photo; (c) UFRGS-PV-0833-T in dorsal view; (d) UFRGS-PV-0596-T, detail of the medial orbital wall; (e) UFRGS-PV-0601-T in lateral view. Scale bar = 10 mm. al, alisphenoid; cp, coronoid process; d, dentary; en, external naris; f, frontal; l, lacrimal; lc, lambdoidal crest; lf, lacrimal foramen; m, maxilla; n, nasal; p, parietal; pa, palatine; pm, premaxilla; pr, prootic; pt, pterygoid; q, quadrate; rap, retroarticular process; sc, sagittal crest; sm, septomaxilla; so, supraoccipital; spf, sphenopalatine foramen; sq, squamosal; za, zygomatic arch.
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terior opening of the posttemporal canal communicated through this groove, which corresponds to the open channel for the passage of the superior ramus of the stapedial artery and other vessels that exit through the pterygopatresipetal foramen (Rougier et al. 1992). Thus, in Riograndia it seems that these blood vessels should run in an open channel in the lateral flange of the prootic until they join the sinus canal system (orbitotemporal canal) and posttemporal veins (Wible and Hopson 1993). This is the same configuration as in Pachygenelus (Wible and Hopson 1993). However, the open ascending channel for the superior ramus of the stapedial artery is different and more primitive than the enclosed channel of this vessel in mammaliaforms, such as Morganucodon (Wible and Hopson 1993) and Hadrocodium (Luo et al. 2001).

ZYGOMATIC ARCH (Fig. 6C, D)

At least in one of the new specimens, UFRGS-PV-0833-T, the zygomatic arches are preserved in all of their length. Some information, related to the root of the zygomatic arch, was also provided by the specimen UFRGS-PV-0596-T. The specimen UFRGS-PV-0833-T confirms the suggestion of Bonaparte et al. (2001) that the zygomatic arch of Riograndia is slender. In a general way, the zygomatic arch resembles that of Pachygenelus, whose arch delineates, in lateral view, a straight line. The prominent zygomatic process of the maxilla, at the expense of the anterior process of the jugal, constitutes an important element of the suborbital region of the skull of Riograndia. This is a condition also presented by other trihleodontids (Hopson and Barghusen 1986), Brasiliodon (Bonaparte et al. 2003) and mammals (Luo 1994). In the root of the zygomatic arch, the maxilla contacts posteriorly the jugal and medially the lacrimal. The lacrimal of Riograndia occupies a large area in the root of the zygomatic arch as in Chalimimia (Bonaparte 1980, Martinelli and Rougier 2007), Diarthrognathus (Crompton 1958) and Pachygenelus (Bonaparte et al. 2003). The lacrimal-jugal contact in the root of the zygomatic arch is much reduced in lateral view due to the presence of the zygomatic process of maxilla that covers the jugal laterally. The lacrimal of Riograndia seems to contribute in the root of the zygomatic arch in the same way as Brasiliodon (Bonaparte et al. 2003), Morganucodon (Kermack et al. 1981), Sinoconodon (Crompton and Luo 1993) and the docodont Hal danodon (Lillegraven and Krusat 1991), in which this bone and the maxilla rather than the jugal are the dominant elements. The weak representation of the jugal in the root of the zygomatic arch showed by Riograndia contrasts with the general non-mammaliaform cynodont pattern whose jugal is the dominant element. Regarding the dorsal extension of the zygomatic arch, Rio grandia presents the same condition of most non-mammalia form cynodonts (excepting traversodontids and tritylodontids) and mammaliaforms, in which the maximal dorsal height of the arch is situated in a plane below half of the orbit (Hopson and Kitching 2001). The squamosal zygomatic portion is positioned in the middle of the arch, overlying the jugal, and configures a dorso-ventrally compressed bar exhibiting the same depth of the jugal. Due to the lateral curvature of the squamosal and the jugal, the zygomatic arch of Rio grandia shows, in dorsal view, a round shape, with its maximal lateral projection placed in the middle of the arch, as in Thrinaxodon (Fourie 1974), Probainognathus (Romer 1970), Pachygenelus (Allin and Hopson 1992), and Brasiliodon (Bonaparte et al. 2003), among others.

PALATE

The secondary osseous palate was described by Bonaparte et al. (2001); the specimens UNISINOS-4881 and UFRGS-PV-0596-T bring additional information. The primary palate has received little description by previous authors and is well represented in UFRGS-PV-0596-T, UFRGS-PV-0833-T and UNISINOS-4881.

Palatine (Fig. 7a, b). In its posteriormost portion, the palatal plate becomes broader, following the general trend of the whole skull. The contribution, in extension, of the palatine in the secondary palate is greater than that of the maxilla. The former makes up to 50% of the length of the postcanine row, the same derived condition of other trihleodontids, tritylodontids (Kielan Jaworowska et al. 2004), Brasiliodon (Bonaparte et al. 2003, 2005) and Morganucodon (Kermack et al. 1981). The posterior edge of the palatal plate of the palatine surpasses the level of the posterior limit of the maxillary tooth row as in the other trihleodontids and mammals (Hopson and Barghusen 1986). In each side of
the palate, the greater palatine foramen is symmetrically positioned, very close to the suture between the maxilla and the palate (but completely enclosed by the palate). These structures, considered apomorphie for epicynodonts (Hopson and Barghusen 1986, Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004), served as a passage of the greater palatine branch of the sphenopalatine nerve (a branch of the maxillary ramus of the trigeminal nerve) and the greater palatine artery (Kermack et al. 1981, Rougier et al. 1992). In front of the anterior border of these foramina, there is a shallow groove that surpasses the anterior limit of the palate and reaches the maxilla, where the nerve and the artery should run forward together. Posteriorly to the greater palatine foramina, in the same symmetric position, there are two smaller foramina, which should correspond to the lesser palatine, Cirolamus. Non-mammaliaform cynodonts (Kemp 1983, Kielan-Jaworowska et al. 2004). In this group, the foramina are also well preserved in three specimens, UFRGS-PV-0596-T, UFRGS-PV-0601-T and UNISINOS-4881. No specimen shows the contact of pterygoid with the maxilla or the vomer. Laterally, in the anterior portion of the pterygoids, the pterygoid flanges are placed. The pterygoid flanges of Riograndia are smaller than those of Pachygenelus (Bonaparte et al. 2003) and have a triangular shape, with the apex directed ventroposteriorly, which allows a lateral contact with the mandible. In the specimen UFRGS-PV-0596-T the pterygoid flanges are in close contact with the region of the supposed coronoïd bone of the lower jaw. In the medial region of its anterior portion the pterygoids are a little concave. The meeting of the two pterygoids in the sagittal plane defines a medial ridge. This ridge reaches anteriorly the internal nares area. At the level of the interpterygoid vacuity the medial ridge suffer an interruption, but it is reconstituted posteriorly until it reaches the basisphenoid. Symmetrically placed in each side of the medial ridge, the pterygopalatine (or intermediate) ridges run parallel to it without keeping contact. These structures correspond to the site of the attachment of the anterior pterygoid muscle, which promotes a stronger union between palate and neurocranium (Kemp 1982).

The pterygopalatine ridges border laterally the interpterygoid vacuities and reach the anterior margin of the basisphenoid as in Pachygenelus and Morganucodon (Luo 1994). Kermack et al. (1981) affirm that the medial ridge of Morganucodon does not reach the internal nares region, but they suggest that this region would be divided by a cartilaginous septum. In Riograndia there is no sign of a bone wall between the internal nares either. Thus, we can infer that Riograndia should also present a kind of connective tissue dividing the air passages. Between the medial ridge and both pterygopalatine ridges, two channels are formed. Another pair of channels is delimited in each side of the pterygopalatine ridges. Riograndia presents a palatal system of ridges and channels very similar to those of Pachygenelus (Luo 1994), tritylodontids (Young 1947), and Morganucodon and multituberculates (Kielan-Jaworowska 1971). Several authors (e.g. Watson 1921, Kermack 1956, Kielan-Jaworowska 1971, Kermack et al. 1981, Barghusen...
The interpterygoid vacuities of *Riograndia* correspond to a well-defined structure that was crossed by the cultriform process of the basisphenoid. Among epi-
cynodonts, the interpterygoid vacuities were observed in juveniles specimens of *Thrinaxodon* (Martillelli and Rougier 2007), and among eucynodonts this feature is present in juveniles of *Probelesodon* and *Lumkuias*, in adult specimens of tritheledontids, as *Diarthrogenathus* (Crompton 1958), *Chaliminia* (Bonaparte 1980, Marti-
nelli and Rougier 2007) and *Pachygnathus* (Hopson and Barghusen 1986), and in *Brasilodon* and *Brasilitherium* (Bonaparte et al. 2005). The presence of the interpte-
rygoid vacuities in epi cynodonts has been interpreted as a retention of a primitive character or a reversion to a plesiomorphic therapsid condition, which was still conserved in the pre-epicynodonts *Dvinia* and *Procynosuchus* (Hopson and Barghusen 1986). According to Kemp (1979), the vacuities are a remnant structure re-
lated to some skull kinesis of basal therapsids still present in these Permian cynodonts. Martinelli and Rougier (2007) have considered that the re-acquisition of the interpterygoid vacuities in the derived non-
mammaliaform cynodonts, which bear a high resem-
dance with the pattern present in most basal forms (e.g. *Procynosuchus*) and in immature individuals of more derived forms (e.g. *Thrinaxodon, Lumkuias, Probele-
sodon, Kayentatherium*), could be the result of het-
erochronic processes like paedomorphosis. We consider that, in the case of *Riograndia* and other tritheledontids, it is plausible to accept that the presence of the interptery-
goid vacuity is a consequence of the new remodeling of the primary palate, in connection with the increase of the nasopharyngeal system and brain, then to assume that the vacuity is related with skull kinesis as in prim-
ite cynodonts. So, instead of being interpreted as a homeplastic feature shared with pre-epicynodonts, the interpterygoid vacuities of *Riograndia* and other tritheledontids should be interpreted as an evolutionary novelty. Despite the presence of the interpterygoid va-
cuities, the primary palate of *Riograndia*, formed by transversely enlarged pterygoids, is similar to that of other tritheledontids and *Morganucodon*. The broadening of the primary palate is related with an evolutionary trend observed in the advanced non-mammaliaform eucynodonts, which includes an increase in the cerebral volume and an inflation of the nasopharyngeal system, promoting the posterior displacement of the choanae and the separation of the pterygopalatine ridges (Rowe 1993). Regarding the pterygoid quadrate ramus, it is possible to observe in the specimens UFRGS-PV-0601-
T and UNISINOS-4881 that each pterygoid shows a lateral inflexion, becoming more convex posterioli. At this point, the pterygoids seem to be fused to the alisphen-
oid and, from there, a posterolateral projection can be observed. As the suture between these two bones is indistin-
guishable, it is probable that the mentioned projec-
tion corresponds to the alisphenoid quadrato ramus. This condition is in agreement with the eucynodontia general pattern, which is the reduction or lack of the ptery-
goid quadrato ramus (Hopson and Barghusen 1986). No sign of an ectopterygoid was observed in the specimens of *Riograndia*, as in other thitheledontids, tritylodon-
tids and basal mammaliaforms (e.g. *Sinoconodon* and *Megazostrodon*).

**Basicranium**

Three studied skulls (UFRGS-PV-0596-T, UFRGS-PV-0833T, UNISINOS-4881) reveal information on the ba-
sicranium.

**Basiphenoid-parasphenoid complex** (Fig. 7a, b). In a general way these elements follow the basic non-
mammaliaform cynodont pattern, with a triangular out-
line, displaying a greater transverse development in the posterior sector. The well-developed basipterygoid pro-
cess is defined in the left side of the skulls UFRGS-PV-
0596-T and UNISINOS-4881; it forms the anterome-
dial margin of the ventral opening of the cavum epiter-
icum and contacts anteriorly the pterygoids. The par-
phenoid overlaps the basiphenoid, covering this bone ventrally in all of its extension. The limit between the basiphenoid and basioccipital can be only described based on the basioccipital anterior articular facet. The facet shows that the contact between these two bones was delineated by a lightly curved suture, concave in the medial portion and convex in the lateral portions. Although the basiphenoid wing (parasphenoid ala)
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was not completely preserved in any of the specimens, we can infer that it was reduced because the area situated in both sides of the basisphenoid is occupied by the prootic in the specimens UFRGS-PV-0596-T and UFRGS-PV-0833-T. *Riograndia* shares with *Probainognathus* (Romer 1970, Allin 1986), chiniquodontids (Romer 1969, Teixeira 1982), *Diarthrognathus* (Crompton 1958), *Pachygenelus* (Luo 1994) and tritylodontids (Sues 1986) the derived condition that is a reduced basisphenoid wing excluded from the margin of the fenestra ovalis. The basisphenoid wing of *Riograndia* is more reduced than that of *Diarthrognathus* (Crompton 1958), resembling more that of *Pachygenelus* (Bona parte et al. 2003). However, it is not as reduced as in mammaliaforms, such as *Adelobasileus* (Lucas and Luo 1993, Luo et al. 1995), and *Hadrocodium* (Luo et al. 2001). The cultriform process of the parasphenoid was not conserved in any of the specimens, although signs of ossification of the basisphenoid-parasphenoid complex, medial to the cavum epiperticum, reveal a short ridge. Probably the ossification that forms this ridge corresponds to the basisphenoid, which was originally fused to the parasphenoid and projects anteriorly through its cultriform process. Based on the medial pterygoid ridges, we can infer that the cultriform process of *Riograndia* would extend anteriorly between the pterygoids, lying over the referred ridge and crossing the interpterygoid vacuities until joining the vomer. In the area of origin of the medial pterygoid ridge of UFRGS-PV-0596-T it is possible to observe remnants of bone adhered in both sides, which may correspond to pterygoid fragments that were originally fused to the parasphenoid and basisphenoid. The fusion of these elements is characteristic of advanced non-mammaliaform eucynodonts and *Morganucodon* (Kermack et al. 1981). This feature is also related to the cranial anatomical remodeling observed along the evolutionary history of the Cynodontia, in function of the increase of the cerebral and nasopharyngeal system volume as discussed in the case of the interpterygoid vacuities. The transversal enlargement of the pterygoids and its fusion to the cultriform process of the parasphenoid in *Riograndia* illustrates this evolutionary trend, which is fully established in *Morganucodon* (Kermack et al. 1981). About the position of the internal carotid foramina, the specimen UFRGS-PV-0596-T shows clearly these small openings, placed symmetrically in the medial portion of the basisphenoid.

**Alishenphoid** (Fig. 7d). The anterior margin of the alishenphoid cannot be observed because it is broken in the only specimen that bears this bone partially preserved (UFRGS-PV-0601-T). The quadrate ramus of the alishenphoid projects posteriorly and covers the pterygoid and the prootic laterally. In ventral view, the quadrate ramus of the alishenphoid participates on the formation of the lateral border of the cavum epiperticum and projects posteriorly until the level of the anterior margin of the basioccipital, but without surpassing it. *Riograndia* shares this feature with other tritheledontids and non-mammaliaform cynodonts, excepting tritylodontids (Rowe 1988), which present the plesiomorphic condition.

**Basioccipital** (Fig. 7c). About the basioccipital, no previous information was provided by the holotype of *Riograndia* (Bonaparte et al. 2001). The basioccipital, preserved in the specimens UFRGS-PV-0596-T and UFRGS-PV-0601-T, presents a typical hexagonal shape, but wider anteriorly. In its median portion there is a short prominent and thickened ridge that runs along the bone surface. In consequence, the basioccipital lateral margins are depressed. In the central portion of the bone there are two small nutritional foramina. Based on the anterior contact facet of the basioccipital, we can see that this bone meets the basisphenoid-parasphenoid complex through an anteriorly concave suture line. Laterally, the basioccipital meets the prootic through a diagonal suture that converges anteriorly to the sagittal plane. The posteriormost sector of the basioccipital participates on the ventral border of the foramen magnum. The sutures between basioccipital and exoccipitals are wide and run anteriorly diagonal to the sagittal plane.

**Exoccipital** (Fig. 7c, e). The exoccipitals of *Riograndia* are preserved in the specimens UFRGS-PV-0596-T, UFRGS-PV-0601-T, UFRGS-PV-0833-T. In the basiocranium, the contact between the exoccipital and the paroccipital process of the opisthotic is located laterally to each occipital condyle, below half of the extension of the posterior margin of the jugular foramen. Near the postero-lateral margin of the jugular foramen there are two foramina for the hypoglossal nerve (XII) passage, one situated more anteriorly and the other, more posteriorly. The general pattern exhibited by non-mammalia-
form cynodonts is the hypoglossal foramina in confluence with the jugular foramen. The derived condition presented by *Riograndia* is also observed in *Oligokyphus* (Kühne 1956), *Pachygenelus* (Bonaparte et al. 2003) and *Brasilitherium* (Bonaparte et al. 2005). However, one can verify that the ossified area between the jugular foramen and the hypoglossal foramina (“jugular process” of Kermack et al. 1981) in *Pachygenelus, Brasilitherium* and mammaliaforms is wider than that in *Riograndia* (Lucas and Luo 1993, Luo et al. 2001).

**Prootic and opisthotic** (Fig. 7d, e). The prootic and the opisthotic are partially represented in the basicranium of *Probainognathus* and mammaliaforms (Bonaparte et al. 2005). How-ever, one can verify that the ossified area between the jugular foramen and the hypoglossal foramina (“jugular process” of Kermack et al. 1981) in *Pachygenelus, Brasilitherium* and mammaliaforms is wider than that in *Riograndia* (Lucas and Luo 1993, Luo et al. 2001).

The derived condition presented by *Riograndia* is also observed in *Oligokyphus* (Kühne 1956), *Pachygenelus* (Bonaparte et al. 2003) and *Brasilitherium* (Bonaparte et al. 2005). However, one can verify that the ossified area between the jugular foramen and the hypoglossal foramina (“jugular process” of Kermack et al. 1981) in *Pachygenelus, Brasilitherium* and mammaliaforms is wider than that in *Riograndia* (Lucas and Luo 1993, Luo et al. 2001).

In *Probainognathus* (Crompton 1958), affirms that there is no sign of suture dividing the prootic and the opisthotic. In *Brasilitherium* (Bonaparte et al. 2005), the prootic and the opisthotic are separated. The tritylodontids *Oligokyphus* (Crompton 1964) and *Kayentatherium* (Sués 1986) show these two elements fused, as in *Brasilitherium* (Bonaparte et al. 2005) and mammaliaforms (e.g. *Morganucodon, Sinoconodon* and *Hadrocodium*), whose fused prootic and opisthotic form the petrosal bone (Luo et al. 1995). The suture between the paroccipital process and the exoccipital is placed laterally to the occipital condyle (UFRGS-PV-0833T). The paroccipital process does not meet the quadrate because the latter bone is in contact exclusively with the squamosal. This is the same plesiomorphic state presented by *Probainognathus, Massetognathus, Diarthrognathus* and *Pachygenelus* (Luo and Crompton 1994), in which the paroccipital process is laterally covered by the squamosal. The laterally opened pterygo-paroccipital foramen of *Riograndia* (UFRGS-PV-0833-T) is anteriorly bordered by the prootic and posteriorly by the paroccipital process, as in *Pachygenelus* (Wible and Hopson 1993). Running laterally to the prootic, the alisphenoid quadrate ramus posteriormost limit reaches the anterior margin of the pterygoparoccipital foramen, but without covering it. *Riograndia* follows the same pattern of *Pachygenelus* (Wible and Hopson 1993), tritylodontids (Luo and C. Luo 1993, Luo 1994), morganucodontids and *Sinoconodon* (Wible and Hopson 1993).

In *Riograndia*, the jugular foramen is separated from the fenestra rotunda (or perilymphatic foramen) by a narrow bone bar. This separation is a derived condition among non-mammaliaform cynodonts, being shared with tritylodontids (e.g. *Tritylodon, Oligokyphus*) (Rowe 1988), *Pachygenelus* (Wible 1991) and *Brasilitherium* (Bonaparte et al. 2005). However, in the last two taxa, the distance between the jugular foramen and the fenestra rotunda is wider than in *Riograndia*. The posterior and lateral margins of the jugular foramen are dominated by the paroccipital process, while the anterior and medial ones are formed by the prootic. The fenestra ovalis is a large opening (greater than the jugular foramen and the fenestra rotunda), totally enclosed by the prootic and positioned lateroanteriorly to the jugular foramen and the fenestra rotunda (without contacting the basisphenoid wing), a derived state shared with *Probainognathus* (Luo 1994), morganucodontids (Romer 1969), *Diarthrognathus* (Crompton 1958), *Pachygenelus* (Allin 1986) and mammaliaforms (Luo 1994). Despite this derived condition, the fenestra ovalis of *Riograndia* still has an osseous ring, which is a primitive condition of non-mammaliaform cynodonts (Luo et al. 1995). This ring, which contacts the stapes, is a common feature among non-mammaliaform cynodonts (e.g. *Probainognathus, Luangwa, Massetognathus, Kayentatherium, Diarthrognathus*). The cavum epiptericum is postero-medially bordered by the prootic, laterally by the alisphenoid quadrate ramus and anteriorly by the basisphenoid wing. Only a very narrow ossified portion separates the anterior margin of the fenestra ovalis from the cavum epitypericum. Thus, as in most part of the non-mammaliaform cynodonts (excepting *Probainognathus* (Luo 1994), *Evaeretodon* (Abdala et al. 2002) and tritylodontids (Hopson 1964, Kemp 1983)), the cavum epitypericum of *Riograndia* does not form a floor.
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Fig. 7 – Riograndia guaibensis. UNISINOS-4881 in ventral view: (a) drawing and (b) photo; (c) UFRGS-PV-0596-T in posterior view; (d) UFRGS-0833-T in ventral view, detail of the basicranium; (e) UFRGS-0596-T in ventral view, detail of the basiocciput. Scale bar = 10 mm. alq, alisphenoid quadrate ramus; bptp, basipterygoid process; bo, basioccipital; fm, foramen magnum; fr, fenestra rotunda; gpf, great palatine foramen; hf, hypoglossal foramina; if, incisive foramina; iv, interpterygoid vacuity; jf, jugular foramen; lc, lambdoidal crest; lpf, lesser palatine foramen; m, maxilla; oc, occipital condyle; pt, pterygoid; ppc, posttemporal canal; ptc, pterygopalatine crest.
Squamosal. In UFRGS-PV-0596-T the squamosal notch, to lodge the quadrate, is placed laterally to the contact between the squamosal and the paroccipital process, and medially to the glenoid cavity. The facet on the paroccipital process for the squamosal is parallel to the sagittal plane. Its cranial moiety does not extend anteriorly to the level of the posterior margin of the pterygoparoccipital foramen, which is not encircled by the squamosal.

Occipital Region

Comparisons with other tritheledontids are difficult because the occipital region is poorly preserved in Diarthrognathus (Crompton 1958), Chaliminia (Bonaparte 1980) and Ellothorium (Sidor and Hancox 2006); no published data about Pachygenelus is available. The specimens UFRGS-PV-0596-T and UFRGS-PV-0601-T have preserved the whole occipital region. In lateral view, the ventral margin of the occipital plate is more posteriorly projected than the dorsal one. In posterior view, the occipital plate shows a triangular shape, with the apex delimited by the union of the sagittal and lambdoidal crests.

Interparietal. Due to the high level of fusion of the occipital plate, the presence of an interparietal cannot be observed in UFRGS-PV-0596T, which has the best preserved skull roof and occipital region. Riograndidia seems to follow the pattern showed by other advanced non-mammaliaform cynodonts as Diarthrognathus (Crompton 1958), Pachygenelus (Luo 1994), Theroioherpeton (Bonaparte and Barberena 1975, 2001), tritylodontids (Rowe 1988) and mammaliaforms (Lucas and Luo 1993), which lack an independently ossified interparietal.

Supraoccipital (Fig. 7c). The supraoccipital is strongly fused to the other occipital plate elements. The sagittal and lambdoidal crests project over the supraoccipital; throughout the medial portion of the bone a broad and short ridge is delineated, supporting the sagittal crest and promoting a greater robustness of this portion of the occipital plate. In each side of the medial ridge there are two shallow depressed areas that correspond to sites of muscular attachment. The supraoccipital seems to be restricted to the dorsal margin of the foramen magnum, whose lateral margins are delimited by the exoccipitals. The suture between the supraoccipital and the tabulars is not clear, but the former contacts laterally the latter.

Basioccipital (Fig. 7e). The posteriormost portion of the basioccipital participates on the ventral border of the foramen magnum. In occipital view, the basioccipital separates the two occipital condyles through a shallow notch. This feature represents a derived condition shared with Chiniquodon (Abdala 1996), Lumkaua (Hopson and Kitching 2001), Pachygenelus (Bonaparte et al. 2003), Brasilodon and Brasilitherium (Bonaparte et al. 2003, 2005), among others. However, in Riograndidia and in these mentioned taxa, a well-developed notch for the odontoid process of the axis, like that of Adelobasileus (Lucas and Luo 1993), Sinoconodon (Crompton and Luo 1993) and Morganucodon (Kermack et al. 1981), has not been formed yet.

Tabular (Fig. 7c). The tabular of Riograndidia occupies the whole area lateral to the supraoccipital, being limited dorsolaterally by the descending lambdoidal crests of parietals and laterally by the squamosal. Ventrolaterally each tabular makes contact with the paroccipital process, and ventromedially contacts the exoccipitals. Both posttemporal canals, through which the arteria and the vena diploetica magna pass (Wible and Hopson 1993), are dorsally encircled by the tabulars very close to the squamosal margin. The ventral margin of each posttemporal canal touches the suture between the tabular and the paroccipital process, being, thus, bordered by the exoccipitals. This condition, shared with Probainognathus (Romer 1970), Diarthrognathus (Crompton 1958), Pachygenelus (Lucas and Luo 1993), Brasilodon (Bonaparte et al. 2003), and Oligokyphus (Crompton 1964), among others, is considered by Luo (1994) as intermediate between the plesiomorphic condition, characterized by a posttemporal canal totally encircled by the tabular; and the derived condition exhibited by mammaliaforms, in which the posttemporal canal is dorsally bordered by the squamosal.

Exoccipital (Fig. 7c, e). The suture between the exoccipitals and the supraoccipital is located at the level of the dorsal border of the foramen magnum, perpendicular to the sagittal plane, but it is almost indistinguishable due to the great degree of fusion of the whole occiput. It seems that the exoccipitals border the foramen magnum laterally, while its dorsal border is dominated by the supraoccipital, as mentioned before. The shape of
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the occipital condyles of Riograndia is oval, similar to the pattern observed in Pachygenelus (Bonaparte et al. 2003). The condyles do not reach the top of the dorsal border of the foramen magnum, being restricted to its ventral one-third, which is a primitive condition (Rowe 1988). As mentioned above, the occipital condyles are well separated by the posterior margin of the basioccipital. Following the general pattern of the whole occipital plate, we can observe that the ventral portion of the condyles is more posteriorly projected than the dorsal one. This condition is also observed in the occipital plate of Adelobasileus (Lucas and Luo 1993). The non-bifurcated paroccipital process occupies the base of the occipital plate, lateral to each occipital condyle. Dorsally, the paroccipital process makes an irregular contact with the tabular and borders the ventral margin of the posttemporal canal, as mentioned before.

LOWER JAW

Bonaparte et al. (2001) have described the almost complete lower jaw of the specimen MCN-PV2265 in the medial view, but nothing was reported about the postdentary bones of Riograndia. All the new specimens (UFRGS-PV-0596-T, UFRGS-PV-0624T, UFRGS-PV-0833T, UFRGS-PV-0623T) confirm the characteristics observed in the type series (Bonaparte et al. 2001): a mandible with rather robust construction with a high and thick unfused symphysis, a high coronoid process and a distinct angular process. The postdentary bones complex of Riograndia is described for the first time in this contribution.

Postdentary bones (Fig. 8e). The information about the postdentary bones of Riograndia comes from the specimens UFRGS-PV-0596-T, UFRGS-PV-0624-T and UFRGS-PV-0833-T. The postdentary trough is dorsally limited by the medial ridge of the dentary that runs anteriorly until the level of pc6. Posteriorly, the medial ridge becomes wider and projects above the articular process, which represents the posteriormost edge of the dentary. However, the articular process of the dentary does not form a condyle as seen in mammaliaforms. The relative position of the articular process of the dentary of Riograndia (Fig. 1c) is more dorsal than the dorsal margin of the postcanines row, a derived feature shared with traversodontids, tritylodontids (Bonaparte 1962, Crompton and Sun 1985), Pachygenelus (Allin and Hopson 1992), Diarthrognathus (Crompton 1963), Chalminia (Bonaparte 1980, Martinelli and Rougier 2007) and Morganucodon (Kermack et al. 1981). All the three specimens that have preserved the postdentary bones show some degree of fragmentation in these elements, but in general we can observe that the surangular, angular, articular and prearticular compose a fragile unity, lodge in the postdentary trough, as in other advanced non-mammaliaform eucynodonts and mammaliaforms (Crompton and Luo 1993). The articular, prearticular and angular are fused, as in other tritheledontids (Allin and Hopson 1992). Supposedly, the surangular runs parallel over the rod formed by the articular, prearticular and angular, and finishes at the posteriormost level of the retroarticular process. The articular seems to form most part of the retroarticular process, which is concave and exhibits the ventral margin posteriorly projected. The reflected lamina of the angular was not preserved, and there is no evidence of a contact between the surangular and the squamosal in any of the analyzed specimens. Regarding the cranio-mandibular joint, it seems that Riograndia presents the same condition of other tritheledontids (Luo and Crompton 1994) and Brasilitherium (Bonaparte et al. 2003), that is the articular process of the dentary in contact with the squamosal, instead of the surangular, as in most eucynodonts (Crompton 1958, 1972). Thus, the dentary-squamosal articulation (or contact) represents a synapomorphy of Trithelodontidae, Brasilitherium and mammaliaforms (Luo 1994, Luo 2007). The splenial, which should cover medially the Meckelian groove, was not preserved in any of the specimens. Only in the UFRGS-PV-0596-T was possible to distinguish a most medially pronunciated area in the medial face of the mandible that can suggest the presence of the coronoid bone.

DENTITION (FIG. 8A, B, C, D, E)

The dental anatomy of Riograndia has received special treatment by Bonaparte et al. (2001). The authors have considered that Riograndia shows a plesiomorphic tooth morphology when compared to other tritheledontids. According to Bonaparte et al. (2001), the upper dentition of MCN-PV2264 comprises three procumbent incisors (upper incisor 2 and lower incisor 1 are the largest), with the enamel present only on the buccal side of the incisors. Both upper and lower canines are re-
Fig. 8 – *Riograndia guaibensis*. (a) UNISINOS-4881 in lateral view; (b) UFRGS-PV-0842-T in lingual view; (c) UFRGS-PV-0788-T, right maxilla in lateral view with detail of the upper dentition; (d) UFRGS-PV-0622-T, right mandible in lingual view with detail of the lower dentition; (e) UFRGS-PV-0833-T, right mandible in lingual view with detail of the postdentary bones. Scale Bar = 10 mm. ar, articular; an, angular; c, lower canine; C, upper canine; d, dentary; i, lower incisor; I, upper incisor; m, maxilla; par, prearticular; pc, lower postcanine; Pc, upper postcanine; rap, retroarticular process; sur, surangular.

Reduced in size. The postcanines are blade-like, with six to nine aligned cuspules, each of them bordered by a shallow groove. On the buccal side the central cuspules are usually the largest. The postcanine roots presented an incomplete subdivision, suggesting an incipient bifurcation. There is no cingulum in the postcanines. The new specimens of *Riograndia* show the same dental pattern, but also indicate that there is a trend for an increase of the size in the last upper and lower postcanines, like in *Diarthrognathus*, *Pachygenelus* and *Ira-
Some dental series (e.g. UFRGS-PV-0622-T, 788-T) show that, in upper and lower postcanines, the cusps are slightly displaced posteriorly and curved backwards. This curvature is better defined in the central cusp and in the distal accessory cusps. Trietheledontids as *Pachygenelus* (Gower 1980) and *Irajatherium* (Martinelli et al. 2005) also show the postcanines cusps recurved backwards. The dentition of the newly studied specimens confirms the description of Bonaparte et al. (2001), excepting for the upper canine size. Despite the fact that the canines are small in UFRGS-PV-0596-T, these elements are well developed in the specimens, UNISINOS-4881 and UFRGS-PV-0788-T, showing that these teeth are much more robust than the incisors in the upper dentition. The same was observed by Sidor and Hancox (2006) in *Elliotherium*, and by Oliveira et al. (2010) in *Irajatherium*, differing from *Pachygenelus* and *Diarthrognathus* that are characterized by small upper canines. The cranial size of the cited new specimens is greater than the type specimens (MCN-PV2264, MCN-PV2265) and UFRGS-PV-0596-T. This suggests that this variation is due to differences in ontogenetic stages exhibited by the analyzed specimens. In regard to the lower canines, in all available jaws these elements keep a small size as reported by Bonaparte et al. (2001). Most of the analyzed teeth show an intense wear suggesting slow replacement rates and/or a diet based on fibrous food items. With respect to this, Cabreira (2009) inferred that *Riograndia* was not a carnivore/insectivore animal, as postulated by Bonaparte et al. (2001), but a herbivore one. According to the author, the maxilomandibular apparatus of this taxon, characterized by a very developed chisel-shaped lower incisor (i1) and with open roots and leaf-like postcanines, points out to a “rodent” dietary habit.

**CONCLUSION**

A complete diagnosis of the Trietheledontidae clade, as well as a fully understanding of the relationships among its members, was still not possible due to the incomplete state of most taxa in the group (e.g. *Chaliminia, Diarthrognathus, Trietheledon*). In this sense, many phylogenetic analyses code the character states of *Pachygenelus* (some of them not published yet) as representative of all trietheledontids.

Fortunately, the description of the new taxon *El-
In fact, the cerebral volume of *Riograndia* lead advances in both auditory and masticatory systems. According to the author, this group bears one of the most distinctive and strongly diagnosed taxa within Cynodontia. Rowe (1993) postulates that virtually all parts of the skeleton were remodeled in association with a dramatic reduction in body size. In this sense, the cranial reorganization involved inflation of the nasopharyngeal cavity, remodeling of the orbit, further enclosure of the brain, and further modifications of the acoustic and masticatory systems. Similar to other tritheledontids (and tritylodontids), *Riograndia* shows a wider skull roof as compared to other non-mammaliaform eucynodonts. This derived feature is also reflected in the long osseous secondary palate and in the broad and long primary palate with wide interpterygoid vacuities. The development of a more extensive medial orbital wall formed by the contact between the frontal and the palate, an ossified orbitosphenoid and the development of the prootic anterior lamina provide a better enclosure of the brain. A more sophisticated basicranium with complete separation of the jugular foramen and the fenestra rotunda and a possible contact between the dentary and the squamosal associated with the reduction of the postdental bones to a thin rod and an unfused symphysis, reveal advances in both auditory and masticatory systems. Similar to other tritheledontids (and tritylodontids), *Riograndia* shows a wider skull roof as compared to other non-mammaliaform eucynodonts. This derived feature is also reflected in the long osseous secondary palate and in the broad and long primary palate with wide interpterygoid vacuities. The development of a more extensive medial orbital wall formed by the contact between the frontal and the palate, an ossified orbitosphenoid and the development of the prootic anterior lamina provide a better enclosure of the brain. A more sophisticated basicranium with complete separation of the jugular foramen and the fenestra rotunda and a possible contact between the dentary and the squamosal associated with the reduction of the postdental bones to a thin rod and an unfused symphysis, reveal advances in both auditory and masticatory systems. Similar to other tritheledontids (and tritylodontids), *Riograndia* shows a wider skull roof as compared to other non-mammaliaform eucynodonts. This derived feature is also reflected in the long osseous secondary palate and in the broad and long primary palate with wide interpterygoid vacuities. The development of a more extensive medial orbital wall formed by the contact between the frontal and the palate, an ossified orbitosphenoid and the development of the prootic anterior lamina provide a better enclosure of the brain. A more sophisticated basicranium with complete separation of the jugular foramen and the fenestra rotunda and a possible contact between the dentary and the squamosal associated with the reduction of the postdental bones to a thin rod and an unfused symphysis, reveal advances in both auditory and masticatory systems. Similar to other tritheledontids (and tritylodontids), *Riograndia* shows a wider skull roof as compared to other non-mammaliaform eucynodonts. This derived feature is also reflected in the long osseous secondary palate and in the broad and long primary palate with wide interpterygoid vacuities. The development of a more extensive medial orbital wall formed by the contact between the frontal and the palate, an ossified orbitosphenoid and the development of the prootic anterior lamina provide a better enclosure of the brain.
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**RESUMO**

O triteledontídeo *Riograndia guiabensis* foi o primeiro cino-dente descrito para a fauna da “Formação Caturrita” do Triásico Superior do sul do Brasil (Seqüência Santa Maria 2). Os materiais da série-tipo não forneceram informações anatômicas relativas à caixa craniana, oculipt, basisfrâneo, arco zigomático, ossos pós-dentários e articulação crânio-mandibular. Neste artigo são descritos novos materiais que suprem as informações anatômicas que suprem as informações anatômicas que suprem as informações anatômicas que suprem as informações anatômicas que suprem as informações anatômicas que suprem as informações anatômicas pelo clado Mammaliaformes, eucynodontes não-mammaliaformes, tais como fechamento parcial da parede orbital medial e da caixa craniana, extenso palato ósseo secundário, palato primário alargado, basisfrâneo com separação do forame jugular e da fenestra rotunda, arco zigomático estreito e ossos pós-dentários extremamente reduzidos. Muitas destas feições constituem sinapomorfias compartilhadas somente com os demais membros do clado Mammaliaformes. Assim, as praticamente com-
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