Ion microprobe (SHRIMP) dates complex granulite from Santa Catarina, southern Brazil
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ABSTRACT
Complex polymetamorphic granulites have been dated in the Santa Catarina granulite complex of southern Brazil through SHRIMP study of zircon. This complex is dominated by intermediate-acid plutonic rocks and contains small volumes of mafic and ultramafic rocks, and minor quartzite and banded iron formation. Porphyroblasts of orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene and plagioclase in mafic and acid rocks are interpreted as magmatic remnants in a volumetrically dominant granoblastic aggregate (M1) of the same minerals and hornblende. Hornblende formed during a later M2 metamorphic event constitutes rims around pyroxene, but the hornblende is also rimmed by granoblastic simplectites of orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, hornblende and plagioclase in a second granulite facies event (M3). Chlorite and epidote occur in shear zones (M4). This granulite terrain is part of a Neoproterozoic craton, because it was little affected by the Brasiliano Cycle. The two granulite-facies events (M1 and M3) are dated by U/Pb zircon SHRIMP at about 2.68 and 2.17 Ga, while the magmatic protoliths formed at about 2.72 Ga. The amphibolite facies event (M2) probably occurred close to the 2.17 Ga granulitic metamorphism.
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INTRODUCTION
Precise dating of the initial magmatic crystallization and subsequent metamorphism of granulite facies rocks is a difficult task, because the intense deformation and extensive geochemical reorganization of such rocks tend to partially reset and blur isotopic systematics. The high resistance of zircon to modifications by geological processes has made it a very useful mineral in geochronology. U/Pb dating of zircon has led to a considerable advance in our understanding of the evolution of complex high-grade terrains (e.g. Heaman & Parrish 1991). Nevertheless, the partial recrystallization, or new growth of some of these zircon crystals during metamorphism requires detailed backscattered electron (BSE) and
cathodoluminescence (CL) studies of the internal structure of the crystals (e.g. Hanchar & Miller 1993, Vavra et al. 1999, Remus et al. 1999, Remus et al. 2000a, b, Silva et al. 1999, Silva et al. 2000, Hartmann et al. 2000) in order to identify the different growth events preserved in the zircon crystals. The use of the high spatial resolution (30 mm) capability of the sensitive high-mass resolution ion microprobe (SHRIMP), as demonstrated by Friend and Kinny (1995) and Hartmann et al. (1999a), may be necessary to reach geologically meaningful results from zircon crystals from high grade terrains.

The complex internal structures of granulite facies zircon crystals in the Santa Catarina granulite complex of southern Brazil provide an opportunity to test the capability of these techniques to unravel the evolution of continental crust, in a region composed of complex granulites. The presence of three generations of ortho- and clinopyroxenes in one rock highlight even further the importance of such investigation. Previous geochronology results for rocks from the Santa Catarina complex yielded variable results for metamorphism and magmatism from Archean to Paleoproterozoic (Basei et al. 1998) and this requires clarification in the context of the current study. The precise timing of events is also of significance for the establishment of the correct orogenic succession and the reconstruction of supercontinents in this southwestern portion of Gondwana. The objective of this investigation is to unravel the isotopic memory of zircons of a trondhjemite from the Santa Catarina granulite complex.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

Brazil has extensive granulite terrains, comparable to Precambrian regions of other continents (Almeida & Hasui 1984). Brazilian granulites are mostly of the low-pressure type (4-6 kb), as in the Amazon and Bahia (Wernick & Almeida 1979, Iyer et al. 1987). One of the deepest crustal sections in Brazil is in Rio Grande do Sul (Hartmann 1998, Campos Neto & Caby 1999) and extends across the border into Uruguay. The granulites investigated in this study (Fig. 1) occur in the state of Santa Catarina and extend to northern Paraná state as remnant blocks in Neoproterozoic granitic-gneissic terrains (Hartmann 1988, Basei et al. 1998, Fornari 1998). These Santa Catarina granulite complex rocks occur along the coast (e.g. Barra Velha) and 60 km inland, where they are covered by the sedimentary and volcanic rocks of the Paraná Basin. The granulites are poorly exposed along the coast but are well exposed 30 km inland in the Luís Alves mountains (~ 500 m high). Thick forest cover minimizes outcrops in the mountains, but fresh samples may be obtained along creeks and from abundant boulders. A quarry was selected for sampling, because the structure of the rocks is well exposed. The analysed rock was collected in the Luís Alves quarry, located on the northeast side of the Itajaí-Luís Alves highway, 18 km northwest of the Br-101 highway bridge over the Itajaí River. In the quarry, the sample was collected 2 m above the ground and 5.5 m from the northwest end of the cliff.

The granulite complex is covered in the south by the weakly deformed late Neoproterozoic sedimentary and volcanic rocks of the Itajaí basin which is a foreland basin of the Brasiliano Cycle (Gresse et al. 1996). A few Neoproterozoic peralkaline granites also intrude the northern part of the granulite complex in Santa Catarina state. Within the complex, present topography is mostly controlled by EW and NS, brittle to brittle-ductile fault zones along valleys (Hartmann et al. 1979a).

Although rocks of the granulite-facies predominate, some areas contain amphibolite facies rocks. The relationship between the two metamorphic events is complex, but the generation of hornblende seems in general to be younger than the main metamorphic event which generated orthopyroxenes and clinopyroxenes (Basei et al. 1998). Bimodal basic-acid rocks are common, but intermediate compositions predominate. It is unclear whether magma mixing and mingling were operating at the time of formation of the rocks, or whether later tectonic mixing was a major process in the generation of the abundant intermediate granulites (Fornari 1998).
Fig. 1 – Geological map of the Santa Catarina granulitic complex, showing location of dated sample, AL-1. South of the map area, the geology is dominated by the Paleoproterozoic Camboriú granitic-gneissic complex and by the Neoproterozoic Florianópolis K-granitic batholith. Insets show location of study area in South America and regional geology.

Also occurring in the complex are tens of boudinaged pyroxenite bodies 100 to 1000 m in length and about 3 : 1 length:width ratios, probably originated from accumulation of clinopyroxenes in mafic magma chambers (Figueiredo et al. 1996, Fornari 1998), later deformed during granulite facies conditions. Peridotites are known only in the northern extension of the granulite complex in Paraná state (Girardi & Ulbrich 1980). One 10-km long body of K-syenite occurs near the coast, and a 20 km-large charnockite body occurs in the Pomerode region (Fornari 1998). Supracrustal rocks are subordinate and consist mostly of orthopyroxene-bearing quartzites and iron formations.

A dominant plutonic origin is preferred for the granulites (Figueiredo et al. 1996), possibly in two suites – mafic-ultramafic and intermediate-acid (Fornari 1998). The tectonic environment of crustal accretion was probably similar to an island arc, as indicated by whole-rock geochemistry of the intermediate-acid suite (Basei et al. 1998). The granulitic gneisses have a diffuse to well-developed, NE-oriented, subvertical foliation, which bends northwestward in the northern part of the area (Fornari 1998). The complex structure of the granulite complex and poor exposure have precluded the determination of the precise sequence of the magmatic and sedimentary volcanic protolithic units. Hence they provide a good test of the usefulness of zircon geochronology to resolve a specific tectonic problem.

PREVIOUS GEOCHRONOLOGY

The granulite complex was little affected by the Neoproterozoic Brasiliano Cycle orogenic events, because only a few K-Ar mineral ages of about 600 Ma (Basei et al. 1998) are present mostly along shear zones. However, tectonic stabilization had been attained by 1.8 Ga, as shown by some K-Ar mineral ages (Basei et al. 1998). The Archaean/Paleoproterozoic history of the complex terrain presumably involved five steps, identified by several isotopic techniques (Sm-Nd TDM, Rb-Sr whole rock isochrons, Pb/Pb, Sm-Nd mineral...
isochrons and conventional U/Pb zircon ages), according to Basei et al. (1998). Two events of accretion of juvenile mantle material presumably occurred at $2.7 \pm 0.2$ Ga and $2.3 \pm 0.4$ Ga, igneous rock intrusion at $2.6$ Ga, granulite facies metamorphism at $2.3$ Ga and amphibolite facies metamorphism at $2.0$ Ga.

The use of different isotopic dating techniques in several rocks renders the comparison of the results difficult. A $2.2$ Ga Sm/Nd mineral isochron age from diopside, hornblende, titanite and allanite of a syenite gneiss (Hartmann et al. 1999b) and several other ages of $2.2$ Ga from other isotopic systems were interpreted as the time of granulite facies metamorphism. Sm/Nd TDM ($\sim 2.6 \pm 2.7$ Ga) and Rb/Sr geochronology point to juvenile accretion and possibly granulite facies metamorphism of the protoliths in the late Archaean (Basei et al. 1998). The ages obtained between $2.7 \pm 0.2$ Ga and $2.2$ Ga may correspond to partial resetting of isotopic components during the Paleoproterozoic event, which requires further testing. SHRIMP U/Pb zircon spot dating helps unravel part of this complex geologic evolution, as shown below.

**METHODOLOGY**

Field mapping was undertaken in 1977, in the Luís Alves region, at the 1:50,000 scale, by undergraduate students of Universidade do Vale do Rio dos Sinos and supervised by the first author. Additional field investigations were undertaken by the authors and other researchers (Hartmann et al. 1979b, Girardi & Ulbrich 1980, Moreira & Marimon 1980, Silva 1987, Kaul & Teixeira 1982, Hartmann 1988, Figueiredo et al. 1996, Basei et al. 1998, Fornari 1998).

Zircon crystals from the dated sample, AL-1, were separated at the University of Western Australia laboratories by grinding crushed fragments in a ring mill to pass through a 60# nylon disposable sieve, washing and decanting fines with water. The crushed samples were passed through heavy liquid (LST and di-iodomethane) and magnetic separation before hand-picking using a binocular microscope. Selected crystals were mounted in an epoxy disc with chips of the CZ3 zircon standard (564 Ma), ground and polished until nearly half of each crystal was removed. The zircon crystals were microphotographed in transmitted and reflected light, and imaged for their internal morphology using an environmental scanning electron microscope (i.e. back-scattered electron and charging contrast). The mount was then cleaned and gold coated in preparation for SHRIMP analyses.

BSE and CL images were made of rock texture and zircon morphology in a thin section of sample AL-1 with a CAMECA SX-50 electron microprobe installed at “Centro de Estudos em Petrologia e Geoquímica / IG / UFRGS”, in Porto Alegre, Brazil. WDS quantitative chemical analyses of orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene and hornblende followed the methodology of Hartmann et al. (1997). Charging contrast images (CCI) were made in a JEOL superprobe at UWA; these images are made in very low vacuum conditions and are overall similar to CL images.

The isotopic composition of the zircons was determined using a SHRIMP II instrument installed at Curtin University, using methods published by Compston et al. (1992), Smith et al. (1998) and De Laeter and Kennedy (1998). Circular to oval areas of $20 \pm 30 \mu m$ were analysed from homogeneous areas chosen within zircon crystals, together with replicate analyses of the CZ3 standard in the same epoxy mount. Correction for common Pb was made using the measured $^{206}$Pb and the Pb isotopic composition for Broken Hill galena. The level of common Pb is similar to that observed in the CZ3 standard and is considered to be largely from the gold coat. The uncertainty in all reported ages is at 95% confidence level, unless otherwise stated.

**GEOCHRONOLOGY**

Sample AL-1 is a trondhjemite, representative of the acid lithologies of the granulite complex. Basic rocks also occur in the quarry. See Appendix 1 for
sample description.

Three generations of two-pyroxene formation are observed. The first generation is remnant magmatic (M), strongly exsolved. The second generation is metamorphic (M1), and corresponds to the strong granulite facies event observed in the complex. The metamorphic event M2 is the formation of rims of hornblende around the pyroxenes, while a most significant texture is the presence of simplectites of two-pyroxenes (M3) around the hornblendedes (Appendix 2).

Zircon crystals in sample AL-1 are mostly rounded but some have prism and pyramid forms in thin section; they are pinkish brown, and occur mostly included in plagioclase but also in orthopyroxene. The observation of 150 crystals in BSE and CCI showed them to be little metamict with variable intensity of fracturing. Intensely metamict portions of crystals are identified because they are dark in both BSE and CCI images. The least fractured crystals were chosen for the SHRIMP analyses. All crystals exhibit rounded edges, even the elongated, nearly euhedral crystals (Fig. 2). Fractures are still present in the medium gray magmatic portions, but are sealed in the portions of the fractures which are bright (in BSE). This alteration process is similar to the crystals described by Hartmann et al. (1997). Some crystals have a soccer-ball shape (Fig. 2a), typical of granulite facies zircons (e.g. Vavra et al. 1999, Hartmann et al. 1999a). Mineral inclusions are rare and when present are small in the zircon crystals.

Fourteen U/Th/Pb isotopic spot determinations on 13 zircon crystals from sample AL-1 (Table I, Fig. 3) yield a spread of Pb207/Pb206 ages between ∼2.7 and ∼2.2 Ga, although most ages are Archaean. High U contents in many of the zircon crystals probably caused incipient metamictization of the zircons, not observed in BSE/CL/CCI images, and consequently these are more prone to later lead loss. These ages between Archaean and Paleoproterozoic are independent of the internal structure of the zircon under the analysed spot, except for the ∼2.2 Ga results, which were obtained in the rim of a crystal with distinctive core. This indicates the presence of very complex internal structures, because the investigation did not allow the clear identification of the geological events on the BSE/CL images before the SHRIMP dating.

The age of 2716 ± 17 Ma is considered the minimum age of the magmatic crystallization of the zircons. The age of the metamorphic events can only be estimated, because of the small number of sputtered SHRIMP analytical spots. The youngest age (2168 ± 18 Ma) is considered the time of M3 high-grade granulite facies metamorphism, because it was obtained on rims of zircon crystals. The spots located between 2.5 and 2.7 Ga are interpreted as due to partial resetting of magmatic and M1 crystals.
during M$_3$ metamorphism. Many spots show recent lead loss, presumably caused by weathering. The preservation of magmatic ages in the zircons from this polydeformed trondhjemite reinforces the observation of the resilient nature of zircon (e.g. Hartmann et al. 1999a).

The M$_1$ granulite facies event is interpreted as having occurred about 2.67 Ga, while the M$_3$ orthopyroxene crystallization probably occurred near 2.17 Ga. The timing of hornblende crystallization cannot be established from the data. The age of the M$_1$ event is well established, because metamorphic ovate (soccer-ball) crystals were dated, but the significance of the 2.17 Ga age is open to evaluation. Interpretation is not straightforward, because of the complex field geology and microstructure of the granulites and their zircon crystals, but this age is consistent with the 2.2 Ga Sm/Nd dating of amphibolite facies minerals by Hartmann et al. (1999b). The analytical spot at 2478 ± 5 Ma is interpreted as partial resetting; according to this interpretation, the number has no geological meaning. But 2478 ± 5 Ma may actually correspond to a metamorphic event; additional work is required. Alternative geochronologic interpretations could be considered, particularly for the age of the amphibolite facies event, which is the age of M$_2$ hornblende crystallization, but we interpret the two granulite facies events as about 2.67 and 2.17 Ga.

An alternative interpretation of the data places the magmatism and the granulite facies metamorphism M$_1$ near 2.7 Ga, and considers progressive lead loss along a concordia from 2.7 Ga towards about 2.0 Ga. The second granulite facies event (M$_3$) occurred at a younger age, according to this interpretation. Additional work is necessary to clarify these relationships, particularly the absolute age of (M$_2$) hornblende and M$_3$ orthopyroxene crystallization.

Zircon Th/U ratios are about 0.4 in the spots interpreted as magmatic (Fig. 4), but are near 0.02 in the metamorphic M$_1$ spots. The two spots indicating the age of ca. 2.1 Ga have ratios about 0.3, similar to the ratios determined by Basei et al.
Fig. 3 – Concordia diagram of granulite, sample AL-1. Interpretation of magmatism (Archaean), $M_1$ granulite facies (Archaean) and $M_2 + M_3$ amphibolite + recurrent granulite facies (Paleoproterozoic). Analytical spots close to 2.58 and 2.48 Ga are interpreted as lead loss during the Paleoproterozoic event. Many spots indicate modern lead loss, because they align to 0 Ma. Oldest blank spots are interpreted as magmatic about 2716 Ma; youngest blank spots are interpreted as metamorphic $M_3$ about 2168 Ma; shaded spots are interpreted as metamorphic $M_1$ about 2675 Ma; black spots are interpreted as due to partial resetting.

(1998). The ratios near 0.3 may be due to different fluid compositions or physical conditions during this event. Th/U ratios of about 0.4 are considered typical of magmatic zircons in granitic rocks, while low ratios about 0.02 or less are considered characteristic of metamorphic zircons (Corfu 1987, Barrie & Krogh 1996, Rubatto et al. 1998, Silva et al. 1999, Vavra et al. 1999). The Th/U ratios, in association with the zircon structure in BSE images and the SHRIMP ages, support the interpretation of the geological significance of the isotopic results presented in this paper.

In the light of SHRIMP U/Pb zircon isotopic studies in other granulite terrains (Friend & Kinny 1995, Hartmann et al. 1999a), we interpret the spread of spots between the Archaean and Paleoproterozoic as due to partial resetting of the isotopic system during the youngest event. Magmatic zircons of Archaean age were, by this interpretation, metamorphosed during three events - granulite facies $M_1$, amphibolite facies $M_2$ and granulite facies $M_3$, over a time period of about 500 million years from the Archaean to the Paleoproterozoic. No events younger than about 2.2 Ga are registered in the zircons dated. Only K-Ar ages about 1.9 Ga were obtained in a few samples of the complex (Basei et al. 1998).

The granulite complex became a stable craton after 1.9 Ga, and remained as a largely undeformed block during the Neoproterozoic Brasiliano Cycle orogenies, and thus formed the Luís Alves craton (Kaul & Teixeira 1982).
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APPENDIX 1
SAMPLES
In the Luís Alves quarry, rocks are exposed in a nearly vertical face of 80 m length by 40 m height. Narrow (∼ 1 m) vertical shear zones cut the sub-vertical granulites; epidote and chlorite occur in the shear zones and in veinlets. Secondary garnet is present in very small amounts. The rocks are predominantly intermediate with less bimodal basic-acid rocks present. The basic rocks occur mostly as small (0.5-1.0 m) remnant blocks. The basic rocks mixed tectonically with the enclosing acid rocks many with gradational contacts. Sample AL-1 is a trondhjemitic granulite selected for dating. Sample AL-2 is a mafic gneiss from the quarry. Sample 9 was collected 100 m north of the quarry; it is a mafic granulite with important simplectic microstructures.

The trondhjemitic, sample AL-1, is medium grained, greenish gray orthogneiss with a diffuse foliation. Simplectites of orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, plagioclase and hornblende are present between M1 hornblende and M1 plagioclase and locally between orthopyroxenes or clinopyroxenes and plagioclases (Fig. 5). The simplectic minerals show a granoblastic equilibrium texture. The mineral phases were identified by electron microprobe using EDS and then chemically analysed with WDS techniques. The Al2O3 content of the M1 orthopyroxenes is around 1.3 wt% and drops to 0.9 wt% in the simplectic M2 orthopyroxenes (Table II).

Sample AL-2 has abundant medium-grained hornblende and plagioclase. Clinopyroxene, plagioclase, hornblende and titanite are only present as minute simplectites around hornblende (no orthopyroxene in the simplectites). Sample 9, in contrast, contains abundant orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene, in addition to plagioclase and hornblende in the simplectites; larger hornblende occurs rimming pyroxene crystals. A distinctive microstructure in the mafic granulite, sample 9 (Fig. 6), is the presence of simplectites of orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, plagioclase and hornblende as rims on hornblende, which crystallized between crystals of pyroxene and plagioclase. The microstructures in three rock samples involving plagioclase and pyroxene are consistent with ductile deformation.

APPENDIX 2
METAMORPHISM
Magmatic textures are poorly preserved in the granulites (Fornari 1998), although strongly exsolved porphyroclasts of orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene and plagioclase are a strong indication that the high-grade deformation did not entirely erase the magmatic memory of the gneisses. The thermal event ac-
Fig. 5 – Backscattered electrons (BSE) and cathodoluminescence (CL) images from a thin section of the dated sample AL-1. All minerals were identified by electron microprobe. (a)-(d) Numbered spots are locations of chemical analyses by electron microprobe (Table I). Metamorphic $M_1$ orthopyroxene (large crystals) shown; $M_3$ orthopyroxene crystals in symplectites also shown. (e) Zircon crystal in intercrystalline position, surrounded in part by chlorite. Zircon has euhedral to subhedral form and some rounding due to metamorphism. (f) Same zircon crystal as Figure (e). Marked diffusional replacement of cores in the two crystals of (e)-(h) and also of rim in (h). Remnant (unsealed) radial fractures seen in preserved magmatic mantle in (e). Arrows in (g) and (h) in same relative position with respect to the crystal rim.

TABLE II

Chemical analyses by electron microprobe of minerals from Santa Catarina granulite, sample AL-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral Event</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>opx</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>M1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>32.60</td>
<td>13.06</td>
<td>32.20</td>
<td>11.40</td>
<td>32.60</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>31.80</td>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>14.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>10.91</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>14.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>20.96</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>22.26</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>21.62</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>101.00</td>
<td>99.48</td>
<td>99.90</td>
<td>101.00</td>
<td>99.90</td>
<td>100.5</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.80</td>
<td>101.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral Event</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>opx</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>M2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>32.23</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td>29.16</td>
<td>29.13</td>
<td>28.68</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>11.05</td>
<td>17.55</td>
<td>17.58</td>
<td>17.37</td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>20.51</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>21.17</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.80</td>
<td>98.83</td>
<td>99.64</td>
<td>99.60</td>
<td>99.24</td>
<td>100.60</td>
<td>100.30</td>
<td>99.72</td>
<td>97.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Content 0.00 = below detection limit.

companying deformation – metamorphic event M₁, was in the granulite facies, as shown by the volumetrically dominant association of two pyroxenes in mafic lithologies and by the widespread occurrence of orthopyroxenes in the acid lithologies. Orthopyroxene is well crystallized in quartzites and iron formations, which is typical of M₁ crystals; M₃ orthopyroxenes were only observed in fine grained symplectites around hornblende.

The temperature of M₁ metamorphic event is estimated about 800°C based on two-pyroxene thermometry (Girardi & Ulbrich 1980, Hartmann 1988, Basei et al. 1998, Fornari 1998). Pressure during M₁ was estimated at ~ 6 kb by Girardi and Ulbrich (1980), an estimate consistent with the lack of garnet formed in the mafic granulites in the M₁ event.

Because hornblende mantles the M₁ orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene, a M₂ metamorphic event in the amphibolite facies can be presumed, possibly occurring while temperature decreased. The M₂ hornblende has TiO₂ contents around 2.2 wt%. The remarkable simplectic texture of two pyroxenes plus plagioclase and hornblende indicates metamorphic conditions in the granulite facies during a M₃ metamorphic event, presumably during increasing temperature after the formation of the hornblende or more extensive dehydration of the rocks. Temperatures are estimated at 800 ± 50°C for the M₃ event, based on two-pyroxene thermometry (Brey & Kohler 1990); data used are chemical analyses of two pyroxenes by electron microprobe.

Granulite facies symplectites are uncommon (e.g. Passchier & Trouw 1996), but Schenk (1984, PLATE 5B) presents several types of symplectites, mostly garnet-bearing, but also orthopyroxene, plagioclase and ilmenite bearing coronas around hornblende. Harley (1988) describes the decompression formation of symplectites of orthopyroxene +
Fig. 6 – Sample 9, mafic granulite. BSE images in (a)-(f) and microphotographs in (g)-(h). Granoblastic texture of orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene and plagioclase, rimmed by hornblende, which in turn is rimmed by granoblastic simplectites of orthopyroxene + clinopyroxene + plagioclase + hornblende. All minerals were identified by electron microprobe. Numbered spots are chemical analyses by electron microprobe (Table I).
plagioclase between garnet and clinopyroxene and around hornblende. Messiga and Bettini (1990) make a general observation about a plagioclase, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene bearing corona around garnet, and they also describe coronas of orthopyroxene and orthopyroxene + plagioclase, and fine-grained kelyphite of elongated grains of orthopyroxene + spinel + plagioclase ± amphibole. Baba (1998) describes the formation of orthopyroxene + plagioclase around garnet by isothermal decompression in the Lewisian complex.

The following sequence of events is recognized in the dated granulite quarry and is also based on extensive observations in the Santa Catarina granulite complex in the Luís Alves region,

1. Magmatism (island arc) – Strongly exsolved porphyroclasts of orthopyroxene + clinopyroxene + plagioclase;
2. Granulite facies M1 (middle crust, subvertical banding) – Granoblastic orthopyroxene + clinopyroxene + plagioclase (An35-65);
3. Amphibolite facies M2 (middle crust) – Hornblende (2.2 wt% TiO2) + plagioclase.
4. Granulite facies M3 (middle crust, mylonitic) – Simplectitic orthopyroxene + clinopyroxene + plagioclase + hornblende (0.15 wt% TiO2);
5. Greenschist facies M4 (upper crust, cataclastic) – Epidote + chlorite + quartz.

Quartz and accessory biotite are present in most mafic and acid granulite samples. The absence of garnet in mafic granulites is an indication that the complex never attained lower crustal conditions.

The U/Pb SHRIMP isotopic results and interpretation of ages reflect the superposition of high temperature events in the zircon crystals. The P-T path may be of isothermal decompression, but the absence of garnet in the mafic lithologies during M1 requires additional investigations, because the variation of pressure seems to have occurred outside of the garnet stability field.
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