

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Radiological signs of pulmonary congestion do not predict failed spontaneous breathing trial

ACP Antonio^{1,2,3*}, AP Zanardo³, PS Castro^{2,3}, MB Gazzana^{2,3}, C Teixeira³, M Knorst¹

From ESICM LIVES 2015 Berlin, Germany. 3-7 October 2015

Introduction

Both delayed and premature liberation from mechanical ventilation (MV) are associated with increased morbimortality. Positive pressure ventilation exerts beneficial effects in individuals with cardiogenic pulmonary edema; inspiratory fall in intra-thoracic pressure during spontaneous breathing trial (SBT), in its turn, may precipitate cardiac

dysfunction through abrupt increase in venous return and in left ventricular afterload.

Objectives

Determine the impact of radiological signs of pulmonary congestion prior to submission to SBT on weaning outcomes in a mixed ICU population.

Table 1. Numerical score of radiological signs of lung edema. The severity of lung congestion or edema according to radiological score (RS) criteria was determined as follows: RS of 0–1 for a normal chest X-ray, 2–4- for interstitial lung congestion, and RS values of 5–6, 7–8 and 9–10 signified mild, moderate and severe alveolar edemas, respectively.

Sign	Value
Lung vessels redistribution—no	0
Lung vessels redistribution—yes	1
Width of cardiac silhouette (60%)-no	0
Width of cardiac silhouette (60%)-yes	1
Peribronchial cuffing—no	0
Peribronchial cuffing—yes	1
New pleural effusion—no	0
New pleural effusion - unilateral	1
New pleural effusion - bilateral	2
Kerley A or/and B or/and C line :	
None	0
Uncertain	1
Definite	2
No lung opacity	0
Lung opacity	1
Lung ground-glass opacity	2
Lung batwing edema	3

Figure 1

¹Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Pneumológicas, Porto Alegre, Brazil Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Methods

A prospective, observational study in an adult medicalsurgical ICU. All enrolled patients met eligibility criteria for weaning from MV. Traqueostomized subjects were excluded. The primary end point was SBT failure, defined as inability to tolerate a T-piece trial during 30 to 120 minutes, in which case patient was not extubated. An attending radiologist applied a radiological score (RS)

Results

There was a total of 170 SBTs procedures; SBT failure eventuated in 28 (16.4%). Nineteen patients (11.2%) had systolic heart failure (ejection fraction < 35%), 4 (2.4%) had chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and 31 (18.2%) had been intubated due to respiratory sepsis. One hundred thirty-three patients (78.3%) were extubated at first attempt. RS was similar between SBT failure and success subjects (median 3 [2 - 4] vs 3 [2 - 4], p = 0.146), which means only intersticial lung congestion for both groups. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves analysis demonstrated fail accuracy (area under curve [AUC] = 0.58) of CXRs prior to T-piece trial for discrimination between SBT failure and success individuals. There was no correlation between fluid balance in the 48 hours preceding SBT and RS (ρ = -0.13).

Conclusions

Radiological findings of pulmonary congestion should not delay SBT indication since they did not predict greater probability of SBT failure in medical-surgical critically ill population.

Authors' details

¹Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Pneumológicas, Porto Alegre, Brazil. ²Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brazil. ³Hospital Moinhos de Vento, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

Published: 1 October 2015

Reference

 Shochat M, Shotan A, Trachtengerts V, Blondheim DS, Kazatsker M, Gurovich V, Asif A, Shochat I, Rozenman Y, Meisel SR: A novel radiological score to assess lung fluid content during evolving acute heartfailure in the course of acute myocardial infarction. Acute Card Care 2011, 13(2):81-6, Jun;

doi:10.1186/2197-425X-3-S1-A1007

Cite this article as: Antonio *et al.*: Radiological signs of pulmonary congestion do not predict failed spontaneous breathing trial. *Intensive Care Medicine Experimental* 2015 **3**(Suppl 1):A1007.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com