Anionic Surfactant Aggregation with (Hydroxypropyl)cellulose in the Presence of Added Salt
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Estudou-se a agregação de surfactantes aniónicos em soluções aquosas diluídas de (hidroxipropil)celulose (HPC) sob moderada força iônica (NaCl 0,1 mol L$^{-1}$). Empregaram-se os surfactantes colato de sódio (CS), deoxicolato de sódio (DC) e dodecil sulfato de sódio (SDS). Observou-se decréscimo da concentração de agregação crítica ($C_1$) para SDS e DC em comparação à concentração micelar crítica (CMC), enquanto ocorreu aumento para CS, a 298 K. A viscosidade relativa apresentou um máximo para o sistema SDS/HPC, mas permaneceu constante para CS/HPC ou DC/HPC. Houve aumento da temperatura de turbidez para todos os sistemas. Utilizando-se espalhamento de luz dinâmico, verificou-se que, a baixas concentrações de surfactante, o modo rápido da HPC relaciona-se a agregados surfactantes/HPC e cadeias poliméricas curtas; a altas concentrações, a micelas livres. O modo lento relaciona-se a complexos surfactante/HPC ou a “clusters” de HPC. Nos sistemas sais biliares/HPC, o mecanismo de agregação pode ocorrer em duas etapas.

The aggregation of different anionic surfactants in dilute aqueous solutions of (hydroxypropyl)cellulose (HPC) under moderate ionic strength (NaCl 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$) was studied. The surfactants were sodium cholate (CS), sodium deoxycholate (DC) and sodium dodecylsulphate (SDS). By fluorescence probing, the critical aggregate concentration ($C_1$) decreased for SDS and DC in comparison to the critical micelle concentration (CMC) whereas it increased for CS at 298 K. The relative viscosity reached a maximum for SDS/HPC but remained constant for CS/HPC and DC/HPC. By light scattering, cloud points were verified to increase. By dynamic light scattering, it was concluded that, at low surfactant contents, the fast mode of HPC is related to surfactant/HPC aggregates and shorter HPC chains; at high contents, to free micelles. The slow mode is linked to interchain polymer-surfactant complexes and HPC clusters. For the bile salts/ HPC systems, the mechanism of aggregation may occur in two steps.
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Introduction

Polymer-surfactant systems are subject of great interest because their physical properties are relevant to scientific and technological development. Cellulose ether derivatives such as (hydroxypropyl)cellulose (HPC) are water-soluble uncharged polymers that interact with anionic surfactants in solution changing the rheological properties of the system.$^1$ Interactions between nonionic polymers and ionic surfactants are a consequence of weak intermolecular forces which allow for a wide variety and range of behaviors in these systems. The directed action of a number of weak interactions leads to the cooperative nature of the aggregation behavior usually characterized by a starting surfactant concentration termed the critical aggregation concentration ($C_1$). The stabilization of the interfaces between the hydrophobic core of the aggregates and water is the major driving force for polymer-surfactant interaction.$^{2,3}$

Different research groups have focused on the relations between structure, charge and hydrophobicity of surfactants and polymers. With the aim to investigate systematically the hydrophobicity influence, many studies have introduced
hydrophobic modifications into polymers, as for example poly(sodium acrylate), (hydroxyethyl)cellulose, (hydroxypropyl)cellulose, ethyl(hydroxyethyl)cellulose, and poly(oxyethylene). It was verified that hydrophobically modified polymers have improved properties in comparison to their unmodified analogues. These modified polymers show interesting rheological behavior depending the viscosity strongly on the shear rate and surfactant concentration. In general both block and graft copolymers as well as more complex architectures were studied. Nevertheless only a few types of surfactants have been investigated, most of them formed by long alkyl chains with a polar head group.

We have previously studied different anionic surfactants with respect to the aggregation with the low molar mass, non charged flexible polymer poly(ethylene oxide), including some natural anionic surfactant bile salts, namely, sodium cholate (CS) and sodium deoxycholate (DC), that are carboxylic polyhydroxy derivatives from cholesterol and the well-known sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS) that is a long chain alkylsulfate. The molecular shape of the bile salts exhibits a planar polarity due to spatial distribution of lateral groups in their steroid backbone. The bile salt structures result in smaller and more rigid aggregates than the micelles formed by conventional alkyl-surfactants providing highly non-polar microenvironments with chiral properties. Indeed the balance of hydrophobic and electrostatic forces in the polymer-surfactant interaction in moderate ionic strength was shown to be sensitive to different surfactant structures being the hydrophobic component more relevant to bile salt aggregation. The present article uses the same set of surfactants (CS, DC and SDS) in order to study their aggregation behavior in the presence of the semi-rigid non-ionic polymer HPC by applying techniques such as fluorescence probing, capillary viscometry, static and dynamic light scattering, including turbidimetry. Due to the presence of salt in all body fluids and to potential applications in pharmaceutical formulations, the interaction of HPC with each of these anionic surfactants was investigated in aqueous NaCl 0.1 mol L⁻¹.

The interaction between bile salts and cellulose derivatives at molecular level has received little attention. Up to our knowledge it has been studied only with (hydroxypropylmethyl)cellulose. It was verified that the aggregation process was cooperative and that the aggregates were small, highly hydrophobic and with low surface charge density. The addition of a very small amount of DC to an aqueous HPMC solution already changed the dynamics of the reduction of surface tension.

**Experimental**

The surfactants CS (99%, Sigma), DC (99%, Sigma), SDS (99.5%, Fischer) were used as received. (Hydroxypropyl)cellulose (HPC, Aldrich) has average molar substitution degree for hydroxypropyl oxide group per anhydroglucose unit MS = 4.5 (NMR). A stock aqueous solution of HPC was dialyzed one week (Membracel tubing, cut-off 12,000-16,000 g mol⁻¹; Polylabo) and filtered subsequently through 8 and 0.45 μm membrane filters (Millipore). After dialysis HPC presents M_w = 110,000 g mol⁻¹, radius of gyration R_g = 39.5 nm; second virial coefficient A_2 = 1.02 10⁻³ mol cm³ g⁻² (data obtained through static light scattering by using Zimm plot extrapolation procedures); refractive index increment dn/dc = 0.1736 mL g⁻¹ obtained by differential refractometry; hydrodynamic radius R_h = 13.2 nm obtained by dynamic light scattering (DLS) at infinite dilution and zero angle (aqueous NaCl 0.1 mol L⁻¹ solution, T = 298 K) and M_w/M_n = 2.5 (GPC). Pyrene (Py, Aldrich) was recrystallized twice from ethanol solution. All solutions were prepared with Milli-Q grade water (Millipore). The probe solution was prepared by evaporating the suitable volume of the ethanol stock solution, followed by dissolution of the remaining solid in the surfactant/HPC solution. All surfactant/HPC solutions were stirred for 12 h at room temperature before the measurements. To remove dust for the light scattering experiments the solutions were filtered through 0.45 μm filter (Millipore) and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 90 minutes. All the presented data are averaged from three experimental sets.

![Figure 1. Idealized structure of HPC and the anionic surfactant structures.](image-url)
**Fluorescence**

The steady-state fluorescence measurements employed a Hitachi F-4500 Spectrophotometer with cell holder thermostated by a circulating ethylene glycol bath. The fluorescence spectra for Py were recorded in the corrected spectrum mode with excitation wavelength set at 336 and 2.5 nm slit. The ratio I/3 was taken from first (372 nm) and third (384 nm) vibronic peaks in the Py emission spectrum that has been shown to be a sensitive function of local polarity in organized systems. The Py concentration was kept low (≤ 5 x 10⁻⁶ mol L⁻¹) to exclude the possibility of excimer formation that may occur when the concentration is higher than 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹.

**Light scattering**

Static and dynamic light scattering as well as turbidimetry have been undertaken on a Brookhaven Instruments spectrometer with a He-Ne laser at 632.8 nm. A 264-channel BI-9000 AT correlator covering 7 decades in delay time was used. The samples were thermostated in a refractive-index-matching liquid (decaline). A BI-DNDCW Brookhaven differential refractometer was used to measure the refractive index increment of HPC in NaCl 0.1 mol L⁻¹ aqueous solution. All experiments took place at 298 K. The cloud point of the solutions was measured by the intensity of the scattered light, Iₛ, using the apparatus described above. The procedure involved heating at a constant rate of ca. 1.2 K min⁻¹. The temperature step was 1 K in the temperature range where Iₛ changed. Before the measurement, each sample was pre-heated above the cloud point and cooled to room temperature.

In dynamic light scattering (DLS) the intensity time autocorrelation function G₂(τ) of the scattered light intensity was measured:

\[ G₂(\tau) = <I(0)I(\tau)> \]  

and normalized by dividing it by the average intensity squared \(<I>²\) (baseline). When the scattered electric field is a gaussian process, the Siegert relation is valid:²⁴

\[ g₂(\tau) = 1+\beta [g₁(\tau)]² \]  

where \(g₂(\tau)\) is the normalized intensity time autocorrelation function, \(g₁(\tau)\) is the field correlation function and \(\beta\) is the spatial coherence factor which depends on the optical set-up. In order to characterize the hydrodynamic behavior of the aggregates, electric field time correlation functions were analyzed by two-exponential fits²⁴ (Microcal Origin 5.0) according to:

\[ g₁(\tau) = A₁\exp(-\Gamma₁\tau)+A₂\exp(-\Gamma₂\tau) \]  

where \(A₁\) and \(A₂\) are the amplitudes of the fast and the slow modes, respectively and \(\Gamma₁\) and \(\Gamma₂\) are the relaxation rates of each mode. The translational diffusion coefficient \(D\) is obtained as:

\[ \Gamma = Dq² \]  

where \(q\) is the scattering vector whose modulus is given by \(q = 4\pi\sin(\theta/2)/\lambda_0\) with \(n\) the refractive index of the medium, \(\theta\) the scattering angle and \(\lambda_0\) the wavelength of radiation in vacuum. It was also performed an inverse Laplace transformation to obtain the relaxation time distribution using the Repes Routine²⁵ incorporated in the Gendist²⁶ commercial software package. The apparent hydrodynamic radius, \(R_{H,app}\) was obtained from the apparent diffusion coefficient at the fixed polymer concentration of [HPC] = 0.5% m/m and scattering angle \(\theta = 90°\) according to the Stokes-Einstein equation:²⁴

\[ R_{H} = \frac{k_B T}{6\pi \eta D} \]  

where \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T\) the absolute temperature and \(\eta\) the solvent viscosity. All experiments took place at 298 K.

**Viscosity**

The viscometric measurements were carried out in an ordinary Cannon-Fenske capillary viscometer (water flow time ~ 100 s) thermostated at 298 K by a water bath. The relative viscosity, \(\eta_{rel}\) was obtained by the expression

\[ \eta_{rel} = \frac{\eta}{\eta_0} \]  

where \(\eta\) and \(\eta_0\) are the viscosity of the sample and surfactant (free of polymer) in aqueous NaCl 0.1 mol L⁻¹ solutions, respectively. The overlapping concentration, \(c^*\), for HPC was obtained from determination of the intrinsic viscosity, [\(\eta\)] as 1/[\(\eta\)] ≅ 0.93% (not shown) in agreement with 1/(A₂ M_w) ≅ 0.89%.

**Results and Discussion**

The ratio I/I₁ from Py fluorescence decreases for different systems as the total surfactant concentration increases reflecting the incorporation of Py in a hydrophobic site due to aggregate formation (Figures 2 and 3). The critical surfactant concentration (C₁) was
determined either by the inflection point of the curve \( C_1^a \) or by the intercept from linear extrapolation on bottom and steep data \( C_1^b \) (Table 1). The difference between both \( C_1 \) values is proportional to non-cooperative character of the aggregation process. The critical surfactant concentration without polymer or critical micellization concentration (CMC) was obtained for each surfactant at 298 K in good agreement with literature values.\(^{16,27}\) In the presence of 0.5% HPC the \( C_1 \) decreases with respect to CMC observed for the SDS/HPC systems whereas an opposite trend is observed for DC/HPC systems where an earlier study\(^{22}\) on the system DC/HPMC/NaCl 0.01 mol L\(^{-1}\) indicated no changes with respect to CMC of DC but in our work the higher ionic strength may have contributed to decrease the solubility of the system leading to aggregation at lower concentration. The CMC reduction indicates polymer-surfactant association however the increment of CMC does not exclude it.\(^{22,29}\) The latter case reflects a destabilization of the micelle. The cooperative degree of the aggregation leads to the sharpness of the steeper segment in the curves (Figures 2 and 3) which approximates both \( C_1 \) values (Table 1). Therefore the cooperative degree of the aggregation in 0.5% HPC seems to increase for SDS (Figure 2) and DC\(^{30,31}\) but decreases for CS (Figure 3). The same surfactants have shown a similar behavior with the non-ionic polymer poly(ethylene oxide).\(^{17}\) The micropolarity (\( \mu_P \)) being the average ratio \( I_1/I_3 \) value at the concentration range higher than \( C_1 \) (bottom plateau range) indicates that 0.5% HPC does not change the hydrophobic pocket occupied by the fluorescent probe in the aggregate for any system.\(^{30}\) In the case of bile salts/0.5% HPC systems, the low \( \mu_P \) values indicate a possible absence of the palisade layer as suggested long ago for CS and DC free micelles in water in the presence of NaCl.\(^{32}\)

The relative viscosity, \( \eta_{rel} \) of 0.5% HPC as a function of total surfactant concentration is presented in Figure 4. At 298 K the main change of \( \eta_{rel}\) is observed for SDS/HPC system as the viscosity increases up to 2.56 at \( 4 \times 10^{-3} \) mol L\(^{-1}\) SDS concentration and decreases to 1.46 about 20 \( \times 10^{-3} \) mol L\(^{-1}\). The curve for SDS/HPC system has the same shape that was reported without salt\(^{33}\) changing the maximum position to lower SDS concentration due to increase of the ionic strength.\(^{34}\) In addition, the maximum viscosity at \( 4 \times 10^{-3} \) mol L\(^{-1}\) is also close to the value observed for SDS/ethyl(hydroxyethyl)cellulose systems independently of the polymer concentration.\(^{1,14,35}\)
The occurrence of the maximum viscosity above $C_1$ can be attributed to the formation of interchain polymer-surfactant complexes.\textsuperscript{1,3,36} The subsequent decrease in the viscosity with increasing SDS concentration indicates that the aggregate formation leads to a more compact polymer structure due to intrachain polymer-surfactant complexes.\textsuperscript{1,3} At higher SDS concentration the viscosity falls below the initial level indicating the increase of the degree of flexibility/mobility as a result of HPC becoming more hydrophilic.\textsuperscript{3} In accordance to this interpretation is the fact that the value of the micropolarity for SDS/HPC system is higher than that found for CS/HPC and DC/HPC systems.\textsuperscript{30,31} On the other hand the relative viscosity for bile salts/0.5% HPC solutions is nearly constant. In this case, the technique was not sensitive enough to detect the interaction bile salts/HPC since no significant changes were observed in viscosity profile for these systems. This evidence can be related to the intensity of the interaction surfactant/HPC. It is known that free micelles of bile salts are smaller than the corresponding SDS systems even in the presence of PEO.\textsuperscript{17,27} The different behavior of these systems can be correlated with a larger number of aggregates with smaller charge density and size in the case of the bile salts when compared to SDS.

The results obtained for turbidimetry are in agreement with this explanation. Typical experiments for the cloud point determination are shown in Figure 5a being the ratio $L/L_0$ the scattered light intensity normalized by the highest intensity of each sample. The cloud point value is taken as the intercept from linear extrapolation between bottom and steep data. In Figure 5b in turn is shown the cloud point dependence on the total surfactant concentration for all surfactant/0.5% HPC systems. In the low surfactant concentration range, the cloud point for 0.5% HPC / NaCl 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ (311K) is almost unchanged for all surfactant/HPC solutions (Figure 5b). However at surfactant concentrations above each $C_1$ there is a clear upper shift of the cloud point. The steep segment for SDS/HPC occurs about $4 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$ surfactant concentration and it is more pronounced than in the bile salts/HPC systems. The effectiveness of SDS to increase the cloud point of HPC has been attributed to electrostatic repulsion between their micelles bound to the polymer.\textsuperscript{33,37} In the present case, the amount of added NaCl leads to a moderate ionic strength which seems not being able of completely screening this electrostatic repulsion. On the other hand
the behavior of the bile salts/HPC aggregate solutions is almost the same within the experimental error, starting the steep segment about $10^{-2}$ mol L$^{-1}$ surfactant concentration and reaching an upper limit to cloud point at 320 ± 3 K about $2 \times 10^{-2}$ mol L$^{-1}$ surfactant concentration. Another study employing some cationic surfactants as dodecyl tetramethyl ammonium halides with HPC has shown a cloud point increase also followed by a plateau dependent on type of the halide and the overall effect was attributed to small size – that is the case for bile salts – and spherical shape of their micelles.33

It is worth commenting on the fact that the heating rate of ca. 1.2 K min$^{-1}$ is sufficiently slow for equilibrium to be achieved and allowed to obtain reproducible results. For the cloud point determination of aqueous cellulose ether solutions, other studies38,39 have used even higher rates. Moreover the procedures reported in the literature usually involve visual inspection. Our study detects clouding by the scattered light intensity with the advantage that this procedure does not depend on the human eye. Good reproducibility was achieved also by preheating the samples above their cloud points. When cooling back to room temperature, all material was dissolved, as indicated by the low scattered intensity sensitively monitored. Figure 6 shows ratios of time averaged scattered intensities being the intensity scattered by surfactant/HPC systems over that of free HPC solution at 298K. The behavior is similar to the viscometric one seen in Figure 4: there is a maximum at [SDS] = 4 × 10$^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$ followed by a decrease of the intensity ratio while in the presence of the bile salts this ratio is nearly constant over the whole surfactant concentration range. When SDS is the surfactant, the concentration of added salt is again not enough to completely screen the electrostatic repulsion. This observed scattering behavior is consistent with that reported for high molecular weight poly(vinylpyrrolidone), PVP, under moderate ionic strength40 where the decrease of the scattered intensity was attributed to the effect of electrostatic repulsion which leads to enhancement of the second virial coefficient.

In dynamic light scattering two modes were observed in the time correlation functions: (i) a fast mode, related to single polymer chain, intrachain polymer/surfactant aggregates or free micelles and (ii) a slow mode assigned to polymer clusters and interchain polymer/surfactant complexes. It is well recognized that cellulose derivatives in aqueous solutions may show the presence of clusters that originate from the aggregation of their hydrophobic groups.41,42

Figure 7 shows the angle dependence for relaxation rate of the fast mode, $\Gamma_f$, for different 0.5% HPC / NaCl 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ solutions with and without surfactants, calculated from a two-exponential fit to the field correlation functions. For the free HPC solution the fast mode predominates over the slow mode: at 0.5% m/m HPC shows ca. 27% clusters (not shown). Both modes are diffusive as can be seen by the plots of the relaxation rate $\Gamma_f$ (fast) and $\Gamma_s$ (slow, not shown) versus the square of the scattering vector ($q^2$) passing through the origin within the experimental error. In Figure 7 the slope of the curves is proportional to the apparent diffusion coefficient which means that a common feature for bile salt aggregates is the increment of diffusiveness for the fast polymer mode while SDS does not change it. Considering the fast mode, the CS addition shows the highest diffusion coefficient among the systems presented being followed by DC. The behavior is analogous in the case of the slow mode with the exception that SDS/HPC system is faster than HPC without surfactant. These facts may reflect its highest unimer solubility among the three surfactants and, therefore, pointing out the main contribution from loosening the water superstructure (entropic component). It is also worth noting that the surfactant concentrations in Figure 7 were chosen in the aggregate range that represents similar aggregate concentration value for all surfactant/HPC systems.

Figure 8 shows relaxation time distributions for the system CS/HPC 0.5%. In the absence of surfactant, HPC shows two distinct broad peaks, the one at longer relaxation times corresponds to HPC clusters whereas the other one can be assigned to single polymer chains. The latter is the dominant peak. When CS is added, changes in the distribution occur already below $C_1$. At [CS] = $5 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$ the cluster peak is shifted to shorter times.
meaning that some clusters were dissolved (Figure 8a). Above $C_1$, at $[CS] = 20 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ three peaks can be distinguished (Figure 8b). The middle peak is the dominant one being visibly narrower in comparison to the prevailing peak of pure HPC. The cluster peak is greatly reduced and shifted to shorter relaxation times. This means that most of the clusters were dissolved by the surfactant CS and its addition reduces the polydispersity of HPC. The cluster peak disappears at $80 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$. At shorter relaxation times, a small peak appears at $20 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ and gains importance with the increase of CS concentration. As its position practically does not change, meaning that the hydrodynamic radius remains constant, this peak can be assigned to free micelles.

Figure 9a shows that DC dissolves HPC clusters already below $C_1$ and makes wider the peak assigned to single HPC chains. Above $C_1$, at $[DC] = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$, the cluster peak appears at shorter relaxation times (Figure 9b). As DC concentration increases, the distribution gets wider. At $[DC] = 30 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ three peaks can be easily distinguished, the one at shorter times can be assigned again to shorter HPC chains but also to free micelles, since at high surfactant concentrations the formation of free micelles is expected. At $[DC] = 40 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ only one wide and asymmetric peak is present.

Figure 10a shows that at $[SDS] = 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ the cluster peak is shifted to shorter relaxation times and increases its importance at $[SDS] = 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$. At $[SDS] = 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ there is only one wider peak (Figure 10b). The maximum peak is shifted to longer relaxation times meaning that the average hydrodynamic radius $<R_H>$ increased. At $[SDS] = 4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ a further increase of $<R_H>$ is evident. At $[SDS] = 6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ the distribution is wider than at smaller SDS contents and the maximum peak is shifted to the left. At $[SDS] > 10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ two peaks are again distinguishable but the cluster peak is very small. The average hydrodynamic size gets smaller. These observations are in agreement with the viscosity (Figure 4a) and scattered intensity (Figure 6) behaviors.

Although two-exponential fits give a simplified description of the system dynamics, (see supplementary material for the analysis of the hydrodynamic radii of the fast and slow modes as a function of the surfactant concentration) their use in this study led to results similar to the Repes method, namely, in the case of bile salts, dissolution of HPC clusters below $C_1$ and increasing hydrodynamic contribution of the free micelles at high surfactant contents.

In order to rationalize the relations between the surfactant structure and the aggregates with HPC, a brief
molecular description of the species involved may be useful. Both hydrophobic and polar portions do differ clearly between alkyl sulphate, SDS and cholesterol hydroxyl carboxylic derivatives, CS and DC (Figure 1). SDS is a linear molecule with a long flexible hydrophobic chain coupled to highly charged sulphate head, whereas CS and DC are rigid planar molecules anchored to a charged carboxylate head, with a hydrophobic steroid convex side and hydroxyl groups on concave side. The water solubility of these surfactants does reflect their hydrophilicity that follows the crescent order SDS<DC<CS. On the other hand HPC presents a semi-rigid poly(glycoside) backbone with intramolecular hydrogen bonding that is minimized by the hydroxypropyl substituents in order to enhance the polymer solubility. The semi-rigidity of HPC chain can be verified by the high value of the so-called r ratio of the radius of gyration to the hydrodynamic radius ($\rho = R_g / R_h = 3.0$).

All methods employed here indicated that the anionic surfactant aggregation in HPC solutions shows dependence on surfactant structure under moderate ionic strength. The C$_1$ crescent order SDS<DC<CS, determined by fluorescent probe solubilization in the aggregate hydrophobic sites, shows that the higher the driving force to aggregate formation, the lower the aqueous solubility. However the type of interaction is not the same, since in the SDS/HPC and DC/HPC systems there is some micelle stabilization by the polymer as aggregation is anticipated (C$_1$< CMC), whereas in CS/HPC system occurs a slight increase from CMC to C$_1$. It is worth noting that increasing the HPC concentration to the semidilute regime (1.2% m/m HPC) all systems follow the CS behavior resulting in C$_1$ > CMC (Table 1), this may reflect the aggregation mechanism dependence on availability of the hydrophobic surface.

In addition to solubility behavior and in agreement with an earlier study in the system SDS/ethyl(hydroxyethyl) cellulose, SDS can interact both with the propyl groups and the ethylene oxide groups increasing the driving force to
aggregation as HPC decreases the critical concentration for free SDS. In the bile salts/HPC systems the interaction occurs mainly through the propyl groups, although a previous study indicates a weak interaction of these surfactants with poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO).\textsuperscript{17} The observed effects seem to indicate that the partial polymer solubilization in the micelle palisade layer of SDS attributed for PEO does not occur in the interaction of the bile salts/HPC aggregates as also observed to bile salts/PEO.\textsuperscript{17} Indeed, as mentioned before, the palisade layer seems even to be absent in the case of bile salt aggregates. Also in agreement with the unchanged micropolarity between the free bile salts and aggregates, an alternative interaction could be the polymer adsorption on the hydrophobic exposed surfaces of the free bile salts that is about 60-80% of total hydrophobic surface.\textsuperscript{16}

As can be seen by the dynamic light scattering results, the behavior of the bile salts/HPC systems seems to support the process of polymer adsorption since there is dissolution of polymer clusters already at surfactant concentrations lower than the critical aggregation concentration $C_A$. This effect may also be interpreted as follows: at first bile salt unimers attach to the HPC chain and in a second step bile salt micelle-like aggregates further bind to it. Also at low surfactant contents ($< C_I$) DC seems to be more effective in dissolving polymer clusters than CS (see Figures 8a and 9a) indicating that, in the presence of HPC, the hydrophobic surface is less exposed in the case of CS.

Finally, concerning the viscosity results, it is worth commenting that the lack of change in the viscosity profile of the curves (Figure 4) for bile salts/HPC systems does not exclude the interaction between these compounds. In fact, this behavior only ratifies that such interaction is weak. However, it indeed exists, as verified mainly by light scattering results. Also a similar behavior was found in another recent study.\textsuperscript{44}

Conclusions

The surfactant alkylsulphate, SDS and the bile salts, CS and DC have shown different aggregate behavior in the presence of HPC at moderate ionic strength. The binding of the bile salts to HPC starts already below the critical aggregation concentration. Regarding the mechanism of aggregation, it may occur in two steps: (i) attachment of bile salt unimers to the HPC chain leading to the formation of aggregation nuclei; (ii) further binding of surfactant micelle-like aggregates on it. In addition the rigidity of both HPC and bile salts seem to play a role in order to expose the hydrophobic molecular segments responsible for the interaction.
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