Feeding different levels of vitamin E and selenium has no effect on serum immunoglobulin Y (IgY) production by layers vaccinated against Escherichia coli and avian encephalomyelitis virus
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ABSTRACT

The effects of vitamin E and selenium (Se) supplementation on the immunity of hens vaccinated against a mixture of six swine-pathogenic Escherichia coli (EC) and avian encephalomyelitis virus (AEV) were studied. Antibody production (AbP) was evaluated in ninety 49 to 57-week-old H&N Nick Chick hens fed diets containing 14IU Vitamin E kg-1 (basal diet), 27, 59, 111, or 111IU vitamin E kg-1 + 0.56ppm Se supplementation. At 51 wks of age, half of the hens were vaccinated against EC, and all birds were vaccinated against AEV. At 53-weeks of age, the birds received a second dose of EC vaccine. Blood samples were collected weekly and serum was analyzed by ELISA for anti-EC IgY and was expressed as optical density (OD). Vaccinated hens had higher serum OD than the non-vaccinated hens (P≤0.05). Vaccinated hens fed 27 and 59IU of vitamin E/kg had a higher (P<0.05) serum OD than hens fed 111IU + Se. Neither EC nor AEV seem to be appropriate models for the study of the influence of micronutrients on immune responsiveness of older hens.
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INTRODUCTION

Diet characteristics can modulate the sensitivity of birds to infectious challenges, and the type and level of each ingredient can affect bird immunity (KLASING, 1998). This suggests that it is possible to increase the amount of specific IgY, which corresponds to IgG in mammals, in the serum of poultry challenged with an antigen, and therefore, in their eggs, by means of the diet.

Vitamin E influences cells of the immune system, such as lymphocytes and macrophages (GEBREMICHAEL et al., 1984). It also has beneficial
effects on immunocompetence, limiting the production of prostaglandins and leukotriens, which are powerful inducers of inflammation, and alters the release of cytokines (CHUNG & BOREN, 1999). KIDD (2004) mentions increments in thymus and spleen cell T population for broilers supplemented with this vitamin. Also, KONJUFCA et al. (2004) observed, in 3-wk broilers, that 110 to 2200UI kg\(^{-1}\) of vitamin E influenced positively the macrophage phagocytic function. ZHU et al. (2003) using 200UI kg\(^{-1}\) of vitamin E, found a significant increment of T lymphocytes in turkeys challenged with *Lysteria monocytogenes*. PUTHPONGSIRIPORN et al. (2001) observed a higher lymphocyte proliferation *in vitro* with layers feed 65UI kg\(^{-1}\) of vitamin E and 1000ppm kg\(^{-1}\) of vitamin C together. LESHCHISKY & KLASING (2001) showed that moderate (25 to 50UI kg\(^{-1}\)) levels of vitamin E supplementation were more appropriate and that high levels were less effective for broilers challenged with infectious bronchitis virus and sheep red blood cells.

Selenium (Se) is a part of the natural antioxidant system, sparing vitamin E (COMBS et al., 1975) and increasing glutathione peroxidase activity (SURAI, 1998). Experiments on the immunological effects of Se supplementation suggested that IgM and IgG antibody responses could be boosted most effectively by concentrations considerably in excess of those required for normal growth, but other work has shown that such results depend on species, age, sex and antigen (TURNER & FINCH, 1991). HEGAZY & ADACHI (2000) found better immune response against *Salmonella typhimurium* infection when 1 ppm of Se was supplemented on the diet. Sources of Se should be considered also. Organic Se was more efficient than inorganic form in the synthesis of bovine IgM (*in vitro*) (FINCH & TURNER, 1996).

Vaccination initiates a primary humoral and/or cell mediated immune reaction. Antibodies can usually be detected some days after the vaccination and can increase up to the 14th day and then start to decline. The response to a second immunization (secondary immune response) is faster and reaches higher levels of antibodies (TIZARD, 2002). Therefore, the possibility to increase IgY production by nutrition in combination with a vaccination schedule warrants further investigation.

This study focused on the possible relationship between dietary vitamin E and Se and specific IgY titers in the serum of layers vaccinated against EC related to swine colibacillosis. Also, the relationship between those nutrients and the production of antibodies against AEV was evaluated. This virus was chosen believing the absence of specific antibodies in the birds. The main pathogen was chosen in order to produce eggs enriched with antibodies against swine *E. coli* to feed piglets to prevent neonatal diarrhea (RUDNIK et al., 2005).

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The experiment was conducted with 90 H&N Nick Chick layers acquired with 48 weeks of age from a commercial flock without receiving previous vaccinations against *E. coli* related to swine colibacillosis or avian encephalomyelitis virus. Birds were individually housed in cages in an environment with controlled temperature (±22°C), with 16 hours of light day\(^{-1}\). At the beginning of the experiment, the hens were weighed, selected, and distributed among treatment groups by similar body weights (P=0.79).

During the stage of adaptation, from 48 to 49 weeks of age, birds were fed a unique pre-experimental diet. On day 0 of the experimental period (at 49 weeks of age), birds were fed a mash diet, containing different vitamin E concentrations and Se. The basal diet, independently of the supplementation, contained 10IU of vitamin E, 0.18mg of selenium and 2800kcal ME per kg of feed, 17% crude protein, 0.8% lysine and 0.72% methionine + cystine.

Birds were distributed into 10 treatment groups. The treatments were: T1 – feed without vitamin E supplementation; T2 – supplementation of 50IU vitamin E kg\(^{-1}\) feed; T3 – supplementation of 150IU vitamin E kg\(^{-1}\) feed; T4 – supplementation of 250IU vitamin E kg\(^{-1}\) feed; and T5 – supplementation of 250IU vitamin E kg\(^{-1}\) feed and additional 0.3ppm Se as sodium selenite. Treatments 6 to 10 were the same as treatments 1 to 5, but birds in treatments 6 to 10 were vaccinated for *E. coli*. Vitamin E and Se were analyzed in diet and, due to the differences comparing calculated values, responses are discussed based on analyzed values. Vitamin E was analyzed by Roche®. Jalisco, México, and Se was analyzed by Laborquímica, Porto Alegre, Brasil. The levels of vit E and Se were chosen due to other experiments (LESCHCHINSKY & KLASING, 2001) and practical levels used in industry.

At 51 weeks of age (two weeks after the experimental diets were fed initially), blood was collected from each bird in order to control the level of specific antibodies against *E. coli* and avian encephalomyelitis virus (AEV) (Collection 1-Control). Immediately after the first collection of blood, birds in treatments 6 to 10 were vaccinated for *E. coli*, using 0.5mL of a commercial vaccine Enterovac-S, Laboratório IRFA, containing formalin inactivated 10\(^{8}\) bacterial cells mL\(^{-1}\), resuspend in water emulsion, produced from 6 *E. coli*.
coli serotypes related to swine colibacillosis (K12:K88ab, O157:K88ac, O8:K87:K88ad, 987P, O101:K30:F41, K99). Birds from treatments 1 to 5 received phosphate buffered saline (PBS) in the same dose. The vaccine and PBS were administered in the breast muscle, and the adjuvant used was aluminum hydroxide. The second vaccination, using the bacterin or PBS as a booster, was performed 2 weeks later, when birds were 53 weeks of age.

The live avian encephalomyelitis virus vaccine (Porvac-AE; Fort Dodge Lab) was applied to all birds, also at 51 weeks of age, via dilution of the vaccine in the water of a common drinker. At 1 and 2 weeks after the primary E. coli vaccination and at 1, 2, and 3 weeks after the secondary booster vaccination, blood samples were collected from the wing veins of all birds (Collections 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 respectively). Blood samples were incubated at 37°C for one hour and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 3 minutes in order to obtain a clarified serum. All serum samples were stored at -20°C.

The quantification of IgY against E. coli in the sera was performed by indirect ELISA (Enzyme Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay). Briefly, the same bacterin without adjuvant was diluted 1:10,000 in 0.1 M Na₂CO₃-NaHCO₃ buffer, pH 9.6 and used for coating of microtitration plates (100 μL/well) (Maxisorp, A/S Nunc, Kamstrup, Denmark). After an incubation period of 14 hours at 4°C in a humid chamber, plates were washed three times with washing buffer (0.1 M NaCl; 0.002 M Tris; 0.05% Tween 20; pH 7.4; 1% skimmed milk powder). Serum was diluted in washing buffer and incubated at room temperature in a humid chamber for 60 minutes. Plates were washed as described above and bound antibody was detected using goat anti-chicken IgY peroxidase labeled conjugate (Kirkegaard and Perry Laboratories (KPL), Gaithersburg, Maryland USA) diluted 1:1,000 in washing buffer. Plates were incubated at room temperature in a humid chamber for an additional 60 minutes and washed three times in washing buffer without skimmed milk powder. The chromogen solution (3.4mg OPD, 5μL H₂O₂ 30 vol. in 10mL of citrate-phosphate buffer) was added and plates were incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature in the dark. The reaction was blocked with 50 μL of H₂SO₄ 12.5%. Optical density (OD) was measured by an ELISA reader (Titertek multiscan MC) at a wavelength of 490nm.

The quantification of antibodies against AEV was made by ELISA, with a commercial kit (KPL), and using only sera of Collection 1 (control) and 4. The timing was justified by the fact that it is a common practice in the field to serologically monitor AEV 4 weeks after vaccination (MARTINS, 2000). The AEV titers in Collection 1 and 4 were also calculated by the Profile software from KPL.

Optical density of the sera (OD) was analyzed. Statistical analyses of ELISA observations for E. coli of each serum sample were conducted in duplicate for OD determination, considered as the average of two replicated samples minus the blank (optical density of all reagents except serum). As for AEV, single analyses of each sample were performed.

A completely randomized experimental design was used, with one bird considered the experimental unit and nine birds per treatment. Treatments were analyzed in a 5x2 factorial arrangement of treatments (supplementation and vaccination). The data were submitted to analyses of variance using the General Linear Models Procedure (SAS Institute, 1989). The OD results among treatments were compared by Least Square Means of the General Linear Models Procedure, considering the optical density value of the control serum (collected before the first vaccination) as the co-variable.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analyzed feed levels of vitamin E and Se were different from those calculated (Table 1). For Se, two samples were analyzed (supplemented or not) and that is why no variation appears among treatments 1,2,3,4,6,7,8 and 9. For vitamin E, one sample per diet was analyzed. The observed differences may have been due to mixing or sampling problems. Both analytical methods accept low CV levels (around 10%). The responses will be discussed based on analyzed values.

The statistical analysis of ELISA optical densities (OD) for E. coli did show significance for vaccine (P<0.0001), Collection (P<0.0001), but did not show significant interactions between supplementation and collection. However, there were significant interactions between vaccine and collection (P<0.0001) and supplementation and vaccine (P<0.03). Therefore, the main effects will not be discussed, but the significant interactions are considered.

The OD responses during the experimental period as a function of the vaccination effect and sera data collection for all treatments are presented in table 2. As expected, all sera had a similar OD in the first collection before vaccination had occurred. E. coli vaccinated birds presented increased antibody response as compared to the non vaccinated birds (P<0.05). The OD was directly related to the specific quantity of IgY against E. coli present in the serum in vaccinated birds.
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Vaccinated birds showed a significant increase in OD during the two weeks following the first vaccination (Collection 2 and 3), and the OD values were further elevated during the two weeks after the second vaccination (Collection 4 and 5). In collection 6 there was a decreased OD for vaccinated birds, but these OD values were not significantly different from those found during the two weeks following the first vaccination. These responses to serial vaccinations represent typical primary and secondary humoral immune responses to an antigen challenge.

Non-vaccinated birds did not show significant time-dependent differences when the control was compared with Collection 2, 3, and 6, but, in collection 4 and 5, OD values were significantly higher than control values. This was not expected without an additional challenge. FRIEDMAN et al. (1998) made a similar observation, and TENERGERTY & NOCKELS (1975) also reported the non-vaccinated negative control group to have developed a very high antibody titer against *E. coli*. In the former study, time-dependent increase in antibody titers was believed to be due to contact with bacteria in their environment.

Table 2 also presents OD during the experimental period as a function of supplementation effect. For this analysis, Collection 1 was neglected since birds from vaccinated group were not vaccinated yet. Within the non-vaccinated group, birds fed 27IU had lower OD as compared to those receiving the basal diet and the one containing 59IU of vitamin E. This unexpected result may be due to the difficulty of correctly quantifying the specific immunoglobulins produced against *E. coli*, an organism that is widely distributed in the environment. This means that, due to previous contact with this microorganism, birds can have different immunoglobulin levels, which cannot be explained merely by the effect of vaccination or of supplementation. These differences were not statistically different when the sera of the first Collection (Collection 1) were compared (P≤0.47). However, the coefficient of variation found in the initial optical density analysis of the bird’s sera was very high (64.45%).

Among the vaccinated birds, there were also differences in OD as a function of supplementation. The level of 111IU vitamin E + Se produced lower antibody levels than those seem when 27 and 59IU

### Table 1 - Analyzed and calculated vitamin E and selenium levels in the experimental diets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Vitamin E (IU kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Selenium (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analyzed (calculated)</td>
<td>Analyzed (calculated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 and 6</td>
<td>14 (10)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 and 7</td>
<td>27 (60)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 and 8</td>
<td>59 (160)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 and 9</td>
<td>111 (260)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 and 10</td>
<td>111 (260)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 - Optical density (OD) obtained by ELISA in the sera of birds vaccinated and non-vaccinated against *E. coli* as a function of collection date, vitamin E and selenium level in diet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection</th>
<th>Weeks</th>
<th>Non-vaccinated</th>
<th>Vaccinated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st vaccination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>51 -Control</td>
<td>0.73±0.044 bA</td>
<td>0.76±0.043 dA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.85±0.043 abB</td>
<td>1.02±0.042 cA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.82±0.043 abB</td>
<td>1.08±0.042 bcA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd vaccination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.90±0.041 aB</td>
<td>1.31±0.041 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.88±0.041 aB</td>
<td>1.30±0.041 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.83±0.043 abB</td>
<td>1.16±0.043 bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E + Se (IU vitamin E kg⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.92±0.039 a</td>
<td>1.09±0.038 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.75±0.039 b</td>
<td>1.15±0.039 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.87±0.039 a</td>
<td>1.16±0.039 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.81±0.039 ab</td>
<td>1.10±0.038 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111+Se</td>
<td>0.83±0.038 ab</td>
<td>1.03±0.039 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means within a column with no common small letters are different (P≤0.05).
Means within a row with no common capital letters are different (P≤0.05).
vitamin E kg⁻¹ was fed, but there were no differences between the OD values for 0 and 111 IU of vitamin E supplementation.

SELL et al. (1997) and FRIEDMAN et al. (1998) showed controversial results as to the value of excessive vitamin E for birds, suggesting that there is an interaction between genotype and immune response. LESHCHINSKY & KLASING (2001) suggested that high vitamin E levels in the feed might change the number and the function of the T-helper lymphocytes and modulate the production of cytokines, the activation of B-cells, and therefore, the level of specific antibody. These researchers observed that vitamin E supplementation between 25 and 50 IU kg⁻¹ presented the highest immunomodulator effect. Higher levels were less effective. In the same study, vitamin E levels higher than 50 IU kg⁻¹ feed had a negative effect on the production of antibody against sheep red blood cells. Some researchers showed that the effects of vitamin E on humoral immune response (antibody production) are influenced by age. Most of the reviewed studies, in which vitamin E had an immunomodulator effect, were conducted with young birds. Due to age, hens used in this experiment could have adequate storage of vitamin E.

Selenium supplementation had no effect on the OD of the sera of either non-vaccinated or of vaccinated birds, as observed by the comparison of the treatments supplemented with 111 IU of vitamin E with those supplemented with 111 IU vitamin E + Se (Table 2).

In the avian encephalomyelitis virus analysis, Table 3 shows that antibody production was influenced by supplementation accepting a probability of 0.13 (P ≤ 0.13). Birds receiving 111 IU of vitamin E in the diet produced less antibody than those fed 59 IU and the control group. Birds fed 111 IU of vitamin E plus Se produced more antibody than those receiving only 111 IU of vitamin E. The aim of using this virus to verify the effect of supplementation was to obtain initial sera with low levels of specific antibody. Although the birds in this experiment were not previously vaccinated against AEV, they presented a fair amount of antibody in the serum even before the challenge.

CONCLUSIONS

Vaccination increased the amount of IgY against swine-pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in the serum of the birds and it is a technique that can be employed to produce hyper-immune eggs. Intermediate supplementation levels of vitamin E produced higher antibody quantities in the challenged birds as compared to those receiving the highest level. As a function of the positive response against avian encephalomyelitis virus, selenium seemed to be an important mineral to stimulate immune system, but the ideal level, as well as the bioavailability of the sources, must be further investigated.

Neither *E. coli* nor the avian encephalomyelitis virus were appropriate pathogens for the development of this study due to the high OD in the initial sera of the birds. The use of antigens such as sheep red blood cells, which are completely unknown by the bird’s immune system in nature, may be a methodological alternative.
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