UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL ESCOLA DE EDUCAÇÃO FÍSICA, FISIOTERAPIA E DANÇA CURSO DE FISIOTERAPIA

Bruna Borba Minotto

AVALIAÇÃO DA FORÇA MUSCULAR DO COMPLEXO DO OMBRO DE PESSOAS COM SÍNDROME DO IMPACTO: UMA REVISÃO SISTEMÁTICA

Porto Alegre 2016

Bruna Borba Minotto

AVALIAÇÃO DA FORÇA MUSCULAR DO COMPLEXO DO OMBRO DE PESSOAS COM SÍNDROME DO IMPACTO: UMA REVISÃO SISTEMÁTICA

Assessment of Shoulder Complex Muscle Strength of People with Shoulder Impingement Syndrome: a Systematic Review

> Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso apresentado ao curso de Fisioterapia da Escola de Educação Física, Fisioterapia e Dança da Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, como requisito parcial para a obtenção do título de Bacharel em Fisioterapia.

Orientadora: Prof Dra Cláudia Silveira Lima

Porto Alegre 2016

RESUMO

Objetivo: Avaliar força e equilíbrio musculares do complexo do ombro, comparando pessoas com Síndrome do Impacto do Ombro (SIO) e controles, e pessoas com SIO antes e depois de intervenções fisioterapêuticas.

Método: As bases de dados PubMed, Cochrane, Web of Science, Scopus, Embase, Science Direct, Google Schoolar, PEDro e LILACS foram consultadas eletronicamente desde seu início até agosto de 2016. Os critérios de inclusão dos estudos foram desfecho força muscular do ombro e/ou da escápula, amostra de pessoas com SIO na faixa etária entre 18 e 60 anos. A seleção dos estudos, assim como a análise do risco de viés e a extração dos dados foram feitos em duplicata.

Resultados: Dos 900 estudos encontrados, oito foram incluídos na revisão. Três estudos compararam pessoas com SIO e controles. Pessoas com SIO apresentaram diminuição de força na musculatura escapular. Cinco artigos avaliaram pessoas com SIO antes e depois de intervenções fisioterapêuticas como campo eletromagnético pulsado (CEMP), exercício, auto-treinamento e terapia manual. CEMP aumentou a força muscular quando exercícios foram adicionados no *follow up*. Exercícios de alta dose aumentaram mais a força do que aqueles de baixa dose. Exercício supervisionado, auto-treinamento e terapia manual foram igualmente efetivos em aumentar força muscular.

Conclusão: Ao comparar a força muscular de pessoas com e sem SIO, estudos indicam que não há diferença em relação aos músculos do ombro, enquanto há redução de força no serrátil anterior. A respeito de modalidades fisioterapêuticas, o exercício parece ser fator diferencial em programas de reabilitação para aumento da força.

Palavras-chave:

Revisão sistemática, síndrome do impacto do ombro, escápula, força muscular, técnicas fisioterápicas.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Evaluate strength and muscle balance of the shoulder complex comparing people with Shoulder Impingement Syndrome (SIS) to controls, and people with SIS before and after physiotherapeutic interventions.

Method: PubMed, Cochrane, Web of Science, Scopus, Embase, Science Direct, Google Schoolar, PEDro and LILACS were searched. Inclusion criteria were shoulder or scapular muscle strength assessment, sample with SIS, age range 18-60 years. Study selection, risk of bias analysis, and data extraction were done in duplicate.

Results: Out of 900 studies, eight were included. Three studies compared people with SIS to controls. People with SIS presented decreased strength on scapular muscles. Five articles assessed people with SIS before and after physiotherapy interventions as pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF), exercise, self-training, and manual therapy. PEMF increased muscle strength when exercises were added during follow up. High dose exercises increased more strength than low dose exercises. Supervised exercise program, self-training and manual therapy equally improved shoulder muscle strength.

Conclusion: Comparing muscle strength of people with and without SIS, studies indicate that there is no difference regarding shoulder muscles, while there is a reduction of strength on serratus anterior. Regarding physiotherapy interventions, exercise seems to be the differential factor on rehabilitation programs to enhance muscle strength.

Keywords: systematic review, impingement syndrome, scapula, muscle strength, physical therapy modalities.

SUMÁRIO

APRESENTAÇÃO	6
ARTIGO COMPLETO	7
Título e Identificação	7
Abstract	8
Introduction	
Methods	10
Literature search/search strategy	10
Outcome measures	11
Eligibility Criteria	11
Studies Selection and data extraction	11
Quality Assessment	12
Results	12
Description of studies	12
Studies Characteristics	12
Risk of Bias	13
Shoulder Impingement Syndrome versus Control	13
Effects of interventions	14
Discussion	15
Conclusion	17
References:	
Tables	21
Implications for rehabilitation	26
ANEXO	27

APRESENTAÇÃO

Ao longo dos anos no curso de Fisioterapia, um dos campos que mais me interessou foi a traumato-ortopedia. Assim, me engajei em atividades relacionadas ao tema disponíveis na universidade. Participei de projeto de extensão de traumato-ortopedia em ambiente hospitar coordenado pela Professora Clarice Rocha, exerci função de monitora das disciplinas de Cinesiologia e Cinesioterapia, e aderi ao Grupo de Pesquisa em Cinesiologia e Cinesioterapia (GPCINE), sendo os dois últimos coordenados pela Professora Cláudia Lima. No GPCINE, minha colega Denise Rigoni e eu tivemos a oportunidade de elaborar e executar nossa própria pesquisa, do princípio ao fim. Com esta "bagagem", surgiu a intenção de realizar meu trabalho de conclusão de curso na área, sob orientação da Prof Cláudia.

Após conversa sobre algumas possibilidades de pesquisa, decidimos juntas pelo tema de força muscular em pessoas com Síndrome do Impacto do Ombro. A ideia inicial tratava-se de um estudo experimental, cujo objetivo seria avaliar características neuromusculares desta população. Elaborei um projeto de pesquisa, o qual foi submetido e aprovado pelo Comitê de Ética da UFRGS. Nesta etapa, porém, tivemos de mudar os planos, pois eu estava prestes a embarcar para a Irlanda, onde moraria e estudaria durante um ano, vinculada ao programa Ciência Sem Fronteiras (CSF). Após meu retorno, eu teria somente seis meses para concluir o TCC, período considerado insuficiente para a execução do citado projeto. Desta forma, quando voltei, optamos por transformar o trabalho em uma revisão sistemática.

O presente estudo consiste em uma revisão sistemática sobre força muscular em pessoas com Síndrome do Impacto do Ombro, abrangendo estudos que compararam pessoas com SIO a controles e estudos que compararam pré e pós intervenções fisioterapêuticas em pessoas com SIO.

Temos como intenção publicar esta revisão sistemática na revista *Disability and Rehabilitation*, qualis A2, fator de impacto 1,985. Assim, o artigo resultante deste trabalho está apresentado nas normas exigidas pela revista, as quais seguem anexas ao final deste documento.

Assessment of Shoulder Complex Muscle Strength of People with Shoulder Impingement Syndrome: a Systematic Review

Bruna Borba Minotto

Denise de Barros Rigoni

Cláudia Silveira Lima

Escola de Educação Física, Fisioterapia e Dança, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

Rua Dr. Mário Totta, 1450, bairro Tristeza, Porto Alegre-RS Brazil, CEP 91920-130; +55 51 32682243; <u>b_minotto@hotmail.com</u> (corresponding author)

Rua Gonçalves Dias, 170/1001,bairro Menino Deus, Porto Alegre-RS Brazil, CEP 90130-060; +55 51 34070840; <u>denirigoni@hotmail.com</u>

Escola de Educação Física, Fisioterapia e Dança da Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul; Rua Felizardo, 750 - LAPEX. Bairro Jardim Botânico, Porto Alegre-RS Brazil, CEP.: 90690-200; 5551 33085894; <u>claudia.lima@ufrgs.br</u> ; ORCID 0000-0002-6305-743x

Assessment of Shoulder Complex Muscle Strength of People with Shoulder Impingement Syndrome: a Systematic Review

Purpose: Evaluate strength and muscle balance of the shoulder complex comparing people with Shoulder Impingement Syndrome (SIS) to controls, and people with SIS before and after physiotherapeutic interventions.

Method: PubMed, Cochrane, Web of Science, Scopus, Embase, Science Direct, Google Schoolar, PEDro and LILACS were searched. Inclusion criteria were shoulder or scapular muscle strength assessment, sample with SIS, age range 18-60 years. Study selection, risk of bias analysis, and data extraction were done in duplicate.

Results: Out of 900 studies, eight were included. Three studies compared people with SIS to controls. People with SIS presented decreased strength on scapular muscles. Five articles assessed people with SIS before and after physiotherapy interventions as pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF), exercise, self-training, and manual therapy. PEMF increased muscle strength when exercises were added during follow up. High dose exercises increased more strength than low dose exercises. Supervised exercise program, self-training and manual therapy equally improved shoulder muscle strength.

Conclusion: Comparing muscle strength of people with and without SIS, studies indicate that there is no difference regarding shoulder muscles, while there is a reduction of strength on serratus anterior. Regarding physiotherapy interventions, exercise seems to be the differential factor on rehabilitation programs to enhance muscle strength.

Keywords: systematic review, impingement syndrome, scapula, muscle strength, physical therapy modalities.

Introduction

The shoulder joint complex can be injured by different pathologies, and Shoulder Impingement Syndrome (SIS) is the most common of them. It may range from simple inflammation until vast degeneration of local structures. Disabilities and loss of function are large implications of SIS [1,2].

The development of SIS is closely related to biomechanical dysfunction of the shoulder complex. This disorder is yet exacerbated by the repetition of overhead movements. During arm elevation, soft tissue situated between the humeral head and the acromion suffer abrasion, which leads to symptoms of inflammation, irritation of long head of biceps tendon, subacromial bursitis, and even tear of rotator cuff muscle tendons (supraspinatus, infraspinatus, teres minor and subscapularis) [3].

Patients with SIS may present muscle imbalance both on shoulder and scapular muscles. Evidence shows that these patients frequently present less activation of serratus anterior and greater activation of trapezius (upper and lower portions) [4]. Besides, overhead sports athletes present lower peak torque of scapular abductors of the affected shoulder when compared to the contralateral shoulder and to healthy subjects [5]. CHESTER *et al.* [6], on a review, suggest the possibility of a difference on activation and recruitment of upper and lower trapezius in people with SIS and controls. These findings indicate the relation of SIS with the dysfunction of scapular muscles, especially on acromioclavicular and scapulothoracic joints.

Regarding the musculature directly related to the shoulder, current evidence shows that people with SIS present strength deficit on external and internal rotators on the impaired shoulder, in addition to imbalance of these muscle groups [7]. On studies that analyzed abduction and adduction of the shoulder, by the action of deltoid and latissimus dorsi, respectively, the results show that there is no significant difference of muscle strength or activation in patients with SIS and controls [8;9].

Different physiotherapy strategies can be used to treat patients with SIS, such as physical modalities, muscle strengthening, stretching, joint mobilizations, patient education, function

training [10]. The treatment can be more effective when the information of the muscle alterations in this patients establish a base for the prescription of exercises.

According to the study by Kuhn [11], exercises improve pain levels and function, but have no effect on range of motion and strength of patients with SIS. Two systematic reviews [10,12] strongly indicate the implementation of strength exercises for rotator cuff and scapular muscles, as well as stretching, with the intention of improving pain and quality of life of people with SIS. Further, they claim that exercises seem more effective when combined with joint mobilization techniques.

Getting familiarized with the muscle imbalances of people with SIS, as well as knowing the effects of physiotherapy programs on shoulder complex strength, is essential for planning the rehabilitation program for this population. No systematic review was found in the literature, whose main objective was to gather information about muscle strength of shoulder complex muscles.

Thus, the present study is justified by proposing a systematic review about the muscle characteristics of the shoulder complex of people with Shoulder Impingement Syndrome. So the objectives of this study are to evaluate the strength and/or the muscle balance related to the shoulder complex comparing 1) people with SIS to controls, and 2) people with SIS before and after physiotherapeutic interventions.

Methods

Literature search/search strategy

The following databases were searched electronically: Pubmed, Cochrane, Web of Science, Scopus, Embase, Science Direct, Google Schoolar, Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro),

11

and Centro Latino-Americano e do Caribe de Informação em Ciências da Saúde (LILACS). The search was performed in August 2016 and included studies from inception to this date.

The search consisted of the following terms (along with their corresponding MeSH -Medical Subject Headings): "Shoulder Impingement Syndrome", "Muscle strength", and "Torque", combined with a high sensitivity combination of words used in the search for randomized clinical trials (RCT) [13]. The Boolean terms AND, and OR were used for the combination between the key words. Included articles were in English, Spanish and Portuguese. The complete search strategy used for Pubmed database is shown in table 1.

(insert table 1 here)

Outcome measures

The focus of this review lies on outcome measures for muscle strength.

Eligibility Criteria

We included RCT that assessed shoulder or scapular muscle strength of people with shoulder impingement syndrome (SIS). Participants were both male and females, aged between 18 and 60 years, which comprises the age range of young and intermediate-age adults [14,15]. The exclusion criterion used was samples formed by athletes.

Studies Selection and data extraction

Titles and abstracts of all articles identified by the search strategy were independently evaluated by two investigators, in duplicate. Abstracts that did not provide sufficient information regarding the inclusion and exclusion criteria were selected for full-text evaluation.

The next step was to independently evaluate the full-text articles, and select the ones to be included in the systematic review in accordance with the eligibility criteria. Disagreements between reviewers were solved by consensus or through a third person review. By means of standardized forms, the same two reviewers independently conducted data extraction with regard to the methodological characteristics of the studies - population, sample size, age, interventions, outcomes, assessment protocol, and results. Disagreements were also solved by consensus.

Quality Assessment

The same two reviewers independently performed quality assessment. Study quality assessment included adequate sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants, blinding of outcome assessors, description of losses and exclusions, and use of intention-to-treat analysis. Use of intention-to-treat was fulfilled when the number of randomized participants and the analyzed number were identical. When there was a lack of clear description of these characteristics, the studies were considered as unclear or not reporting the latter.

Results

Description of studies

The initial search resulted in 900 studies, among which 48 were considered as potentially appropriate and were retrieved for detailed analysis. Eight of these studies met the eligibility criteria and were thus included in the systematic review (n = 348). Due to methodological differences amongst studies, meta-analysis was not possible. Figure 1 shows the flow diagram of the studies included in this review.

Studies Characteristics

The included studies were published between 2007 and 2014. The sample sizes ranged from 18 to 77 participants, whose age ranged from 18 to 60 years old. The means of symptoms duration

described by participants ranged from 1.5 months to 3.6 years. Specific characteristics of each study (authors, population, sample size, age, outcomes of interest, outcomes measures, intervention, results) are shown in Table 2.

(insert table 2 here)

Risk of Bias

In relation to the total of studies included in this systematic review, 50% had an adequate sequence generation, all of which reported allocation concealment; 25% presented blinding of participants; 25% had blinded assessment of outcomes; 37.5% described losses to follow-up and exclusions; and 37.5% of the studies used the intention-to-treat principle for statistical analyses (Table 3).

(insert table 3 here)

Shoulder Impingement Syndrome versus Control

Among the eight studies included in this systematic review, three have compared people presenting SIS to healthy controls [9,16,17]. Two studies [16,17] found no difference in muscle strength between the two populations, while one study [9] found that people with SIS have decreased strength in comparison with controls.

Bandholm *et al.* [16] used an isokinetic dynamometer to assess shoulder maximal isometric contractions for abduction / adduction (at 45° and 90° of shoulder abduction) and manual resistance to assess external / internal rotations (at 45° of shoulder abduction). They also assessed handgrip strength and equally found no significant difference between groups. Moraes, Faria and Salmela [17] used an isokinetic dynamometer to assess concentric and eccentric contractions for external / internal rotations. The evaluation was done in supine position with 90° of shoulder abduction and elbow flexion.

According to Celik, Sirmen and Demirhan [9] maximal isometric voluntary contraction of upper, middle, lower trapezius, anterior deltoid, serratus anterior, supraspinatus, and latissimus dorsi muscles were assessed using a handheld dynamometer. Significant decrease in muscle strength was only found for middle trapezius, serratus anterior, supraspinatus, and anterior deltoid.

Effects of interventions

Among the eight studies included in this systematic review, five have assessed the effects of interventions on people with SIS. The treatments included pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF), exercise (high and low doses), self-training, and manual therapy.

On one study, PEMF alone did not produce significant increase in muscle strength, although it did show improvements in pain and function [18]. On a second study, PEMF was only effective to increase muscle strength when exercises were added during follow up [19]. Both studies assessed maximal isometric contractions with a manual dynamometer. Internal and external rotators strength was assessed at scapular plane with the elbow flexed at 90°; and abductor muscles were assessed at 45° of shoulder abduction, 30° of horizontal adduction, and extended elbow.

Osteras *et al.* [20] has shown that high dose exercises were capable of increasing more strength than low dose exercises. Maximal isometric contractions were measured with a manual dynamometer, with regards to abduction (30° abduction), flexion (neutral position), internal and external rotations of the shoulder (neutral shoulder position, 90° of elbow flexion).

Senbursa, Baltaci and Atay [21] compared a supervised exercise program alone (G1) to a supervised exercise program combined with joint and soft tissue mobilization (G2), or a home-

based rehabilitation program (G3), and found significant improve in shoulder muscle strength at all treatments. There was no statistic difference among the three groups.

One study [22] compared conservative treatment with and without manual therapy. Although people were assessed by manual muscle testing for flexion, abduction, internal and external rotations, the results for muscle strength were not clear. The authors do not expose the results for this test, but they present increased muscle strength as a conclusion of the study,

Discussion

This systematic review summarized the muscle characteristics of people with SIS (compared to healthy controls), and the effectiveness of different interventions on the shoulder muscle strength of this population.

Celik, Sirmen and Demirhan [9] suggest that people with SIS may present significant decrease in muscle strength of middle trapezius, serratus anterior, supraspinatus, and anterior deltoid. The way of assessment used in their study may be questioned, given that it only allows conclusions about a muscle group, instead of specific impaired muscles. However, this information can be related to the study by Ludewig and Cook [4], who assessed individuals with and without SIS during arm elevation on the scapular plane, using electromyography. They also found alteration in serratus anterior, by decreased electromyography activation on people with SIS in comparison to asymptomatic people. When assessing the 3D position and orientation of the scapula, SIS people also presented reduction in scapular upward rotation at 60° of shoulder abduction, which is one of the functions of serratus anterior muscle. Hence, it is essential to include the training of scapular muscles on the rehabilitation of people with SIS.

Nonetheless, the specific shoulder musculature seems not to present alteration in strength. Neither the studies by Bandholm *et al.* [16] nor Moraes, Faria and Salmela [17] could show any difference in muscle strength between people with SIS and controls. This fact is aligned with the study by Politti *et al.* [8], which analyzed shoulder abductors muscle strength, as well as muscle activation of the three parts of deltoid muscle (through electromyography) and found no difference between people with SIS and controls. This information is reinforced by Chester *et al.* [6]'s review, which mentions three studies that assessed electromyography of supraspinatus and found no significant difference between people with and without SIS.

On the literature, Fonseca, Albuquerque and Bertolini [7] is the only study found by the authors that shows the result of decreased strength of rotator muscles when compared to the contralateral shoulder without SIS. This incongruence might be explained by methodological differences among the studies regarding the way of measuring strength.

With regards to the different physiotherapy strategies on SIS and their effects on muscle strength, pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF) was one of the therapies tested for the treatment of SIS. According to MARKOV [23], this therapeutic modality may alter the cascade of biological processes related to tissue growth and repair, since it possibly affects ion binding and/or transport. However, on the study by Freitas *et al.* [18], people with SIS did not benefit from PEMF isolated regarding muscle strength. On the other hand, people with SIS treated with active PEMF for 3 weeks associated with an additional 6-week exercise program had significant improvements on muscle strength, as well as function and pain relief [19].

The study by Osteras *et al.* [20] adds to the cited PEMF studies by demonstrating that the use of exercises on rehabilitation of patients with SIS enhances muscle strength. authors have shown that 12 weeks of high dose exercises (HD) were capable of increasing more strength than low dose exercises (LD), still both groups presented increase of the muscle strength.

On the same way, the study by Senbursa, Baltaci and Atay [21] indicates the importance of exercise on the recovery of strength. The study compared a supervised exercise program alone (G1) to a supervised exercise program combined with joint and soft tissue mobilization (G2), and to a home-based rehabilitation program (G3). The research found significant improve in shoulder muscle strength at all three treatments, but no statistic difference was seen among the groups.

The systematic review by Kuhn [11] strongly suggests exercise as a means of improving symptoms in patients with impingement syndrome, which can reduce pain levels and enhance function. The author reinforces that there is no significant improvement of muscle strength with exercise alone, which goes against the results of the present study. The author brings only three studies with results for strength outcome, and both of them use a non-specific instrument (Constant Murley Score). Althought it does bring a domain regarding strength, the final punctuation of the instrument refers to functionality. On the other hand, the present study reviews studies that used specific strength assessment instruments (dynamometer, manual muscle test).

Conclusion

When comparing muscle strength of people with and without Shoulder Impingement Syndrome, studies indicate that there is no difference regarding shoulder muscles, and there is a reduction of strength on serratus anterior muscle. After assessing physiotherapy interventions on people with SIS, exercise seems to be the differential factor on rehabilitation programs in order to enhance muscle strength.

In the present study, there are no conflicts of interest. It was conducted by the authors' own fundings. Word count: 2707 References:

[1] Michener LA, Mcclure PW, Karduna, AR. Anatomical and biomechanical mechanisms of subacromial mpingement syndrome. Clin Biomech. 2003; 8: 369-379.

[2] Facci LM. Síndromes dolorosas do ombro: análise de sua incidência e características. Arq. Ciênc. Saúde UNIPAR. 2000; 4(3):195-200

[3] Dutton M. Fisioterapia Ortopédica exame, avaliação e intervenção. 2nd ed. Porto Alegre (Brazil): Artmed; 2010.

[4] Ludewig PM., Cook TM. Alterations in Shoulder Kinematics and Associated Muscle Activity in people with symptoms of shoulder impingement. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2000; 80(3): 276-291.

[5] Cools AM, Witvrouw EE, Mahieu NN, et al. Isokinetic Scapular Muscle Performance in Overhead Athletes With and Without Impingement Symptoms. J Athl Train. 2005; 40(2): 104-110.

[6] Chester R, Smith TO, Hooper L., et al. The impact of subacromial impingement syndrome on muscle activity patterns of the shoulder complex: a systematic review of electromyographic studies. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2010; 11(45).

[7] Fonseca SA, Albuquerque CE, Bertolini GRF. Avaliação da força muscular do manguito rotador, em Sujeitos com síndrome do impacto. Arq. Ciênc. Saúde UNIPAR. 2010; 14(3): 245-251.

[8] Politti F., Palomari ET, Furtado R, et al. Análise eletromiográfica e da força do músculo deltóide em indivíduos com síndrome do impacto do ombro. Fisioter Pesqui. 2006; 13(3): 20-26.

[9] Çelik D, Sirmen B, Demirhan M. The relationship of muscle strength and pain in subacromial impingement syndrome. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc. 2011; 45(2): 79-84.

[10] Michener LA, Walsworth MK, Burnet EN. Effectiveness of Rehabilitation for Patients with Subacromial Impingement Syndrome: A Systematic Review. J Hand Ther. 2004; 17(2): 152-164.

[11] Kuhn JE. Exercise in the treatment of rotator cuff impingement: A systematic review and a synthesized evidence-based rehabilitation protocol. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2009; 18(1).

[12] Kromer TO, Tautenhahn UG, Bie RA, et al. Effects of physiotherapy in patients with shoulder impingement syndrome: a systematic review of the literature. J Rehabil Med. 2009; 41:870-880.

[13] Robinson KA, Dickersin K. Development of a highly sensitive search strategy for the retrieval of reports of controlled trials using PubMed. Int J Epidemiol. 2002; 31: 150–153.

[14] Papalia DE., Olds SW, Feldman RD. Desenvolvimento Humano. 10th ed. São Paulo: Artmed; 2007.

[15] Santos SC, Knijnik JD. Motivos de Adesão à Prática de Atividade Física na Vida Adulta Intermediária. Rev Mackenzie Educ Fís Esporte. 2006; 5(1): 23-34.

[16] Bandholm T, Smussen LR, Aagaard P, et al. Force steadiness, muscle activity, And maximal muscle strength in subjects with subacromial impingement syndrome. Muscle Nerve. 2006; 34:631-639.

[17] Moraes GFS, Faria CDCM, Salmela LFT. Scapular muscle recruitment patterns and isokinetic strength ratios of the shoulder rotator muscles in individuals with and without impingement syndrome. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2008; 17(1S): 48-53.

[18] Freitas DG, Monteiro RL, Marcondes FB, et al. Pulsed Electromagnetic Field in Patients with Shoulder Impingement Syndrome. J Appl Res; 2013; 13(1).

[19] Freitas DG, Marcondes FB, Monteiro RL, et al. Pulsed Electromagnetic Field and Exercises in Patients With Shoulder Impingement Syndrome: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2014; 95: 346-352;

[20] Osteras H, Torstensen TA, Haugerud L, et al. Dose response effects of graded therapeutic exercises in patients with long-standing subacromial pain. Adv Physiother; 2009; 11(4): 199-209.

[21] Senbursa G, Baltaci G, Atay A. The effectiveness of manual therapy in supraspinatus tendinopathy. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc. 2011; 45(3): 162-167.

[22] Senbursa G, Baltaci G, Atay A. Comparison of conservative treatment with and without manual physical therapy for patients with shoulder impingement syndrome: a prospective, randomized clinical trial. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2007; 15(7): 91-921.

[23] Markov MS. Expanding Use of Pulsed Electromagnetic Field Therapies. Electromagn Biol Med; 2007; 26: 257-274.

Tables

Table 1. Complete search strategy used for Pubmed database

- #1 (randomized controlled trial[pt] OR controlled clinical trial[pt] OR randomized controlled trials[mh] OR random allocation[mh] OR double-blind method[mh] OR single-blind method[mh] OR clinical trial[pt] OR clinical trials[mh] OR ("clinical trial"[tw]) OR ((singl*[tw] OR doubl*[tw] OR tripl*[tw]) OR tripl*[tw]) AND (mask*[tw] OR blind*[tw])) OR ("latin square"[tw]) OR placebos[mh] OR placebo*[tw] OR random*[tw] OR research design[mh:noexp] OR follow-up studies[mh] OR prospective studies[mh] OR cross-over studies[mh] OR control*[tw] OR prospectiv*[tw] OR volunteer*[tw]) NOT (animal[mh] NOT human[mh])
- #2 "Shoulder Impingement Syndrome" [Mesh] OR "Impingement Syndrome, Shoulder" OR "Impingement Syndromes, Shoulder" OR "Shoulder Impingement Syndromes" OR "Syndrome, Shoulder Impingement" OR "Syndromes, Shoulder Impingement" OR "Subacromial Impingement Syndrome" OR "Impingement Syndrome, Subacromial" OR "Impingement Syndromes, Subacromial" OR "Subacromial Impingement Syndromes, Subacromial Impingement" OR "Syndromes, Subacromial Impingement"
- #3 "Muscle strength" [Mesh] OR "Strength, Muscle" OR "Torque" [Mesh] OR "Torques"

#4 #1 AND #2 AND #3

Authors	Population	Sample	Age	Outcomes	Outcomes	Intervention	Results
(year)		size (n)	(years)	of interest	measures		
Bandhol	SIS and	18	21-38	Pain	VAS		Pain: SIS >
m et al.,	healthy						CG
2006	control	SIS: 9	SIS: 28.2	Muscle	EMG		
	subjects		+-5.3	activity			Muscle
		Control:		-	isokinetic		activity:
			Control	Shoulder	dynamomete		- isometric:
		9	(CG):	sensory	r		SIS=CG
			27.7 +-	motor			- isokinetic:
			4.2	control	manual		SIS>CG
					resistance		
				Muscle			Shoulder
				strength	handheld		sensory motor
					dynamomete		control:
					r		- isometric:
							SIS = CG
							- isokinetic:
							eccentric
							SIS=CG;
							concentric
							SIS <cg< td=""></cg<>

Table 2. Characteristics of studies

							Muscle strength: - shoulder: SIS=CG - handgrip: SIS=CG.
Celik, Sirmen and Demirhan , 2011	SIS (SIS shoulder compared to healthy shoulder)	40 SIS shoulder: 20 Healthy shoulder: 20	32-60 48.15± 5.9	Pain Function Muscle strength	VAS Constant score Handheld dynamomete r		Pain: Not informed Function: Not informed Muscle strength: SIS <healthy< td=""></healthy<>
Freitas <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2013	SIS	56 PEMF 26 Placebo: 30	40-59 (PEMF mean 50.77) (Placebo mean 50.15)	Pain Function Muscle strength	VAS Constant- Murley scale and UCLA manual dynamomete r	PEMF and Placebo: 9 sessions, 30 min duration, 3x/wk, 48- hour interval.	Pain: PEMF <placeb o Function: PEMF>placeb o Muscle strength: PEMF=placeb o</placeb
Freitas <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2014	SIS	46 PEMF: 22 Placebo: 24	40-60 PEMF (50.1 +- 8.2) Placebo PEMF (50.8 +- 9.6)	Pain Function Muscle strength	VAS Constant- Murley scale and UCLA Handheld dynamomete r	PEMF and placebo: 9 sessions, 30 min duration, 3x/wk for 3 weeks. After 3 weeks, all subjects: therapeutic exercise program, 2x/wk, for 6 weeks.	Pain: PEMF <placeb o Function: PEMF>placeb o Muscle strength: PEMF>placeb o</placeb
Moraes, Faria and Salmela, 2008	SIS and healthy control subjects (CG)	20	20-38 SIS: 28.6 +- 5.89 CG: 29.0 +- 5.35	recruitmen t patterns and latencies muscle strength	EMG isokinetic dynamomete r		recruitment patterns: SIS=control latencies: SIS>control muscle strength: SIS=control
Osteras et	SIS	61	18-60	Pain	VAS	3x/wk,	Pain: HD <ld< td=""></ld<>

al., 2009		High dose exercise program (HD): 31 Low dose exercise program (LD): 30	HD: 46.1 +- 11.2 LD: 41.8 +- 14.5	Function Muscle strength	functional assessment questionnair e digital dynamomete r	12weeks (total 36 sessions) HD: 11 exercises, 3x30 repetitions LD: 6 exercises, 2x10 repetitions.	Function: HD>LD Muscle strength: HD>LD
Senbursa, Baltaci and Atay, 2007	SIS	30 G1 (self- training): 15 G2 (manual therapy): 15	30-55 G1: 49.5 ± 7.9 G2: 48.1 ± 7.5	Pain ROM Function Muscle strength Trigger point tenderness	VAS Goniometer Functional assessment questionnair e Manual muscle test Algometry	G1: 7x/wk, 4 weeks. G2: 3x/wk, 4 weeks.	Pain: G2 <g1 ROM: G2>G1 Function: G2>G1 Muscle strength: Not informed Trigger point tenderness: Not informed</g1
Senbursa, Baltaci and Atay, 2011	Partial supraspinatu s tear and/or SIS	77 G1 (exercise) : 25 G2 (exercise + manual therapy): 30 G3 (self- training): 22	33-55 G1: 48.2±7.9 G2: 50.5±10. 6 G3: 48.0±9.0	Pain (Night pain, rest pain and pain with movement) ROM Muscle strength Function	VAS Goniometer Manual muscle test (0-5) Modified American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeon's (MASES) questionnair e	G1: glenohumeral and scapulothoraci c exercises 3x/wk, 12 weeks G2: joint and soft tissue mobilization exercises 3x/wk + exercises of G1, 12 weeks G3: self- exercise program at home, 12 weeks.	Pain: G1=G2=G3 ROM: G1=G2=G3 Muscle strength: G1=G2=G3 Function: G2>G1=G3

SIS – Shoulder Impingement Syndrome; VAS – Visual Analogue Scale; EMG – Electromiography; PEMF – Pulsed Electromagnetic Field; UCLA - University of California at Los Angeles Shoulder Score; ROM – Range of Motion.

Table 3. Risk of Bias

Authors, year	Generation of Random Sequence	Allocation concealment	Blinding of participants	Blinding of outcome assessors	Description of losses and exclusions	Incomplete outcome data
Bandholm et al., 2006	No	No	No	No	No	No
Celik, Sirmen and Demirhan, 2011	No	No	No	No	No	No
Freitas <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2013	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Freitas <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2014	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Moraes, Faria and Salmela, 2008	No	No	No	No	No	No
Osteras et al., 2009	Yes	Yes	NC	No	Yes	Yes
Senbursa, Baltaci and Atay, 2007	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI
Senbursa, Baltaci and Atay, 2011	Yes	Yes	NC	NC	NI	NI

NI – not informed

NC – not clear

Figures



Figure 1. Flow diagram of the studies included in the review

- Implications for rehabilitation
- Muscle balance is important for appropriate functioning of the shoulder complex, so it is crucial to acknowledge muscle characteristics of people with SIS in order to plan their rehabilitation program.
- The treatment of people with SIS should comprise strengthening exercises, especially for serratus anterior muscle.

ANEXO I - NORMAS PARA PUBLICAÇÃO REVISTA DISABILITY AND REHABILITATION

About the journal

Disability and Rehabilitation is an international, peer reviewed journal, publishing high-quality, original research. Please see the journal's <u>Aims & Scope</u> for information about its focus and peer-review policy.

Please note that this journal only publishes manuscripts in English.

Disability and Rehabilitation accepts the following types of article: Reviews, Research Papers, Case Studies, Perspectives on Rehabilitation, Reports on Rehabilitation in Practice, Education and Training, and Correspondence. Systematic Reviews should be submitted as "Review" and Narrative Reviews should be submitted as "Perspectives in Rehabilitation".

Special Issues and specific sections on contemporary themes of interest to the Journal's readership are published. Please contact the Editor for more information.

Peer review

Taylor & Francis is committed to peer-review integrity and upholding the highest standards of review. For submissions to *Disability and Rehabilitation* authors are given the option to remain anonymous during the peer-review process. Authors will be able to indicate whether their paper is 'Anonymous' or 'Not Anonymous' during submission, and should pay particular attention to the below:

- Authors who wish to remain **anonymous** should prepare a complete text with information identifying the author(s) removed. This should be uploaded as the "Main Document" and will be sent to the referees. A separate title page should be included providing the full affiliations of all authors. Any acknowledgements and the Declaration of Interest statement must be included but should be worded mindful that these sections will be made available to referees.
- Authors who wish to be **identified** should include the name(s) and affiliation(s) of author(s) on the first page of the manuscript. The complete text should be uploaded as the "Main Document".

Once your paper has been assessed for suitability by the editor, it will be peer-reviewed by independent, anonymous expert referees. Find out more about <u>what to expect during peer</u> review and read our guidance on <u>publishing ethics</u>.

Preparing your paper

All authors submitting to medicine, biomedicine, health sciences, allied and public health journals should conform to the <u>Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical</u> <u>Journals</u>, prepared by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE).

We also refer authors to the community standards explicit in the <u>American Psychological</u> Association's (APA) Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct.

We encourage authors to be aware of standardised reporting guidelines below when preparing their manuscripts:

- Case reports <u>CARE</u>
- Diagnostic accuracy <u>STARD</u>
- Observational studies <u>STROBE</u>
- Randomized controlled trial <u>CONSORT</u>
- Systematic reviews, meta-analyses <u>PRISMA</u>

Whilst the use of such guidelines is supported, due to the multi-disciplinary nature of the Journal, it is not compulsory.

Structure

Your paper should be compiled in the following order: title page; abstract; keywords; main text, introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion; acknowledgments; declaration of interest statement; references; appendices (as appropriate); table(s) with caption(s) (on individual pages); figures; figure captions (as a list).

In the main text, an introductory section should state the purpose of the paper and give a brief account of previous work. New techniques and modifications should be described concisely but in sufficient detail to permit their evaluation. Standard methods should simply be referenced. Experimental results should be presented in the most appropriate form, with sufficient explanation to assist their interpretation; their discussion should form a distinct section.

Tables and figures should be referred to in text as follows: figure 1, table 1, i.e. lower case. The place at which a table or figure is to be inserted in the printed text should be indicated clearly on a manuscript. Each table and/or figure must have a title that explains its purpose without reference to the text.

The title page should include the full names and affiliations of all authors involved in the preparation of the manuscript. The corresponding author should be clearly designated, with full contact information provided for this person.

Word count

Please include a word count for your paper. There is no word limit for papers submitted to this journal, but succinct and well-constructed papers are preferred.

Style guidelines

Please refer to these <u>style guidelines</u> when preparing your paper, rather than any published articles or a sample copy.

Please use any spelling consistently throughout your manuscript.

Please use double quotation marks, except where "a quotation is 'within' a quotation". Please note that long quotations should be indented without quotation marks.

For tables and figures, the usual statistical conventions should be used.

Drugs should be referred to by generic names. Trade names of substances, their sources, and details of manufacturers of scientific instruments should be given only if the information is important to the evaluation of the experimental data.

Formatting and templates

Papers may be submitted in any standard format, including Word and LaTeX. Figures should be saved separately from the text. To assist you in preparing your paper, we provide formatting template(s).

Word templates are available for this journal. Please save the template to your hard drive, ready for use.

A <u>LaTeX template</u> is available for this journal. Please save the template to your hard drive, ready for use.

If you are not able to use the templates via the links (or if you have any other template queries) please contact <u>authortemplate@tandf.co.uk</u>

References

Please use this <u>reference guide</u> when preparing your paper. An <u>EndNote output style</u> is also available to assist you.

Checklist: what to include

1. **Author details**. Please ensure everyone meeting the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICJME) requirements for authorship is included as an author of your paper.

Please include all authors' full names, affiliations, postal addresses, telephone numbers and email addresses on the cover page. Where available, please also include <u>ORCiDs</u> and social media handles (Facebook, Twitter or LinkedIn). One author will need to be identified as the corresponding author, with their email address normally displayed in the article PDF (depending on the journal) and the online article. Authors' affiliations are the affiliations where the research was conducted. If any of the named co-authors moves affiliation during the peer-review process, the new affiliation can be given as a footnote. Please note that no changes to affiliation can be made after your paper is accepted. <u>Read more on authorship</u>.

- 2. A structured **abstract** of no more than 200 words. A structured abstract should cover (in the following order): the *purpose* of the article, its *materials and methods* (the design and methodological procedures used), the *results* and conclusions (including their relevance to the study of disability and rehabilitation). Read tips on <u>writing your abstract</u>.
- 3. You can opt to include a **video abstract** with your article. <u>Find out how these can help</u> your work reach a wider audience, and what to think about when filming.
- 4. 5-8 **keywords**. Read <u>making your article more discoverable</u>, including information on choosing a title and search engine optimization.
- 5. A feature of this journal is a boxed insert on **Implications for Rehabilitation**. This should include between two to four main bullet points drawing out the implications for rehabilitation for your paper. This should be uploaded as a separate document. Below are examples:

Example 1: Leprosy

• Leprosy is a disabling disease which not only impacts physically but restricts quality of life often through stigmatisation.

0 0

0

Reconstructive surgery is a technique available to this group.

In a relatively small sample this study shows participation and social functioning improved after surgery.

Example 2: Multiple Sclerosis

- Exercise is an effective means of improving health and well-being experienced by people with multiple sclerosis (MS).
 - People with MS have complex reasons for choosing to exercise or not.
- Individual structured programmes are most likely to be successful in encouraging exercise in this cohort.
- 6. Acknowledgement. Please supply all details required by your funding and grantawarding bodies as follows: *For single agency grants*: This work was supported by the under Grant . *For multiple agency grants*: This work was supported by the under Grant ; under Grant ; and under Grant .
- 7. **Declaration of Interest**. This is to acknowledge any financial interest or benefit that has arisen from the direct applications of your research. <u>Further guidance on what is a declaration of interest and how to disclose it</u>.
- 8. **Supplemental online material.** Supplemental material can be a video, dataset, fileset, sound file or anything which supports (and is pertinent to) your paper. We publish supplemental material online via Figshare. Find out more about <u>supplemental material and how to submit it with your article</u>.

- 9. **Figures.** Figures should be high quality (1200 dpi for line art, 600 dpi for grayscale and 300 dpi for colour). Figures should be saved as TIFF, PostScript or EPS files.
- 10. **Tables.** Tables should present new information rather than duplicating what is in the text. Readers should be able to interpret the table without reference to the text. Please supply editable files.
- 11. **Equations**. If you are submitting your manuscript as a Word document, please ensure that equations are editable. More information about <u>mathematical symbols and equations</u>.
- 12. **Units.** Please use <u>SI units</u> (non-italicized).

Using third-party material in your paper

You must obtain the necessary permission to reuse third-party material in your article. The use of short extracts of text and some other types of material is usually permitted, on a limited basis, for the purposes of criticism and review without securing formal permission. If you wish to include any material in your paper for which you do not hold copyright, and which is not covered by this informal agreement, you will need to obtain written permission from the copyright owner prior to submission. More information on requesting permission to reproduce work(s) under copyright.

Declaration of Interest Statement

Please include a declaration of interest statement, using the subheading "Declaration of interest." If you have no interests to declare, please state this (suggested wording: *The authors report no conflicts of interest*). For all NIH/Wellcome-funded papers, the grant number(s) must be included in the disclosure of interest statement. <u>Read more on declaring conflicts of interest</u>.

Clinical Trials Registry

In order to be published in a Taylor & Francis journal, all clinical trials must have been registered in a public repository at the beginning of the research process (prior to patient enrolment). Trial registration numbers should be included in the abstract, with full details in the methods section. The registry should be publicly accessible (at no charge), open to all prospective registrants, and managed by a not-for-profit organization. For a list of registries that meet these requirements, please visit the <u>WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform</u> (ICTRP). The registration of all clinical trials facilitates the sharing of information among clinicians, researchers, and patients, enhances public confidence in research, and is in accordance with the <u>ICMJE guidelines</u>.

Complying with ethics of experimentation

Please ensure that all research reported in submitted papers has been conducted in an ethical and responsible manner, and is in full compliance with all relevant codes of experimentation and legislation. All papers which report *in vivo* experiments or clinical trials on humans or animals must include a written statement in the Methods section. This should explain that all work was conducted with the formal approval of the local human subject or animal care committees (institutional and national), and that clinical trials have been registered as legislation requires.

Authors who do not have formal ethics review committees should include a statement that their study follows the principles of the <u>Declaration of Helsinki</u>.

Consent

All authors are required to follow the <u>ICMJE requirements</u> on privacy and informed consent from patients and study participants. Please confirm that any patient, service user, or participant (or that person's parent or legal guardian) in any research, experiment, or clinical trial described in your paper has given written consent to the inclusion of material pertaining to themselves, that they acknowledge that they cannot be identified via the paper; and that you have fully anonymized them. Where someone is deceased, please ensure you have written consent from the family or estate. Authors may use this <u>Patient Consent Form</u>, which should be completed, saved, and sent to the journal if requested.

Health and safety

Please confirm that all mandatory laboratory health and safety procedures have been complied with in the course of conducting any experimental work reported in your paper. Please ensure your paper contains all appropriate warnings on any hazards that may be involved in carrying out the experiments or procedures you have described, or that may be involved in instructions, materials, or formulae.

Please include all relevant safety precautions; and cite any accepted standard or code of practice. Authors working in animal science may find it useful to consult the <u>International Association of</u> <u>Veterinary Editors' Consensus Author Guidelines on Animal Ethics and Welfare and Guidelines</u> for the Treatment of Animals in Behavioural Research and Teaching. When a product has not yet been approved by an appropriate regulatory body for the use described in your paper, please specify this, or that the product is still investigational.

Submitting your paper

This journal uses This journal uses ScholarOne to manage the peer-review process. If you haven't submitted a paper to this journal before, you will need to create an account in the submission centre. Please read the guidelines above and then submit your paper in the relevant Author Centre, where you will find user guides and a helpdesk.

If you are submitting in LaTeX, please convert the files to PDF beforehand (you will also need to upload your LaTeX source files with the PDF).

Please note that *Disability and Rehabilitation* uses $\underline{\text{Crossref}^{TM}}$ to screen papers for unoriginal material. By submitting your paper to *Disability and Rehabilitation* you are agreeing to originality checks during the peer-review and production processes.

The Editor of *Disability and Rehabilitation* will respond to appeals from authors relating to papers which have been rejected. The author(s) should email the Editor outlining their concerns

and making a case for why their paper should not have been rejected. The Editor may choose to accept the appeal and secure a further review, or to not uphold the appeal. In case of the latter, the Editor of *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology* will be consulted.

On acceptance, we recommend that you keep a copy of your Accepted Manuscript. Find out more about <u>sharing your work</u>.

Publication charges

There are no submission fees or page charges for this journal.

Color figures will be reproduced in color in your online article free of charge. If it is necessary for the figures to be reproduced in color in the print version, a charge will apply.

Charges for color figures in print are £250 per figure (\$395 US Dollars; \$385 Australian Dollars; \in 315). For more than 4 colour figures, figures 5 and above will be charged at £50 per figure (\$80 US Dollars; \$75 Australian Dollars; \in 63). Depending on your location, these charges may be subject to local taxes.

Copyright options

Copyright allows you to protect your original material, and stop others from using your work without your permission. Taylor & Francis offers a number of different license and reuse options, including Creative Commons licenses when publishing open access. <u>Read more on publishing agreements</u>.

Complying with funding agencies

We will deposit all National Institutes of Health or Wellcome Trust-funded papers into PubMedCentral on behalf of authors, meeting the requirements of their respective open access (OA) policies. If this applies to you, please tell our production team when you receive your article proofs, so we can do this for you. Check funders' OA policy mandates <u>here</u>. Find out more about <u>sharing your work</u>.

Open access

This journal gives authors the option to publish open access via our <u>Open Select publishing</u> <u>program</u>, making it free to access online immediately on publication. Many funders mandate publishing your research open access; you can check <u>open access funder policies and mandates here</u>.

Taylor & Francis Open Select gives you, your institution or funder the option of paying an article publishing charge (APC) to make an article open access. Please contact <u>openaccess@tandf.co.uk</u> if you would like to find out more, or go to our <u>Author Services website</u>.

For more information on license options, embargo periods and APCs for this journal please go here.

My Authored Works

On publication, you will be able to view, download and check your article's metrics (downloads, citations and Altmetric data) via <u>My Authored Works</u> on Taylor & Francis Online. This is where you can access every article you have published with us, as well as your <u>free eprints link</u>, so you can quickly and easily share your work with friends and colleagues.

We are committed to promoting and increasing the visibility of your article. Here are some tips and ideas on how you can work with us to promote your research.

Article reprints

For enquiries about reprints, please contact the Taylor & Francis Author Services team at<u>reprints@tandf.co.uk</u>. To order a copy of the issue containing your article, please contact our Customer Services team at <u>Adhoc@tandf.co.uk</u>.

Queries

Should you have any queries, please visit our <u>Author Services website</u> or contact us at <u>authorqueries@tandf.co.uk</u>.