

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL  
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA  
CADERNOS DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA  
SÉRIE A: TRABALHO DE PESQUISA

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD AND MINIMUM ENTROPY  
IDENTIFICATION OF GRAMMARS

PIERRE COLLET  
ANTONIO GALVES  
ARTUR OSCAR LOPES

SÉRIE A, N.º 40  
PORTO ALEGRE, AGOSTO DE 1994

4/8/1994

# MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD AND MINIMUM ENTROPY IDENTIFICATION OF GRAMMARS\*

P.Collet<sup>1</sup>, A.Galves<sup>2</sup>, A.Lopes<sup>3</sup>

*Abstract : Using the Thermodynamic Formalism, we introduce a Gibbsian model for the identification of regular grammars based only on positive evidence. This model mimics the natural language acquisition procedure driven by prosody which is here represented by the thermodynamic potential. The statistical question we face is how to estimate the incidence matrix of a subshift of finite type from a sample produced by a Gibbs state whose potential is known. The model acquaints for both the robustness of the language acquisition procedure and language changes. The probabilistic approach we use avoids invoking ad-hoc restrictions as Berwick's Subset Principle.*

*key words : grammars identification, Gibbs states, maximum likelihood, entropy.*

*AMS (MOS) Subject Classification : 58F11*

*Condensed title : Identification of grammars*

---

\* Work supported by FAPESP grant 90/3918-5 for Projeto Temático " Transição de Fase Dinâmica em Sistemas Evolutivos"

<sup>1</sup> Centre de Physique Théorique, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex (France).  
Laboratoire CNRS UPR 14, collet@orphee.polytechnique.fr.

<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, BP 20570, 01452-970, São Paulo, SP, Brasil, galves@ime.usp.br

<sup>3</sup> Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, 91500 Porto Alegre, RGS, Brasil, alopes@ifl.ufrgs.br

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we present a simplified model of grammar identification, which tries to catch some of the main features of the process by which a child acquires a language. Formally this amounts to solve the following statistical question. In a subshift of finite type, how to infer the incidence matrix, given a finite sample chosen according to a Gibbs measure whose potential is known.

In this introduction we will sketch, in a very simplified way, how the problem is formulated from the point of view of Linguistics. In the next section we present the mathematical model and state the theorems. Readers who are only interested in the mathematical aspects of the problem should go directly to the next section.

In Chomsky's *Principles and Parameters* framework, the problem of understanding language acquisition can be roughly formulated in the following terms. A child has a genetic inherited *linguistic capacity* which makes him able to learn a language. This linguistic capacity is characterized by a finite set of constraints which distinguish natural languages among all the possible formal languages. This set of constraints is what Chomsky calls the *Universal Grammar*. Any particular solution of these constraints is called a *grammar* and defines in a precise way a natural language. Therefore, "*learning a language*" is nothing but identifying an element in the set of natural grammars. We refer the reader to Chomsky 1986, for a comprehensive introduction to the Principles and Parameters Model.

To identify the parental grammar a learning child is guided by the linguistic information available in his environment. Psycholinguists agree that corrections of wrong constructions do not play an important role in the learning process (cf. McNeill 1966). Therefore the model must use only positive evidence as a basis of inference.

The idea that the parental prosody helps the learning child to achieve his identification task appears recently in the linguistics literature. Informally speaking, the prosody of a language is its characteristic *music*, which contains among other things, its typical stress and intonational patterns. Phonologists commonly accept the assumption that the prosody of a language depends on its syntax, even if a learning child acquires prosody before fixing his grammar. Therefore it is natural to suppose that once acquired, prosody provides the learning child with hints about the parental grammar. This is the point of view we adopt here. We refer the reader to Galves and Galves 1993, where this point of view is applied to a concrete linguistic situation.

An identification model must take into consideration the fact that languages change. Following Lightfoot 1979, grammatical changes occur during the acquisition process. From time to time a generation of learning children chose a grammar which is different from the parental one. It has been argued that some of those changes may have been induced by a former prosodic change. Therefore the model must account both for the robustness of the acquisition process, and for the possibility of misidentification driven by some particular prosodic choices.

The learning process can be naturally considered as a random process. The sequence of sentences the learning child receives from his parents does not follow any deterministic order (parents do not follow any kind of "*manual*" to teach a language to their child). This random process is stationary in time and its law depends on the the parental syntax and prosody. Therefore the basis of a reasonable model of language acquisition must be a

probability measure having the language as its *sample space* and having the syntax and the prosody as parameters. The Thermodynamic Formalism provides a natural way to express this. We refer the reader to Ruelle 1978 for a general presentation of the Thermodynamic Formalism.

The issue we consider here was first addressed in a rigorous mathematical way in Gold 1967 through the *identification in the limit model*. This was not a probabilistic model and did not take into consideration prosody as an element playing a role in the identification process. This model ended by a *constat d'échec*. The identification in the limit procedure never converges to a unique grammar. To overcome this failure, it has been suggested in the linguistic literature (Berwick 1985, following Angluin 1980) that an extra principle should be taken into consideration, the so called *Subset Principle*. However a probabilistic point of view like the one we adopt here solves the problem in a more natural way.

In the present paper we restrict our study to what in Chomsky's hierarchy is called *regular grammars* (cf. Chomsky 1963). Since Chomsky 1956, it is well known that regular grammars are just too rough a concept to catch the subtle properties of natural languages. However we do believe that our mathematical results express in a simplified way part of the real story.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

A lexicon is a finite set  $\Lambda$ . A grammar  $G$  acting on the lexicon  $\Lambda$  is a matrix indexed by  $\Lambda$  and with entries equal to 0 or 1. We will only consider irreducible and aperiodic matrices, i.e. there is an integer  $k$  such that all the entries of the matrix  $G^k$  are nonzero. These matrices are also called primitive in the literature (see Horn and Johnson 1985). We will denote by  $\mathcal{G}$  the set of all such grammars.

The language generated by  $G$  is the set

$$L(G) = \{(x_0, \dots), x_j \in \Lambda, G_{x_j, x_{j+1}} = 1, j \geq 0\}.$$

We introduce a partial order in  $\mathcal{G}$  in the following way. If  $G$  and  $G'$  belong to  $\mathcal{G}$  we say that  $G < G'$  if for all pairs  $(x, y) \in \Lambda^2$  we have  $G(x, y) \leq G'(x, y)$  and the inequality is strict for at least one pair. Note that  $G < G'$  is equivalent to  $L(G) \subsetneq L(G')$ .

Let the sampler  $S_n$  be the map from  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}}$  to  $\Lambda^n$  which gives the first  $n$  symbols of an infinite string.

We are interested in the problem of identifying a grammar in  $\mathcal{G}$  given a sample produced by  $S_n$  acting on the language defined by a fixed but unknown grammar. It is natural to consider  $S_n$  as a random variable. In order to make this precise, for every  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  we introduce a probability measure on  $L(G)$  equipped with the usual  $\sigma$ -field induced by the product  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Since the grammar  $G$  is the unknown in our problem, we need a canonical construction of the probability measure. A natural way of doing this is to fix a real valued Hölder continuous function  $\phi$  on  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and to associate to any grammar  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  the Gibbs state with potential  $\phi$ . We will denote this Gibbs measure by  $\mu_\phi^G$ . The classical references to Gibbs measures are Bowen 1975 and Ruelle 1978. An extensive and up-to date reference is Parry and Pollicott 1990. In particular the reader will find there a proof of the existence

and uniqueness of the measure  $\mu_\phi^G$  for  $\phi$  in  $C^\alpha$ , the Banach space of  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous functions, equipped with the usual  $C^\alpha$  norm, for any fixed  $\alpha$ .

We recall that  $\mu_\phi^G$  is the unique measure such that there is a positive constant  $C > 1$  such that for any element  $\underline{x}$  of  $L(G)$  and for any integer  $n$  we have

$$C^{-1} \leq \frac{\mu_\phi^G(\{\underline{y} : S_n(\underline{y}) = S_n(\underline{x})\})}{e^{-nP + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi(\sigma^j \underline{x})}} \leq C, \quad (1)$$

where  $P = P(\phi, G)$  is the pressure associated to the potential  $\phi$  on  $L(G)$  (see Theorem 1.2 in Bowen 1975).

From now on we will use the shorthand notation  $[S_n(\underline{x})]$  to denote the cylindrical set  $\{\underline{y} : S_n(\underline{y}) = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})\}$ .

For a fixed  $\phi$ , and for any string  $\underline{x}$ , we define the sequence of Maximum Likelihood subsets  $\mathcal{M}_\phi^n(\underline{x})$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) of  $\mathcal{G}$  by

$$\mathcal{M}_\phi^n(\underline{x}) = \left\{ G : \mu_\phi^G([S_n(\underline{x})]) = \max_{G' \in \mathcal{G}} \mu_\phi^{G'}([S_n(\underline{x})]) \right\}.$$

We can now define the **Maximum Likelihood Identification Procedure**: for any  $n$ , given  $\phi$  and the sample  $S_n(\underline{x})$  the learner chooses a grammar belonging to  $\mathcal{M}_\phi^n(\underline{x})$ . This procedure is non ambiguous if  $\mathcal{M}_\phi^n(\underline{x})$  is a singleton.

Our first identification Theorem says that the Maximum Likelihood Procedure always identifies the departure grammar in the limit as  $n$  diverges.

**Theorem A.** *For any potential  $\phi$  and any grammar  $G$  the Maximal Likelihood sets  $\mathcal{M}_\phi^n(\underline{x})$  converges to  $\{G\}$  for  $\mu_\phi^G$  almost all choices of  $\underline{x}$ , as  $n$  diverges.*

The above Theorem accounts for the robustness of the learning process. A child which uses the Maximum Likelihood Procedures to identify the parental grammar succeeds using a finite sample of positive evidences.

Nevertheless, languages change. Since in a natural language acquisition situation the identification is done with a fixed  $n$  which is biologically defined, it seems reasonable to think of a model in which the Identification Procedure is based on a large but finite sample. In this case, the Maximum Likelihood Procedure can give an unambiguous answer which is nevertheless different from the departure grammar. This is summarized in the following Proposition, which is trivial and will not be proved.

**Proposition B.** *For any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $G$  and  $G'$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ , such that  $G > G'$ , and any  $\epsilon \geq 0$  there exists a Hölder continuous potential  $\phi$  such that*

$$\mu_\phi^G(\{\underline{x} : G' \in \mathcal{M}_\phi^n(\underline{x}) \text{ but } G \notin \mathcal{M}_\phi^n(\underline{x})\}) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

This model is not satisfactory, since it only describes changes leading to smaller grammars (i.e. grammars which allow less transitions than the parental one).

Trying to improve the model, we introduce a new procedure which coincides with the Maximum Likelihood approach in most cases but nevertheless even in the limit of diverging  $n$  can lead to a new grammar, which can be strictly greater than the original one. The next two Theorems show how this may occur under a Minimum Entropy Identification Procedure.

Given the Gibbs state  $\mu_\phi^G$ , let  $h(\mu_\phi^G)$  denote its Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy (see Bowen 1975 for the definition).

The Shannon-McMillan-Breiman Theorem says that the  $\mu_\phi^G$  measure of a cylindrical set  $[S_n(\underline{x})]$  is typically of order  $e^{-nh(\mu_\phi^G)}$ . This suggests that a Minimum Entropy Criterion could be used instead of the Maximum Likelihood Procedure we have just described. As a matter of fact Theorem C below shows that both approaches coincide for potentials which are close to the null potential, i.e. potentials belonging to

$$\mathcal{O}_r = \{\phi : \|\phi\|_{C^\alpha} < r\}$$

the  $C^\alpha$  ball with radius  $r$  centered at the null potential, where  $r$  is sufficiently small.

We define the Minimum Entropy Subset  $\mathcal{E}_\phi^n(\underline{x})$  by

$$\mathcal{E}_\phi^n(\underline{x}) = \{G : [S_n(\underline{x})] \subset L(G) \text{ and } h(\mu_\phi^G) \text{ is minimal}\} .$$

We may now introduce the **Minimum Entropy Identification Procedure**. Given  $\phi$ ,  $\underline{x}$ , and  $n$  the learner chooses a grammar belonging to  $\mathcal{E}_\phi^n(\underline{x})$ .

**Theorem C.** *There exists a positive real number  $r$  such that for any potential  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{O}_r$  and any grammar  $G$  the Minimum Entropy sets  $\mathcal{E}_\phi^n(\underline{x})$  converge to  $\{G\}$  for  $\mu_\phi^G$  almost all choices of  $\underline{x}$ , as  $n$  diverges.*

**Theorem D.** *For any  $G$  and  $G'$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ , such that  $G < G'$ , there exists a Hölder continuous potential  $\phi$  such that for  $\mu_\phi^G$  almost every  $\underline{x}$  and for any  $n$   $G' \in \mathcal{E}_\phi^n(\underline{x})$  but  $G \notin \mathcal{E}_\phi^n(\underline{x})$ .*

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM A.

Theorem A will be proved as soon as we show that for any potential  $\phi$  and  $n$  large enough the Maximum Likelihood set excludes both grammars which have an entry smaller than the original grammar, and grammars which are strictly larger. This is done in the next two lemmata.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be two grammars such that  $G' < G$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\phi^G(\{\underline{x} : G' \in \mathcal{M}_\phi^n(\underline{x})\}) = 0.$$

**Proof.** This follows directly from the Ergodic Theorem, which says that every event which has positive probability, does indeed occur.

**Lemma 2.** *For any  $\phi \in C^\alpha$  there is an integer  $n(\phi)$  such that for any pair  $G$  and  $G'$  of grammars such that  $G < G'$ , then for any string  $\underline{x} \in L(G)$  we have*

$$\mu_\phi^{G'}([S_n(\underline{x})]) < \mu_\phi^G([S_n(\underline{x})])$$

for all  $n \geq n(\phi)$ .

**Proof.** From inequality (1) and the finiteness of  $\mathcal{G}$ , it follows that there is a constant  $C$  (which depends only on  $\phi$ ) such that for any integer  $n$  and any string  $\underline{x} \in L(G)$  we have

$$\mu_\phi^G([S_n(\underline{x})]) > C e^{n(P(\phi, G) - P(\phi, G'))} \mu_\phi^{G'}([S_n(\underline{x})]).$$

To conclude the proof it is enough to use the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\phi \in C^\alpha(\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}})$ , then if  $G < G'$ , we have*

$$P(\phi, G) < P(\phi, G').$$

Note in particular that in the above proposition the inequality is strict.

**Proof.** We recall (see Bowen 1975) that the pressure of  $\phi$  is the logarithm of the largest eigenvalue of the transfer operator defined on  $C^\beta(L(G))$  ( $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$ ) by

$$\mathcal{L}_G^\phi \psi(x_0, x_1, \dots) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda, G(x, x_0)=1} e^{\phi(x, x_0, x_1, \dots)} \psi(x, x_0, x_1, \dots).$$

In what follows, if there is no danger of confusion, we shall use the shorter notation  $\mathcal{L}_G$  instead of  $\mathcal{L}_G^\phi$ .

Note that if  $G < G'$  and  $\psi$  is non-negative then  $\mathcal{L}_G \psi \leq \mathcal{L}_{G'} \psi$ .

We recall also that in the Banach space  $C^\beta(L(G))$ , the operator  $\mathcal{L}_G$  has a simple isolated eigenvalue denoted below by  $\lambda(G)$  which is real and positive, the rest of the

spectrum is contained in a disk centered at the origin with radius strictly smaller than this number  $\lambda(G)$ . It is known that  $\log(\lambda(G)) = P(\phi, G)$ .

Moreover, the associated eigenvector  $\psi_G$  is positive and bounded below away from zero, and the associated eigencovector  $\alpha_G$  is a positive measure. Similar results hold of course for  $G'$ , and we are going to prove that  $\lambda(G) < \lambda(G')$ .

This will follow from the eigenvalue equation for  $G'$  considered on  $L(G)$ . We have indeed for  $\underline{x} \in L(G)$  the relation

$$\lambda(G')\psi_{G'}(\underline{x}) = \mathcal{L}_{G'}\psi_{G'}(\underline{x}) = \mathcal{L}_G\psi_{G'}(\underline{x}) + r_{G'}(\underline{x}),$$

where

$$r_{G'}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda, G(x, x_0)=0, G'(x, x_0)=1} e^{\phi(x, x_0, x_1, \dots)} \psi_{G'}(x, x_0, x_1, \dots).$$

Note however that for some choice of  $x_0$ ,  $r_{G'}(\underline{x})$  may be equal to zero. In order to deal with this problem we iterate the above equality  $n$  times where  $n$  is the smallest integer such that all entries of the matrix  $G^n$  are non zero (this number is finite since  $G$  is irreducible and aperiodic). We obtain since  $r_{G'} \geq 0$  and  $\mathcal{L}_G$  is positivity preserving

$$\lambda(G')^n \psi_{G'}(\underline{x}) \geq \mathcal{L}_G^n \psi_{G'}(\underline{x}) + \mathcal{L}_G^{n-1} r_{G'}(\underline{x}), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{n-1} r_{G'}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{\substack{x_{-n-1}, \dots, x_{-1} \in \Lambda \\ G(x_{-n-1}, x_{-n})=0 \\ G'(x_{-n-1}, x_{-n})=G(x_{-n}, x_{-n+1})=\dots=G(x_{-1}, x_0)=1}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{\phi(x_{-n-1+j}, x_{-n+j}, \dots)} \psi_{G'}(x_{-n-1}, x_{-n}, \dots).$$

From our choice of  $n$ , and the aperiodicity of  $G$ , we conclude that for any  $\underline{x} \in L(G)$ , there is at least one term in the above sum which is non zero. Moreover, since the function  $\psi_{G'}$  is bounded below away from zero (and bounded above since it is continuous), we derive that there is a number  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{n-1} r_{G'}(\underline{x}) \geq \eta \psi_{G'}(\underline{x}),$$

which implies by (2) that

$$(\lambda(G')^n - \eta) \psi_{G'} \geq \mathcal{L}_G^n \psi_{G'}$$

on  $L(G)$ . Since the eigencovector  $\alpha_G$  is a positive measure, and since  $\psi_{G'}$  is strictly positive, we have  $\alpha_G(\psi_{G'}) > 0$ . Therefore if we apply  $\alpha_G$  to the two members of the above inequality, we get

$$\lambda(G')^n - \eta \geq \lambda(G)^n.$$

This implies  $P(\phi, G') = \log \lambda(G') > \log \lambda(G) = P(\phi, G)$ .



#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM C

Let  $\mu_0^G$  be the Gibbs state associated to the null potential. We will first prove that the Minimum Entropy set converges to the original grammar with probability 1 with respect to  $\mu_0^G$ . Theorem C will then follow by continuity of the pressure as a function of the potential (cf Parry and Pollicott 1990), Theorem A and Lemma 7.

We recall that for a matrix  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , the eigenvalue with largest modulus is simple and positive. The associated eigenvalue is the exponential of the topological entropy  $h_{\text{top}}(G)$  of the Markov shift, and the associated eigenvector is a vector with strictly positive entries (see Horn and Johnson 1985). In the notation of the previous section this corresponds to the null potential  $\phi = 0$  (see Bowen 1975).

We now observe that if  $G < G'$ , there is a matrix  $R$  with entries equal to 0 or 1 such that  $G' = G + R$ . However, if  $h_{\text{top}}(G) = h_{\text{top}}(G')$ , it follows from exercise 8.4.15 in Horn and Johnson 1985 that  $R = 0$ , i.e.  $G' = G$ .

The proof of Theorem C is a direct consequence of the following lemmata.

**Lemma 4.** *For any  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  and for any  $\underline{x} \in L(G)$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu_0^G([S_n(\underline{x})])}{n} = -h_{\text{top}}(G)$$

**Proof.** The result follows directly from the Shannon-McMillan-Breiman Theorem.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be two elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  with the same topological entropy. If  $L(G) \subset L(G')$ , then  $G = G'$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the cone of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^\theta$  with positive coordinates, where  $\theta$  denotes the cardinal of the lexicon  $\Lambda$ . We have that for any integer  $n$

$$G^n \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \quad G'^n \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

We will denote by  $p$  (or  $p^G$ ) the eigenvector of  $G$  corresponding to the largest positive eigenvalue  $\lambda = e^{h_{\text{top}}(G)}$ , normalized by the condition

$$\sum_{i \in \Lambda} p_i^G = 1.$$

We also denote by  $\lambda'$  the corresponding eigenvalue of  $G'$ , and our hypothesis implies that  $\lambda = \lambda'$ . We will derive a contradiction from the assertion that

$$L(G) \subsetneq L(G').$$

Since however  $L(G) \subset L(G')$ , we have  $G' = G + B$  where  $B$  is a matrix of dimension  $\theta$  with entries equal to zero or one and with at least one non zero entry. We observe that due to the irreducible and aperiodic property, there is an integer  $m$  such that for any pair of indices  $(i, j)$

$$G_{i,j}^m > 0.$$

We now fix  $n = m + 1$ , and conclude that for the order among vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^\theta$  associated to the cone  $\mathcal{C}$

$$G'^{m+1}p > G^{m+1}p + G^m Bp.$$

We recall that  $v_1 > v_2$  iff  $v_1 - v_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  (see Bowen 1975). From our above choice of  $m$ , since  $B$  has at least one non zero entry and since the components of  $p$  are strictly positive, we conclude that there is a strictly positive number  $\eta$  such that

$$G'^{m+1}p > (\lambda^{m+1} + \eta)p.$$

Since  $G'$  maps the cone  $\mathcal{C}$  into itself we have for any integer  $k$

$$G'^{k(m+1)}p > (\lambda^{m+1} + \eta)^k p,$$

which implies  $\lambda' > \lambda$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 6.** *There is a positive real number  $r$  such that if  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_r$ , the map  $G \rightarrow h(\mu_\phi^G)$  is strictly monotone increasing.*

**Proof.** The result follows from the continuity of  $h(\mu_\phi^G)$  with respect to  $\phi$  for  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  (cf Parry and Pollicott 1990) and Lemma 5.

**Lemma 7.** *There is a positive real number  $r$  such that for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_r$  and for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\phi^G(\{\underline{x} : \mathcal{M}_\phi^n(\underline{x}) = \mathcal{E}_\phi^n(\underline{x}) = \{G\}\}) = 1.$$

**Proof.** The result follows from Lemma 6.

Theorem C now follows from Theorem A and Lemma 7.

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM D

The proof of Theorem D follows from Lemma 8.

**Lemma 8.** *Given two grammars  $G$  and  $G'$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $G < G'$ , there exists a Hölder continuous potential  $\phi$  such that*

$$h(\mu_\phi^G) > h(\mu_\phi^{G'}) .$$

**Proof.** The idea of the proof is to find a potential such that the Gibbs state will look like the invariant measure supported by a periodic orbit (which has of course zero entropy). As in the previous theorem, the matrix  $G'$  has at least one more entry equal to 1 than the matrix  $G$ . Therefore we can find a periodic orbit for the subshift of  $G'$  which is not admissible for  $G$ . Let  $(y_0, \dots, y_{q-1})$  be such a periodic orbit with minimal period  $q$ . In other words, we have

$$G'_{y_j, y_{j+1}} = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq q-1, \quad G'_{y_q, y_1} = 1$$

and we can also assume that

$$G_{y_1, y_2} = 0 .$$

We now define the function  $\phi$  as follows. Let  $E$  be a positive number to be fixed later on, we set  $\phi(x_0, \dots, x_q) = E$  if  $x_q = x_0$ , and there is an integer  $0 \leq l \leq q-1$  such that for any integer  $0 \leq j \leq q-1$ ,  $x_j = y_{j+l \pmod{q}}$ , and  $\phi(x_0, \dots, x_q) = 0$  otherwise. Note that since  $\phi$  is a cylindrical function, it is Hölder continuous.

On the set  $L(G)$  which is the phase space of the subshift associated to  $G$ , the function  $\phi$  is equal to 0. In this case, the corresponding Gibbs measure has maximal Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy, i.e.  $h(\mu_\phi^G) = h_{\text{top}}(G)$ .

We now consider the Gibbs state on  $L(G')$ . We observe that for a fixed positive number  $\beta$ , the transfer operator  $\mathcal{L}_{G'}^{\beta\phi}$  associated to  $\beta\phi$  on the subshift of  $G'$  and given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{G'}^{\beta\phi}\psi(x_1, \dots) = \sum_{x_0, G'_{x_0, x_1}=1} e^{\beta\phi(x_0, \dots, x_q)} \psi(x_0, \dots) ,$$

maps the space of cylindrical functions of the first  $q$  variables into itself (we will of course only consider admissible sets of  $q$  variables with respect to the matrix  $G'$ ). This is a finite dimensional subspace of the space of Hölder continuous function, and if we find in this subspace a positive eigenvalue with a strictly positive eigenvector, by uniqueness this eigenvalue must be the exponential of the pressure of  $\beta\phi$ .

From now on it will be more convenient to use a matrix notation. Let  $m$  denote the number of sequences of length  $q$  admissible by  $G'$ . The real valued cylindrical functions which depend only on the first  $q$  symbols form a real vector space of dimension  $m$ . It is easy to verify that in this space the transfer operator can be represented by a matrix  $M_{\beta\phi}$  which takes the following form

$$M_{\beta\phi} = z^{-1}(M_0 + zM_1)$$

where  $z = \exp(-\beta E)$ ,  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  are matrices with entries equal to 0 or 1. Moreover, there is a basis of the space  $\mathbb{R}^m$  denoted by  $e_0, \dots, e_{m-1}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 e_j &= e_{j+1 \pmod{q}} & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq q-1 \\ M_0 e_j &= 0 & \text{else.} \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $M_0$  is not primitive, but its spectrum is composed of the eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity  $m - q$  and the  $q^{\text{th}}$  root of unity which are simple eigenvalues. In particular, 1 is a simple eigenvalue. By analytic perturbation theory (see Kato 1980), we conclude that for  $z$  small enough, the matrix  $zM_{\beta\phi}$  has a simple eigenvalue  $\lambda(z)$  which is an analytic function of  $z$  which tends to 1 if  $z \rightarrow 0$ . We also know that the matrix  $zM_{\beta\phi}$  is such that all its entries are non negative, and moreover there is a power of this matrix with all its entries strictly positive. This matrix has therefore a real positive eigenvalue which is simple and is also the unique point in the spectrum with maximum modulus. The associated eigenvector has strictly positive coordinates. For  $z$  small enough we conclude that this point must be  $\lambda(z)$ . If we denote by  $P(z)$  the function  $\log \lambda(z)$  we have

$$h(\mu_{\phi}^{G'}) = \left( P + Ez \frac{dP}{dz} \right)_{z=e^{-E}} = \log \lambda(e^{-E}) + E e^{-E} \frac{\lambda'(e^{-E})}{\lambda(e^{-E})},$$

and this number tends to zero if  $E$  diverges. Therefore, for  $E$  large enough, it will be smaller than  $h_{\text{top}}(G)$  and the theorem is proven.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Marzio Cassandro for helpful discussions. We are particularly grateful to Charlotte Galves for patiently introducing us to the problem and for pointing out the important relationship between prosody and syntax.

## REFERENCES.

- Angluin, D. 1980. Inductive inference of formal languages from positive data. *Information and Control*, **45**, 117-135.
- Berwick, R. 1985. *The acquisition of language knowledge*. MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Bowen, R. 1975. *Equilibrium states of Anosov systems*. Lect. Notes in Math. **470**, Springer, New York.
- Chomsky, N. 1956. Three models for the description of language. *IRE Trans. on Inform. Theory*, **IT 2**, 113-124.
- Chomsky, N., 1963. Formal properties of grammars. *Handbook of Math. Psych.*, **2**, 323-418, Wiley, New York.
- Chomsky, N. 1986. *Knowledge of language. Its nature, origin and use*. Praeger, New York.
- Galves, A. and Galves, C. 1993. *A case study of prosody driven language change. From Classical to Modern European Portuguese*. Preprint, USP.
- Gold, E. M. 1967. Language identification in the limit. *Information and Control*, **10**, 447-474.
- Horn, R. and Johnson, C. R. 1985. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Kato, T. 1980. *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York.
- Lightfoot, D. 1979. *Principles of diachronic syntax*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- McNeill, D. 1966. Developmental psycholinguistics. Part of the chapter "Psycholinguistics" by G. Miller and D. McNeill. *Handbook of Social Psychology*, Wiley, New York.
- Parry, W. and Pollicott, M. 1990. *Zeta functions and the periodic orbits structure of hyperbolic dynamics*. *Astérisque*, **187-188**.
- Ruelle, D. 1978. *Thermodynamic Formalism*. Wesley, Reading.

Publicações do Instituto de Matemática da UFRGS  
Cadernos de Matemática e Estatística

Série A: Trabalho de Pesquisa

1. Marcos Sebastiani Artecona - Transformation des Singularités - MAR/89
2. Jaime Bruck Ripoll - On a Theorem of R. Langevin about Curvature and Complex Singularities - MAR/89
3. Eduardo Cisneros, Miguel Ferrero e Maria Inés Gonzales - Prime Ideals of Skew Polynomial Rings and Skew Laurent Polynomial Rings - ABR/89
4. Oclide José Dotto -  $\varepsilon$ -Dilations - JUN/89
5. Jaime Bruck Ripoll - A Characterization of Helicoids - JUN/89
6. Mark Thompson e V. B. Moscatelli - Asymptotic Distribution of Liusternik-Schnirelman Eigenvalues for Elliptic Nonlinear Operators - JUL/89
7. Mark Thompson - The Formula of Weyl for Regions with a Self-Similar Fractal Boundary - JUL/89
8. Jaime Bruck Ripoll - A Note on Compact Surfaces with Non Zero Constant Mean Curvature - OUT/89
9. Jaime Bruck Ripoll - Compact  $\varepsilon$ -Convex Hypersurfaces - NOV/89
10. Jandyra Maria G. Fachel - Coeficientes de Correlação Tipo-Contigência - JAN/90
11. Jandyra Maria G. Fachel - The Probability of Occurrence of Heywood Cases - JAN/90

12. Jandyra Maria G. Fachel - Heywood Cases in Unrestricted Factor Analysis - JAN/90
13. Julio Cesar R. Claeysen e Tereza Tsukazan de Ruiz - Dynamical Solutions of Linear Matrix Differential Equations - JAN/90
14. Maria T. Albanese - Behaviour of the Likelihood in Latent Analysis of Binary Data - ABR/91
15. Maria T. Albanese - Measurement of the Latent Trait Analysis of Binary Data - ABR/91
16. Maria T. Albanese - Adequacy of the Asymptotic Variance-Covariance Matrix Using Bootstrap Jackknife Techniques in Latent Trait Analysis of Binary Data - ABR/91
17. Maria T. Albanese - Latent Variable Models for Binary Response - ABR/91
18. Mark Thompson - Kinematic Dynamo in Random Flows - DEZ/90
19. Jaime Bruck Ripoll e Marcos Sebastiani Artecona - The Generalized Map and Applications - AGO/91
20. Jaime Bruck Ripoll, Suzana Fornari e Katia Frensel - Hypersurfaces with Constant Mean Curvature in the Complex Hyperbolic Space - AGO/91
21. Suzana Fornari e Jaime Bruck Ripoll - Stability of Compact Hypersurfaces with Constant Mean Curvature - JAN/92
22. Marcos Sebastiani Artecona - Une Généralisation de L'Invariant de Malgrange - FEV/92
23. Cornelis Kraaikamp e Artur Lopes - The Theta Group and the Continued Fraction with Even Partial Quotients - MAR/92

24. Silvia Lopes - Amplitude Estimation in Multiple Frequency Spectrum - MAR/92
25. Silvia Lopes e Benjamin Kedem - Sinusoidal Frequency Modulated Spectrum Analysis - MAR/92
26. Silvia Lopes e Benjamin Kedem - Iteration of Mappings and Fixed Spectrum Analysis - MAR/92
27. Miguel Ferrero, Eduardo Cisneros e Maria Ines Gonzales - Ore Extensions and Jacobson Rings - MAI/92
28. Sara C. Carmona - An Asymptotic Problem for a Reaction-Diffusion Component - JUL/92
29. Luiz Fernando Carvalho da Rocha - Unique Ergodicity of Interval Exchange Maps - JUL/92
30. Sara C. Carmona - Wave Front Propagation for a Cauchy Problem With a Fast Component - OUT/92
31. Marcos Sebastiani Artecona e Iván Pan Pérez - Intersections Transverses dans l'Espace Projectif - OUT/92
32. Miguel Ferrero - Closed Bimodules over Prime Rings: Closed Submodules and Applications to Rings Extensions - DEZ/92
33. Dinara W. X. Fernandez - Método da Máxima Verossimilhança Restrita para Estimaco de Componentes de Varincia - SET/93
34. Martin Knott e M. Teresa Albanese - Polymiss: A Computer Program for Fitting a One- or Two-Factor Logit-Probit Latent Variable Model to Polynomous Data when Observations may be Missing - OUT/93
35. Peter Struss e Waldir L. Roque - Foundations and Applications of Qualitative Reasoning and Model-Based-Diagnosis - OUT/93



36. Edmund R. Puczyłowski - On Koethe's Problem - OUT/93
37. Luis G. Mendes e Marcos A. A. Sebastiani Artecona - Sur la Densité des Systèmes de Pfaff sans Solution Algébrique - JAN/94
38. Artur O. Lopes e Luiz F. C. da Rocha - Invariant Measures for Gauss Maps Associated with Interval Exchange Maps - MAR/94
39. Artur O. Lopes e Roberto Markarian - Open Billiards: Cantor Sets, Invariant and Conditionally Invariant Probabilities - AGO/94
40. Pierre Collet, Antonio Galves, Artur O. Lopes - Maximum Likelihood and Minimum Entropy Identification of Grammars - AGO/94

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL  
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA  
NÚCLEO DE ATIVIDADES EXTRACURRICULARES

Os Cadernos de Matemática e Estatística publicam as seguintes séries:

Série A: Trabalho de Pesquisa

Série B: Trabalho de Apoio Didático

Série C: Colóquio de Matemática SBM/UFRGS

Série D: Trabalho de Graduação

Série F: Trabalho de Divulgação

Série G: Textos para Discussão

Toda correspondência com solicitação de números publicados e demais informações deverá ser enviada para:

NAEC - NÚCLEO DE ATIVIDADES EXTRACURRICULARES  
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA - UFRGS  
AV. BENTO GONÇALVES, 9500 - PRÉDIO 43111  
CEP 91509 - 900 AGRONOMIA - POA/RS  
FONE: 336 92 22 OU 339 13 55 OU 228 16 33  
RAMAL 6197  
FAX: 336 15 12