

Band strength factors with asymptotic expansion for Morse–Pekeris oscillators

Cite as: J. Chem. Phys. **69**, 612 (1978); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.436625>
Published Online: 11 August 2008

J. A. C. Gallas, H. P. Grieneisen, and B. P. Chakraborty



View Online



Export Citation

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[The Morse oscillator in position space, momentum space, and phase space](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics **88**, 4535 (1988); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.453761>

[Matrix elements for Morse oscillators](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics **78**, 3869 (1983); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.445164>

[Expectation values for Morse oscillators](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics **79**, 2537 (1983); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.446165>



Lock-in Amplifiers

Zurich Instruments

Watch the Video

Band strength factors with asymptotic expansion for Morse-Pekeris oscillators^{a)}

J. A. C. Gallas^{b)} and H. P. Grieneisen

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, 90.000 Porto Alegre, Brasil

B. P. Chakraborty

Instituto de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, 90.000 Porto Alegre, Brasil

(Received 6 February 1978)

Band strength factors have been calculated by asymptotic expansion technique for N_2^+ ($B^2 \Sigma_v^+ - X^2 \Sigma_g^+$) and $N_2(C^3 \pi_u - B^3 \pi_g)$ and the rotational dependences have been studied for J up to 100. A comparison between the band strengths has been made using experimentally derived electronic transition moment function for the $N_2(C^3 \pi_u - B^3 \pi_g)$ system. The present method of calculation does not use the usual r -centroid approximation.

In previous studies¹⁻⁴ the asymptotic expansion method was used to calculate band strength factors when the electronic transition moment could be expressed as

$$R_e(r) = A \exp(-Br).$$

Here we report an extension of the method which enables us to calculate band strength factors when the transition moment is expressed as

$$R_e(r) = \sum a_n r^n.$$

The band strength factor is used in the derivation of transition intensities of diatomic molecules and in order to account for the thermal conditions of the source, one needs to consider the vibration-rotation interaction as well. Therefore, the present equations also include the J dependence in the Morse-Pekeris model with slight modifications.⁵

One interesting point to note is that, due to the particular type of variable transformation involved, we do not need to use the usual r -centroid method⁶ in the calculations.

Within the used model, the radial wavefunction for a diatomic molecule is given by

$$\psi_{v,J}(r) = N_{v,J} e^{-z/2} z^{b/2} {}_1F_1(-v; b+1; z),$$

where ${}_1F_1$ is the confluent hypergeometric function. In the case considered here, of the diatomic molecule of reduced mass μ , this can be written⁷

$$\psi_{v,J}(r) = N_{v,J} e^{-z/2} z^{b/2} L_{v,b}^b(z), \quad (1)$$

where v and J are the vibrational and rotational quantum numbers and

$$|I_{v',J'}^{J''}| = \frac{N_{v',J'} N_{v'',J''}}{a'} \xi^{(K_2'-1)/2} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{v'} \sum_{\mu=0}^{v''} (-1)^{\lambda+\mu} \xi^{-\mu} B(b', v', \lambda) B(b'', v'', \mu) I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(\xi, \gamma, \rho), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\gamma = a''/a', \quad \xi = K_1''/(K_1')^{\gamma} \exp(a''r_0'' - \gamma a'r_0'),$$

^{a)}Work supported in part by CNPq and FINEP.

^{b)}Acknowledge gratefully a grant from CNPq.

$$z = K_1 \exp[-a(r - r_0)],$$

$$r_0 = r_e(1 + \alpha),$$

$$\alpha = 4AB_e/\omega_e^2,$$

$$A = B_e J(J+1),$$

$$a = 0.243559(\mu\omega_e x_e)^{1/2},$$

$$r_e = 1/[0.243559(\mu B_e)^{1/2}],$$

$$K_1 = 2[(D_2 - C_2)/\omega_e x_e]^{1/2}$$

$$K_2 = 2(2D_1 - C_1)/(\omega_e x_e K_1),$$

$$D_1 = \omega_e^2 E/(4\omega_e x_e),$$

$$D_2 = (\omega_e E)^2/(4\omega_e x_e),$$

$$E = \exp(-ar_e\alpha),$$

$$b = K_2 - 2v - 1,$$

$$C_1 = [A/ar_0(1 + \alpha)^2][4 - (6/ar_0)],$$

$$C_2 = [A/ar_0(1 + \alpha)^2][1 - (3/ar_0)],$$

$$N_{v,J}^2 = \frac{ab}{v! \Gamma(K_2 - v)},$$

$$L_{v,b}^b(z) = L_{K_2-v-1}^{K_2-2v-1}(z)$$

$$= (-1)^v \Gamma(K_2 - v) \sum_{n=0}^v (-1)^n \binom{v}{n} \frac{z^{v-n}}{\Gamma(K_2 - v - n)},$$

where ω_e , $\omega_e x_e$, and B_e are the usual spectroscopic constants.

The band strength factor is defined as

$$S_{v',J'}^{J''} = |I_{v',J'}^{J''}|^2 = \left| \int_0^{\infty} \psi_{v',J'}^* R_e(r) \psi_{v'',J''} dr \right|^2. \quad (2)$$

Substituting Eq. (1) into (2), we get

$$B(b, v, \alpha) = \frac{(b+v)_\alpha}{\alpha!(v-\alpha)!},$$

$$(b+v)_\alpha = (b+v)(b+v-1)\cdots(b+v-\alpha+1),$$

$$(b+v)_0 = 1,$$

and

TABLE I. Band strengths for the $N_2(B^2\Sigma_u^+ - X^2\Sigma_g^+)$ first negative band system.

Band $v' v''$	J	From Ref. 5	This work
0 0 (3914 Å) ^a	0 100	0.5415 0.5483	0.5496 0.5553
0 1 (4278 Å)	0 100	0.1978 0.1924	0.2006 0.1950
1 0 (3582 Å)	0 100	0.2302 0.2293	0.2325 0.2308
0 2 (4709 Å)	0 100	0.0494 0.0494	0.0500 0.0501

^aBand heads.

$$I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(\xi, \gamma, p) = \int_0^{\infty} z^{\lambda} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(z + \xi z^{\gamma})\right] R_e(z) dz,$$

$$p = (K_2' + \gamma K_2'')/2 - (1 + \gamma)/2 - 1 - \lambda - \gamma \mu.$$

In the above integral we keep the symbol R_e for the transition moment, in order to clarify its contribution, although the functional dependence is different from the previous one. This dependence can be easily found by the simple variable transformation r to z .

Now, by transforming the variable $z = pt$, the integral can be rewritten as

$$I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(\xi, \gamma, p) = p^{\lambda+1} \int_0^{\infty} \exp[pg(t)] R_e(pt) dt, \quad (4)$$

with

$$g(t) = -(t + \xi p^{\gamma-1} t^{\gamma})/2 + \ln t.$$

The integral in Eq. (4) can be evaluated by Laplace's method of asymptotic expansion,⁸ since p is a large parameter. Then, assuming for the transition moment the form

$$R_e(r) = a_0 + a_1 r + a_2 r^2 + a_3 r^3 + \dots, \quad (5)$$

we have, up to the second term in the expansion,

$$I_{\mu}^{\lambda}(\xi, \gamma, p) = p^{\lambda+1/2} \left(\frac{\pi}{-b_2}\right)^{1/2} \times \exp(p g_0) R_e(\rho_0) [1 + (E_0 + E_1)/p], \quad (6)$$

$$E_0 = 3b_4/4b_2^2 + 15b_3^2/16b_2^3,$$

$$E_1 = [3a_1 b_4/b_2 - a_2/2 + (6a_2 b_4/b_2)\rho_0]/[b_2 R_e(\rho_0)],$$

$$g_0 = g(t_0),$$

$$b_2 = g^{(2)}(t_0)/2!,$$

$$b_3 = g^{(3)}(t_0)/3!,$$

$$b_4 = g^{(4)}(t_0)/4!,$$

$$\rho_0 = r_0' + \ln[K_1'/(pt_0)]/a', \quad (7)$$

where $g^{(2)}(t_0)$, $g^{(3)}(t_0)$, and $g^{(4)}(t_0)$ are the second, third, and fourth derivative of $g(t)$ evaluated for $t = t_0$, t_0 being the point where the first derivative of $g(t)$ is equal to zero.

Using these equations, a double precision ALGOL program has been developed for the B-6700 computer. This program has as input variables μ , $R_e(r)$ and ω_e , $\omega_e x_e$, B_e for both upper and lower states, and gives as output the band strengths and, optionally, a least-squares analysis up to the fourth degree when the J dependence study is selected. The orthogonality of the wavefunctions was checked setting $R_e(r) = 1$ and equating upper and lower spectroscopic constants: the diagonal matrix elements differ from unity by less than 10^{-6} and the off-diagonal elements are in the 10^{-20} range.

In Table I we compare the band strengths for the hypothetical Q branch of the N_2 first negative system calculated by Shumaker⁵ and by the present method. The $R_e(r)$ expression and the needed spectroscopic constants were taken from Ref. 5. Band strengths calculated by the present method agree well with previous work as can be seen from Table I. We also calculated band strength for the 0-0 band of the N_2 second positive system. Our values (for $J=0$, 0.0235 and for $J=100$, 0.0218) agree quite well with values (0.0233, 0.0199) in Ref. 5. These last values are presented for comparison purpose only since we noted that the expression for $R_e(r)$ was corrected,⁹ a fact which Shumaker did not take into account.

Next we calculated relative band strengths for the N_2 second positive system using several $R_e(r)$ expressions obtained experimentally but based on the r -centroid approximation. In Table II, which is based on Table II from Ref. 10, we summarize the used relative $R_e(r)$ functions. The spectroscopic constants for the N_2 second positive system are those given by Benesch *et al.*¹¹ The calculated relative band strengths for the Q branch of this band system are presented in Table III for some bands. The values are quite different from each other. However, if the calculated band strength factors using the relative $R_e(r)$ functions are scaled by taking the strength of the 0-0 band equal to one, a better agreement can be obtained but not being enough. This can be attributed to the ways by which all these $R_e(r)$ functions are obtained. Particularly, values in column (d) and (e) of Table III which differ by a factor of about 5, when scaled, are within 10%—which agree well with the fact that the $R_e(r)$ function of Becker, Engels, and Tatarczyk¹⁰ agrees with that of Jain¹⁶ by $\pm 5\%$ within the definition

TABLE II. The relative R_e functions used.

	Relative $R_e(\bar{r})$	Reference
(a)	$-1 + 2.325\bar{r} - 1.102\bar{r}^2$	12, 13
(b)	$1 - (0.53 \pm 0.09)\bar{r}$	14
(c)	$-1 + 1.9669\bar{r} - 0.8636\bar{r}^2$	15
(d)	$-1 + 2.1047\bar{r} - 0.9357\bar{r}^2$	16
(e)	$1 - (0.51 \pm 0.03)\bar{r}$	10

TABLE III. Relative band strengths for the $N_2(C^3\pi_u-B^3\pi_g)$ second positive band system.^a

Band $v' v''$	J	(a)	(b ⁰) ^b	(b)	(b ¹) ^b	(c)	(d)	(e ⁰) ^b	(e)	(e ¹) ^b
0 0	0	0.0201	0.0325	0.0641	0.1065	0.0058	0.0141	0.0601	0.0726	0.0864
	100	0.0187	0.0257	0.0557	0.0971	0.0055	0.0136	0.0518	0.0639	0.0773
0 1	0	0.0147	0.0267	0.0494	0.0789	0.0041	0.0100	0.0465	0.0554	0.0649
	100	0.0134	0.0213	0.0423	0.0705	0.0039	0.0095	0.0397	0.0480	0.0571
1 0	0	0.0159	0.0219	0.0474	0.0828	0.0048	0.0117	0.0441	0.0544	0.0659
	100	0.0152	0.0173	0.0423	0.0782	0.0046	0.0114	0.0390	0.0493	0.0609
1 1	0	0.0014	0.0022	0.0045	0.0077	0.0004	0.0010	0.0042	0.0051	0.0061
	100	0.0014	0.0016	0.0039	0.0071	0.0004	0.0010	0.0036	0.0045	0.0056
3 2	0	0.0101	0.0126	0.0292	0.0526	0.0030	0.0074	0.0270	0.0338	0.0413
	100	0.0115	0.0111	0.0298	0.0574	0.0034	0.0086	0.0273	0.0352	0.0440
4 2	0	0.0104	0.0104	0.0279	0.0538	0.0032	0.0080	0.0256	0.0329	0.0413
	100	0.0096	0.0066	0.0221	0.0466	0.0028	0.0073	0.0199	0.0267	0.0346
5 4	0	0.0038	0.0038	0.0101	0.0192	0.0011	0.0028	0.0092	0.0118	0.0148
	100	0.0069	0.0047	0.0150	0.0311	0.0019	0.0049	0.0135	0.0180	0.0232
6 6	0	0.0048	0.0075	0.0153	0.0258	0.0015	0.0035	0.0143	0.0174	0.0208
	100	0.0030	0.0057	0.0113	0.0189	0.0010	0.0024	0.0106	0.0128	0.0153

^aFor notation see Table II.

^bThe superscript 0 and 1 refers to the error limit in the relative $R_e(\bar{r})$ expression, i.e., for (b⁰), $R_e(\bar{r}) = 1 - 0.62\bar{r}$; for (b¹), $R_e(\bar{r}) = 1 - 0.44\bar{r}$; etc.

range. It is understood that the $R_e(r)$ functions are always derived with a convenient scaling factor. Therefore, it is felt that a more precise way of defining the transition moment is needed in order to obtain more reliable relative band strength factors.

We would also like to mention that the present method of calculation can well be used to calculate Franck-Condon factors, r centroids, and related integrals along with band strength factors by simply changing the constants of Eq. (5).

We have calculated relative band strength factors for the N_2^+ first negative and the N_2 second positive band system for $v', v'' \leq 8$ and for J up to 100 with an increment of 10. For the majority of these bands the rotational dependence could only be well reproduced through a second degree least-squares fit in the variable $J(J+1)$. In view of the great number of data generated they are not published here, but are available from the authors on request.

¹T. Y. Chang and M. Karplus, *J. Chem. Phys.* **52**, 783 (1970).

²B. P. Chakraborty, Y. K. Pan, and T. Y. Chang, *J. Chem.*

Phys. **55**, 5147 (1971).

³B. P. Chakraborty and Y. K. Pan, *J. Chem. Phys.* **56**, 3622 (1972).

⁴B. P. Chakraborty and Y. K. Pan, *Appl. Spectrosc. Rev.* **7**, 283 (1973).

⁵J. B. Shumaker Jr., *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* **9**, 153 (1969).

⁶P. A. Fraser, *Can. J. Phys.* **32**, 515 (1954).

⁷J. Rundgren, *Ark. Fys.* **30**, 61 (1965).

⁸F. W. J. Olver, *Asymptotic and Special Functions*, (Academic, New York, 1974), Chap. III.

⁹L. V. Wallace and R. W. Nicholls, *J. Atmos. Terrest. Phys.* **24**, 749 (1962).

¹⁰K. H. Becker, H. Engles, and T. Tatarczyk, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **51**, 111 (1977).

¹¹W. Benesch, J. T. Vanderslice, S. G. Tilford, and P. G. Wilkinson, *Astrophys. J.* **142**, 1227 (1965).

¹²L. V. Wallace and R. W. Nicholls, *J. Atmos. Terrest. Phys.* **7**, 101 (1955).

¹³R. W. Nicholls, *J. Atmos. Terrest. Phys.* **25**, 218 (1963).

¹⁴D. C. Tyte, *Proc. Phys. Soc. London* **80**, 1347 (1962).

¹⁵D. C. Jain and R. C. Sahni, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* **7**, 475 (1967).

¹⁶D. C. Jain, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* **12**, 759 (1972).