3-Mercaptopropionic, 4-Mercaptobenzoic, and Oleic Acid-Capped CdSe Quantum Dots: Interparticle Distance, Anchoring Groups, and Surface Passivation
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1. Introduction

Within the last 30 years the research on quantum dots (QDs) has shown a strong impact in chemistry, physics, and medical science; these nanomaterials have been largely applied in the development of assays, bioprobes, sensors, LEDs, solar cells, and TVs [1–10]. In the nanoscale realm, the behavior of the devices and related phenomena are surface dependent. Since QDs present high surface-to-volume ratio; therefore, surface functionalization is one of the most crucial parameters to be addressed [11–20]. The literature shows studies on a large group of molecules that strongly bind to inorganic surfaces through a Lewis base anchoring group such as thiol [21], carboxylate [12], phosphonate [10, 22], and amine, which bind to the empty orbitals of inorganic elements [22]. These molecules stabilize the particles during the synthesis, control their shape and size distribution which influence their interaction with each other and with the surroundings, and ultimately control their solubility and potential to binding events [23–26]. In addition, the stabilizing agents passivate surface electronic states and defects in semiconductors, contributing to the reduction of trapping states [27–29].

Concerning application in solar cells, the effect of surface ligands has been widely studied for several QDs and ligands. Previous results show that passivation is strongly dependent
on the ligand, resulting in either improvement or drop of power conversion efficiency [30–33]. Usually, large molecular size ligands such as oleic acid are required to maintain colloidal stability in organic solvents; however, for application in systems that require electronic transport among the QDs, such as in solar cells, a ligand exchange from long to short ligands is desirable in order to decrease the spacing among the QDs improving electronic transport [33–37]. Hence, in order to obtain quantum dots with improved optoelectronic properties, one should obtain stable particles presenting good electronic transport.

Among many cadmium chalcogenide semiconductors, CdSe quantum dots have been widely studied in the literature [38–42]. Cadmium chalcogenides are well-known for their synthesis, yields, reliability, and stability. Their synthesis usually requires high temperature of ca. 240°C which necessitates the addition of stable organic ligands having high boiling point in addition to their solubility in the reaction medium. In addition, the ligands should have at least one group with high electronic density, which can bind to the particle surface. The Cd²⁺ binding helps to control the shape and prevents the agglomeration and uncontrolled growth of the quantum dots.

Two-phase ligand exchange is a well-known procedure to functionalize quantum dot surface [43, 44]. This process is analogous to nucleophilic substitution reactions in coordinating complexes. This approach classifies the ligands in three different types: L, X, and Z type. The L type ligands are charge neutral two electron donors with a lone pair, such as amines, phosphines, and carboxylic acids which bond to the electron-deficient surface sites of the nanocrystal. The X type has an odd negative charge, such as oleates, thioclates, and phosphonates, and requires one electron from the surface of the nanocrystal to form a two-electron covalent bond. Finally, the Z type interacts with the surface of the nanocrystal as electron acceptors [45]. In the case of CdSe QDs, the ligands, such as oleic acid (L) and oleate (X), are bound to the metallic Cd²⁺ sites onto the QD surface [45]. In the case of L type ligand exchange, in the room temperature, the charge neutral ligands are constantly adsorbing and desorbing on the surface of the nanocrystal, and they are low cost. However, for the X type ligands, there is a formation of an electrostatic charged site when the ligand leaves the surface of the nanocrystal. Earlier works presented that basic environment is more favorable to promote the ligand exchange from oleates to thiol linking groups [46] probably due to the charge stabilization of the surface during the leaving of the X type ligand [43, 45, 47]. Understanding the functionalization of QDs with these three ligands is very important and warrants a systematic study. Although several spectroscopic techniques have been used to the characterization of surface ligands on QD surface at the molecular scale, nevertheless, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is the most robust method to study ligand binding [48–50]. Hence, in this work NMR allowed us to evaluate how the different ligands are bound to the QD surface, and how they affect the QD optical properties.

This paper describes a study on three different ligands, aimed at improving the minimum interparticle distance required to yield optically interesting materials that maintain the quantum confinement properties.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Synthesis of CdSe. Oleic acid-capped CdSe quantum dots (CdSe/OA) were synthesized by using hot injection. In a three-neck flask, 0.5 mmol of cadmium acetate dihydrate, 5 mmol of oleic acid, and 10 mL of octadecene were added and degassed under vacuum at 80°C, until the formation of bubbles was no longer observed. The solution was heated to the reaction temperature of 240°C. In another flask, 0.05 g of selenium powder and 2 mL of trietylphosphine were mixed, heated to 60°C, and kept under stirring until it became optically clear. This solution was injected into the three-neck flask; the reaction was allowed for 2 minutes and stopped by immersion in a cold bath. The resultant solution was precipitated with acetone and centrifuged at 2,000 rpm. The precipitated was dispersed in hexane and the process was repeated.

2.2. Ligand Exchange. (CdSe/OA) in either mercaptopropionic acid (MPA) or mercaptobenzoic acid (MBA) were mixed with 5 mL of methanol, keeping a mass ratio of 1:2 (CdSe/OA)/MPA or MBA. The pH was adjusted to 11.0 by using tetramethylammonium hydroxide. After ligand exchange, the CdSe was precipitated with ethyl acetate and separated by centrifugation.

2.3. Solubility. Solubility tests were carried out after ligand exchange, by adding polar solvents, such as water, ethanol, or methanol, to confirm the replacement of oleic acid by MPA or MBA.

2.4. Quantum Dot Characterization. UV-Vis spectroscopy was carried out on a Shimadzu UV-2450 spectrometer within the wavelength range between 300 and 700 nm, using a standard 10 mm quartz cuvette. The emission spectra were measured in the same range in a Varian spectrophotometer. TEM images were obtained in a JEOL JEM 1200 EXII operating at 80 kV. A Bruker Avance 400 MHz, equipped with BBO probe of 5 mm with direct detection, was used to obtain the NMR (1H and 13C) spectra. Frequencies of 400 MHz and 100 MHz were used for 1H and 13C, respectively. The solvents were D₂O and CDCl₃ for MBA- and MPA-capped nanoparticles and OA-capped nanoparticles, respectively. The thermal properties were characterized by thermogravimetric analysis performed in a TGA Q5000IR, programmed in a heating rate of 10°C/min from 0 to 800°C with a nitrogen flow of 100 mL/min.

2.5. Quantum Dot Sensitized Solar Cell Assembly. The TiO₂ paste [51] was screen-printed on a transparent conductive substrate (FTO), previously immersed in 40 mmol·L⁻¹ TiCl₄ aqueous solution at 80°C for 30 minutes. The substrate was heated on a hot plate at 125°C for 6 minutes, following the heat treatment to 500°C for sintering. After cooling down to 60°C, the substrates were immersed in the sensitizer solution for 24 hours. The counter electrodes were prepared by coating the FTO surface by CuS obtained by electrochemical
route [44]. The electrolyte was prepared by dissolving 1.0 M of Na$_2$S and 0.125 M of S in deionized water. The solar cell was sealed by using Meltonix, a polymeric film of low melting temperature. The devices were labeled as TiO$_2$/CdSe/OA, TiO$_2$/CdSe/MBA, and TiO$_2$/CdSe/MPA.

2.6. Device Characterization. Current vs. potential curves were acquired in the potential ranging from -0.4 to +0.8 V, using a Xenon lamp of 300 W adjusted to 100 mW·cm$^{-2}$ in an electrometer (Source Meter Keithley 2410).

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 presents the comparison between absorption and emission spectra of the as-synthesized OA-capped QDs and after ligand exchange for either MPA or MBA. As one can observe, the absorption peak of MPA- and MBA-capped QDs is redshifted when compared to the as-synthesized OA-capped QDs. This result suggests a formation of a ligand monolayer on the surface of the QD, causing a relaxation on the quantum confinement effect [52]. In addition, there is a reduction of the Stokes shift from the OA-capped QDs to the thiol-capped QDs as presented in Figure 1.

Previous works reported a relation between the reduction of the Stokes shift and increase in the fluorescence quantum yield, elaborating that samples with smaller Stokes shifts present a better surface trapping state passivation [52, 53]. The improved surface passivation of CdSe can be explained in terms of the HSAB theory [54, 55]. As Cd$^{2+}$ from the CdSe is a soft acid and the oleate ligand is a hard base, there is a weak bond on the QD surface. After ligand exchange, the soft Cd$^{2+}$ is combined with the hard base thiol (SH), leading to a stronger interaction and better passivation.

TEM images of the CdSe quantum dots show the formation of nearly spherical particles (Figure 2), presenting a nearly narrow size distribution, with an average size of 4.5 nm. An interesting result from the quantum dots encapsulated by oleic acid is the homogeneous interparticle spacing, with an average of 1.9 nm, controlled by the passivation layer. As one can observe, there is an agglomeration of the quantum dots when OA is exchanged by MPA and MBA. This behavior can be explained by the photocatalytic oxidation of the thiol groups on the surface of the quantum dots. Once oxidized, the thiol forms disulfides, which do not bind efficiently to the surface of the nanocrystal; therefore, it drops into the solution [46]. As the reaction progresses, the particles start to agglomerate, without significantly changing the nanocrystal size and distribution as observed in the UV-Vis absorption spectra (Figure 1). It was earlier demonstrated that the agglomeration rate is a strong function of the carbon chain length of the ligand, either because of the energetic barrier due to the interaction among the ligand monolayer or the repulsive potential between the two spheres, enhanced by the monolayer thickness of the ligands, as demonstrated by Biermann et al. [41]. The molecular chain length ($D_{molecule}$) of OA (1.937 nm), MPA (0.409 nm), and MBA (0.596 nm) contributes to explain the behavior shown in Figures 2(b), 2(d), and 2(f) where $D_{molecule}$ of each ligand is compared to the dispersion of the quantum dots.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1)

**Figure 1:** Absorption (black line) and emission (red line) spectra of CdSe/OA, CdSe/MPA, and CdSe/MBA.

Ligand exchange on the surface of the quantum dots was further investigated by thermogravimetric (TGA) and derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) analyses (Figure 3). Figure 3(a) presents the TGA and DTG from CdSe/OA. The first DTG peak, at ca. 435°C, with a 34.5% loss from the initial mass, is characteristic of molecules which interacts
with the quantum dots through the carbonyl, such as OA [56–58]. A minor peak was also observed at 575°C, with mass loss of 4.2%. The thermogram from CdSe/MPA (Figure 3(b)) shows a loss of 12.0% within a large range from 165 to 400°C. The DTG peak at 235°C, the small shoulder at 295°C, a 3.3% mass loss between 540 and 800°C and a small peak at 600°C, gives the total mass loss of 15.3%.

For the CdSe/MBA (Figure 3(c)), it is possible to observe two main peaks in the DTG, one at 272°C, with a mass loss of 10.5%, and the other at 415°C, with a mass loss of 4.6%. In addition, a small peak is seen at 620°C, with a mass loss of 3.2%, resulting in a total mass loss of 18.3%. Additionally, CdSe/MPA and CdSe/MBA (Figures 3(b) and 3(c)) show some initial mass loss at around 50°C, probably related to

Figure 2: TEM images of CdSe quantum dots with OA, MPA, and MBA (a, c, e). Comparison of interparticle space between OA-capped CdSe QDs (b), MPA-capped CdSe QDs (d), and MBA-capped CdSe QDs (f).
the methanol solvent, employed in the ligand exchange process. The small losses above 500°C may be related to the loss of CdSe itself, as already reported on thermal treatment experiments with ligand-free CdSe nanocrystals [4]. The analysis of the percentages of total lost mass (Table 1) shows that there is a correlation between the total mass loss and the molar weight of ligands of the quantum dots. Compared to others, the CdSe/OA presented the highest total mass loss; these results indicate that higher amount of OA took part in the surface passivation due to the presence of carbonyl group which might be one more reason of increased interparticle distance observed in the TEM image of this sample (Figure 2(a)). In addition, the CdSe/OA sample presented the largest Stokes shift (Figure 1) which might be related to the presence of these carbonyl groups, higher content of which might act as light scattering centers.

3.1. NMR Analysis of the Ligands. The comparison between the $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of pure MPA and CdSe/MPA shows at least two types of different intermolecular interactions. In the $^1$H-NMR spectrum of pure MPA, the two signals of hydrogen in the CH$_2$ groups (H$_2$ and H$_3$) are overlapped, and the hydrogen of the SH group presents itself as a triplet, due to the coupling constant $^3J_{HH}$, with a chemical shift of 1.64 ppm. However, the spectrum of CdSe/MPA $^1$H-NMR (Figure S1—Supplementary Materials) shows a significant change on the chemical shift of CH$_2$ and SH group. There is a separation of the different signals referring to the two CH$_2$ groups (H$_2$ and H$_3$) and they

![Figure 3: TGA/DTG of CdSe quantum dots capped with (a) OA, (b) MPA, and (c) MBA.](image_url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Loss mass 1 (%)</th>
<th>Loss mass 2 (%)</th>
<th>Loss mass 3 (%)</th>
<th>Total mass loss (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CdSe/OA</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdSe/MPA</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>600 3.3 15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdSe/MBA</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>620 3.2 18.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 13C-NMR spectrum of the CdSe/MPA presents an interesting result (Figure S2—Supplementary Materials). We observe two different signals to the carbonyl carbon. Both carbonyl carbon signals have higher chemical displacement than the carbonyl signal of the pure ligand. The presence of two carbonyl carbon signals with higher frequency on the 13C-NMR spectra of CdSe/MPA suggests that the cadmium actuates as a Lewis acid in the interaction with the ligand. In addition, there is an interaction between 1H-NMR for the pure ligand and the CdSe. The overlap between the 1H-NMR for the CdSe and the ligand. The two carbynlic signals are due to an interaction between Cd-S and Cd-COOH. The 1H-NMR spectrum of the CdSe/MBA (Figure S3—Supplementary Materials) showed the presence of at least three different sets of ligand molecules, suggesting three different types of interactions between the CdSe and the ligand. The overlap between the 1H-NMR for the pure ligand and the CdSe/MBA (Figure S4—Supplementary Materials) presents the expansion of the aromatic hydrogen region for the pristine ligand and the CdSe/MBA. The three different sets of signals of aromatic hydrogen for the ligand on the quantum dot strongly suggest three types of interaction between the cadmium and the ligand molecules. Probably, there is an interaction between the Lewis acid and the carbonyl group, the Lewis acid and the SH group, and a third interaction π-stack type between Lewis acid and aromatic π system. Based on the above analyses, we have depicted different types of interaction between the nanoparticle and the ligands (Figure 4). The 13C-NMR spectrum shows a large amount of aromatic signals related to the different ligand molecules interacting with the CdSe. In addition, in this case, three carbonyl carbon signals were noticed, corroborating the three types of observed ligand molecules. The behavior of the spectra of 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR for MPA and MBA as CdSe ligands leads to the conclusion that these ligands have different ways of fixing to the surface of the quantum dot.

Figure 5 shows the current versus potential curves from the solar cells assembled with CdSe/OA, CdSe/MPA, and CdSe/MBA. The ligand does not promote a significant change on the quasi-fermi level of the system TiO2/quantum dot; hence, the open-circuit potential is found independent of the ligand. It should be noted that thinner ligand shells should directly improve carrier transport properties. MBA and MPA present the shortest chain lengths and smaller interparticle distances (Figure 1), from which one can expect faster electron transfer rate to TiO2 and hence better device performance [59–61]. However, the highest photocurrent is obtained from the quantum dots capped with oleic acid. The decrease in the performance of the devices assembled with CdSe/MPA and CdSe/MBA is related to the agglomeration of the quantum dots when OA is exchanged by MPA and MBA.

Table 2 shows the short-circuit current (Jsc), open-circuit voltage (Voc), Fill Factor (FF), and efficiency (η) obtained from the assembled devices. The power conversion efficiencies (at AM 1.5G illumination) of CdSe/OA, CdSe/MPA, and CdSe/MBA were 2.70%, 1.69%, and 1.60%, respectively.

4. Conclusions

The optical measurements of the QDs in solution suggest better surface passivation for surface trapping states with MBA and MPA rather than using OA. However, photocatalytic
oxidation of the thiol groups on the surface of the QDs results in lower colloidal stability, hence resulting in QD aggregation on the TiO$_2$ mesoporous film. The OA molecule well stabilizes the CdSe against agglomeration, increasing QD loading and light harvesting, in addition to suppress the recombination of separated charges. The overall power conversion efficiency of devices assembled with OA-capped CdSe showed ca. 70% improvement over the devices assembled with CdSe capped with MPA and MBA.
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