

Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul
Instituto de Matemática

Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature
in the
complex hyperbolic space

Suzana Fornari
Katia Frensel
Jaime Bruck Ripoll

Cadernos de Matemática e Estatística

Série A, nº 20, AGO/91
Porto Alegre, agosto de 1991

Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in the complex hyperbolic space

Suzana Fornari Katia Frensel Jaime Ripoll

Abstract. A classical theorem of A. D. Alexandrov characterizing round spheres is extended to the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^2$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature. A detailed description of the horospheres and equidistant hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}H^2$ determining in particular their stability, is also given.

1. Introduction.

A classical theorem due to A. D. Alexandrov ([A]) proves that the geodesic spheres are the only compact embedded hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a simply connected space of constant curvature (with the additional hypothesis of the hypersurface being contained in a hemisphere in the spherical case). Since in a two point homogeneous space the geodesic spheres are homogeneous hypersurfaces and therefore with constant mean curvature, it is natural to ask if Alexandrov's Theorem can be extended to these spaces. We answer here this question affirmatively for the complex hyperbolic space. For simplicity, we work in the 2-dimensional (complex) case. We prove:

Theorem 3.3. *Let M be a compact, embedded hypersurface with constant mean curvature of the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^2$. Then M is a geodesic*

sphere.

The simplest examples of complete hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ are the geodesic spheres, horospheres and equidistant hypersurfaces. J. L. Barbosa, M. P. do Carmo and J. Eschenburg proved that the geodesic spheres are stable (Theorem 1.4 of [BdoCE]). We determine here the stability of the horospheres and equidistant hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$. We recall that a horosphere is defined as the limit of the geodesic spheres which pass through a given point of the space and whose centers tend to infinity along a geodesic of the space.

As it happens in the real hyperbolic space, we prove here that the horospheres in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ are all stable. We also give a detailed description of them. We prove:

Theorem 4.3. *The horospheres of the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ are hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature equal to $4/3$ and are all stable. Furthermore, they are the orbits of the Heisenberg group (a 3-dimensional non commutative nilpotent Lie group) which acts by isometries on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ without fixed points (therefore, the horospheres inherit a natural Lie group structure). In particular, the horospheres are (extrinsically) homogeneous submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$. Any two horospheres of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ are congruent.*

The equidistant hypersurfaces are defined in the following way: the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 can be isometrically embedded in an unique way (up to congruences) as a totally geodesic submanifold of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ (this follows from the characterization of the totally geodesics submanifolds of a symmetric space). Given $c > 0$, an equidistant hypersurface P_c is defined as the boundary of the tubular neighborhood with radius $\sinh^{-1}(c)$ of \mathbb{H}^2 . In section 5 we give a detailed description of such hypersurfaces. In particular, we prove:

Theorem 5.3. *An equidistant hypersurface P_c in \mathbf{CH}^2 is a homogeneous hypersurface with constant mean curvature $(1 + 4c^2)/(3c\sqrt{1 + c^2})$. It is stable if $c \geq \sqrt{2}/2$ and unstable otherwise. Two equidistant hypersurfaces are congruent iff they have the same mean curvature.*

We remark that the function $(1 + 4c^2)/(c\sqrt{1 + c^2})$ attains its minimum absolute value at $c = \sqrt{2}/2$, that is, $P_{\sqrt{2}/2}$ is the equidistant hypersurface whose mean curvature is the smallest one.

In the next section, we obtain some basic facts about the Riemannian Geometry of \mathbf{CH}^2 necessary for proving the above theorems.

2. Preliminaries.

2.1 The “Hopf fibration” of \mathbf{CH}^2 .

On $\mathbf{C}^3 \cong \mathbf{R}^6$ consider the indefinite scalar product:

$$\langle z, w \rangle := \operatorname{Re}(-z_0\bar{w}_0 + z_1\bar{w}_1 + z_2\bar{w}_2)$$

where $z = (z_0, z_1, z_2)$ and $w = (w_0, w_1, w_2)$ are points in the complex vector space \mathbf{C}^3 . The set

$$\mathbf{Q}^5 := \{z \in \mathbf{R}^6 \mid \langle z, z \rangle = -1\}$$

is a 5-dimensional sub-manifold of \mathbf{C}^3 and inherits a Lorentzian metric with constant sectional curvature -1 . The group $S^1 = (e^{i\theta})$ of complex numbers with modulus 1 acts freely on \mathbf{Q}^5 by complex multiplication so that \mathbf{Q}^5/S^1 is a differentiable manifold. The orbits $\alpha(\theta) = e^{i\theta}z$ for $z \in \mathbf{Q}^5$ of S^1 are timelike since

$$\langle \alpha'(\theta), \alpha'(\theta) \rangle = \langle ie^{i\theta}z, ie^{i\theta}z \rangle = -1.$$

The Complex Hyperbolic Space CH^2 can be defined as the quotient \mathbb{Q}^5/S^1 endowed with the Riemannian metric that makes the projection

$$\pi : \mathbb{Q}^5 \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Q}^5}{S^1}$$

a semi-Riemannian submersion.

2.2 The sectional curvatures the CH^2 .

Let X, Y be two orthonormal vector fields on CH^2 and \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} be the horizontal lifts of X and Y on \mathbb{Q}^5 , respectively. Denote by $K(X, Y)$ and $\tilde{K}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ the sectional curvatures of CH^2 and \mathbb{Q}^5 , respectively. The following formula is a straightforward extension to semi-Riemannian submersions of a result found in O'Neill [O1]. We have:

$$K(X, Y) = \tilde{K}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) + 3 \langle \tilde{A}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y}, \tilde{A}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y} \rangle$$

where \tilde{A} is a tensor that reverses the horizontal and vertical subspaces of the projection π . Since the last subspace has real dimension 1 and, for a fixed \tilde{X} , $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{X}}$ is surjective, it follows that for any number a , $0 \leq a^2 \leq 1$, there exists \tilde{Y} with $\langle \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y} \rangle = 1$ such that

$$\langle \tilde{A}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y}, \tilde{A}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y} \rangle = -a^2 \geq -1.$$

Then $K(X, Y) = -1 + 3 \langle \tilde{A}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y}, \tilde{A}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y} \rangle$ satisfies $-4 \leq K(X, Y) \leq -1$.

2.3 The S^1 -orbits in \mathbb{Q}^5 .

Let $\alpha(\theta) = e^{i\theta}z$ be the S^1 -orbit of a point $z \in \mathbb{Q}^5$. Then

$$\alpha''(\theta) = -e^{i\theta}z = -\alpha(\theta),$$

that is,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\alpha'}\alpha' = 0,$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the covariant derivative in \mathbb{Q}^5 . It follows that the S^1 -orbits are totally geodesic 1-dimensional submanifolds of \mathbb{Q}^5 .

2.4 The mean curvature of a hypersurface of \mathbf{CH}^2 and of its lift in \mathbf{Q}^5 .

Let M be a hypersurface in \mathbf{CH}^2 and denote by \tilde{M} its lift in \mathbf{Q}^5 , that is, $\pi(\tilde{M}) = M$. Clearly, \tilde{M} is a S^1 -invariant hypersurface of \mathbf{Q}^5 . Let p be a point in \tilde{M} and let \tilde{N} be an unitary S^1 -invariant vector field normal to \tilde{M} around p . Set $N := \pi_*(\tilde{N})$. Then N is an unitary vector field normal to M around $\pi(p)$. Let \tilde{H} and H be the mean curvature of \tilde{M} at p and of M at $\pi(p)$ determined by \tilde{N} and N , respectively. Then we have:

Lemma 2.4. $H = \frac{4}{3}\tilde{H}$

Proof.

Consider an orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ tangent to M in a neighborhood of $\pi(p)$. By definition:

$$H = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_i, N \rangle$$

where ∇ is the Riemannian connection in \mathbf{CH}^2 . Let \tilde{e}_i be the horizontal lift of e_i and \tilde{e}_0 the unitary tangent vector to the fiber of π . It is obvious that $\tilde{e}_0, \dots, \tilde{e}_3$ is an orthonormal frame tangent to \tilde{M} in a neighborhood of p . If $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the semi-Riemannian connection of \mathbf{Q}^5 , then $\tilde{\nabla}_{e_0} e_0 = 0$ by 2.3, and $\langle \nabla_{e_i} e_i, N \rangle = \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_i} \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{N} \rangle$, by O'Neill's formulae for a submersion ([O1]).

Then

$$H = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_i} \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{N} \rangle = \frac{4}{3}\tilde{H}.$$

We recall that

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^4 \epsilon_i \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_i} \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{N} \rangle,$$

where $\epsilon_i = \langle \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_i \rangle = \pm 1$. In our case, $\langle \tilde{e}_0, \tilde{e}_0 \rangle = -1$ and $\langle \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_i \rangle = 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

2.5 Stability of hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature.

Let $x : M^n \rightarrow \bar{M}^{n+1}$ be an immersion between Riemannian manifolds, x with constant mean curvature. Let $D \subset M$ be a relatively compact domain with smooth boundary ∂D . Set $\bar{D} := D \cup \partial D$. Denote by \mathcal{F}_D the set of all piecewise smooth functions $f : \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy the conditions:

$$f|_{\partial D} \equiv 0, \quad \int_D f dM = 0.$$

The domain D is stable iff $J_D''(0)(f) \geq 0$, for any $f \in \mathcal{F}_D$, where

$$J_D''(0)(f) = \int_D \{|\text{grad}(f)|^2 - (\text{Ricc}(N) + \|B\|^2)f^2\} dM.$$

Here grad is the gradient of D in the induced metric, $\|B\|$ is the norm of the second fundamental form of x and $\text{Ricc}(N)$ is the Ricci curvature of \bar{M} in the direction of the normal N of x . Recall that, at a point p in M , $\text{Ricc}(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K(N, e_i)$, where e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, N is an orthonormal basis of $T_p(M)$ with N normal to M and K denotes the sectional curvature of \bar{M} at p .

The immersion x is stable if any relatively compact domain $D \subset M$ is stable.

In our case, we will study stability of hypersurfaces of CH^2 . We remark that there exists an obvious semi-Riemannian version of Proposition 4.3 of [BdoCE], which reads: M is stable in CH^2 iff $\tilde{M} = \pi^{-1}(M)$ is “ S^1 -stable” in \mathbb{Q}^5 , that is, iff

$$\int_D \{|\text{grad}(f)|^2 - (\text{Ricc}(\tilde{N}) + \|\tilde{B}\|^2)f^2\} d\tilde{M} \geq 0$$

for all relatively compact and S^1 -invariant domains $D \subset \tilde{M}$ and for all f in \mathcal{F}_D with the following property: $f(e^{i\theta}p) = f(p)$, $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$ and $p \in \tilde{M}$.

The vector field \tilde{N} considered above is an unitary normal vector field of \tilde{M} in \mathbb{Q}^5 and $\tilde{B} : T_p(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow T_p(\tilde{M})$ is the linear symmetric transformation associated to the second fundamental form α of \tilde{M} in \mathbb{Q}^5 , that is, if $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^4$

is an orthonormal basis of $T_p(\tilde{M})$, $\epsilon_i = \langle e_i, e_i \rangle = \pm 1$, then $\langle \tilde{B}(e_i), e_j \rangle = \langle \alpha(e_i, e_j), \tilde{N} \rangle$. The norm $\|\tilde{B}\|$ at $p \in \tilde{M}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{B}\|_p^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \epsilon_i \langle \tilde{B}(e_i), \tilde{B}(e_i) \rangle_p \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \epsilon_i \epsilon_j \langle \alpha(e_i, e_j), \tilde{N} \rangle^2 \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $\|\tilde{B}\|$ is independent of the orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$. This fact is a consequence of the following general result:

Lemma 2.5. *Let V be an n -dimensional vector space with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and let $B : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation. Let $\beta = \{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis of V and set $\epsilon_i := \langle e_i, e_i \rangle$. Then the number $\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \langle B(e_i), B(e_i) \rangle$ is independent of β .*

Proof.

Consider another orthonormal basis $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ with $\tilde{\epsilon}_j := \langle v_j, v_j \rangle$. Let us define real numbers b_{ij} by the relations

$$e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} v_j \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore, $v_k = \tilde{\epsilon}_k \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i b_{ik} e_i$. The identities $\tilde{\epsilon}_k = \langle v_k, v_k \rangle = \pm 1$ and $\langle v_k, v_j \rangle = 0$, $k \neq j$ can be written as:

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i b_{ik}^2$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i b_{ik} b_{ij} = 0 \quad j \neq k.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \langle B(e_i), B(e_i) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n b_{ij} b_{ik} \langle B(v_j), B(v_k) \rangle \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i b_{ij} b_{ik} \langle B(v_j), B(v_k) \rangle \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i b_{ij}^2 \langle B(v_j), B(v_j) \rangle \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{\epsilon}_j \langle B(v_j), B(v_j) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

3. The geodesic spheres of \mathbf{CH}^2 .

In this section we first give a description of a geodesic sphere in \mathbf{CH}^2 , determining its lifting to \mathbf{Q}^5 . This is necessary for proving Theorem 3.3 and also useful for carrying out explicit computations.

Let $\rho > 0$. Let \tilde{M}_ρ be the hypersurface of \mathbf{Q}^5 of all points (z_0, z_1, z_2) such that $|z_0|^2 = \cosh^2 \rho$ and $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = \sinh^2 \rho$. \tilde{M}_ρ is isometric to the product $S_c^1 \times S_s^3$ endowed with the indefinite metric $-ds_1^2 + ds_2^2$ where S_c^1 is the 1-dimensional Euclidean sphere with radius $c = \cosh \rho$, S_s^3 is the 3-dimensional Euclidean sphere with radius $s = \sinh \rho$ and ds_1^2, ds_2^2 are the standard metrics on S_c^1 and S_s^3 .

Clearly, \tilde{M}_ρ is S^1 -invariant, so that $\pi(\tilde{M}_\rho)$ is a hypersurface of \mathbf{CH}^2 ; we have:

Proposition 3.1. *$\pi(\tilde{M}_\rho)$ is a geodesic sphere around the point $\pi((1, 0, 0))$ with radius ρ . Moreover, any geodesic sphere of \mathbf{CH}^2 is of this type, modulus an isometry of \mathbf{CH}^2 which carries the center of the geodesic sphere to $\pi((1, 0, 0))$.*

Proof.

The subgroup $U(1) \times U(2)$ (see section 2.1) acts transitively on \tilde{M}_ρ , and therefore in $\pi(\tilde{M}_\rho)$. Then, given two any points $\pi(p)$ and $\pi(q)$ in $\pi(\tilde{M}_\rho)$,

there exists $\phi \in U(1) \times U(2)$ such that $\phi(\pi(p)) = \pi(q)$. Since $\phi(p_0) = e^{i\theta} p_0$, for some θ , where $p_0 = (1, 0, 0)$, we have $\phi(\pi(p_0)) = \pi(p_0)$ and hence:

$$d(\pi(p), \pi(p_0)) = d(\phi(\pi(p)), \phi(\pi(p_0))) = d(\pi(q), \pi(p_0))$$

where d is the Riemannian distance in \mathbf{CH}^2 . Therefore, $\pi(\tilde{M}_\rho)$ is contained in the geodesic sphere centered at $\pi(p_0)$ and with radius $d(\pi(p), \pi(p_0))$. But both $\pi(\tilde{M}_\rho)$ and the geodesic sphere are compact, connected 3-manifolds therefore they have to coincide.

Finally, observe that the curve $\gamma(t) = (\cosh t, \sinh t, 0)$ is a geodesic parametrized by arc length in \mathbf{Q}^5 and orthonormal to the S^1 -orbits. Therefore $\pi(\gamma)$ is a geodesic in \mathbf{CH}^2 , also parametrized by arc length. Since $\pi(\gamma(0)) = \pi(p_0)$ and $\pi(\gamma(\rho)) \in \pi(\tilde{M}_\rho)$, it follows that $\rho = d(\pi(p), \pi(p_0))$ is the radius of $\pi(\tilde{M}_\rho)$, which concludes the proof of the Proposition 3.1 since the last part is obvious. \diamond

In the next paragraph we compute the mean curvature of the geodesic spheres as a function of its radius. These computations will also be used in section 5.

From the above characterization one can also see that the geodesic spheres in \mathbf{CH}^2 are homogeneous hypersurfaces and, therefore, with constant mean curvature. To compute its mean curvature, it suffices to compute it at a point, say $\pi(p)$, $p = (\cosh \rho, \sinh \rho, 0)$ of $\pi(\tilde{M}_\rho)$. From Lemma 2.4, we have only to compute the mean curvature \tilde{H} of \tilde{M} at p .

For z and w in \mathbf{C}^3 , we consider real coordinates $z = (x_1, \dots, x_6)$, $w = (y_1, \dots, y_6)$ with $z_0 = x_1 + ix_2$, $z_1 = x_3 + ix_4$ and so on. The indefinite scalar product defined in 2.1 has the following expression in these coordinates:

$$\langle z, w \rangle = -x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2 + \sum_{i=1}^6 x_i y_i.$$

Let us take the standard orthonormal basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^6$ of \mathbf{R}^6 with $\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle = \langle v_2, v_2 \rangle = -1$ and $\langle v_i, v_i \rangle = 1$, $i = 3, \dots, 6$. Then $p =$

$cv_1 + sv_3$, $c = \cosh \rho$, $s = \sinh \rho$ and it is easy to see that $\{v_2, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_p(\tilde{M}_\rho)$ and that $\tilde{N} = -sv_1 - cv_3$ is a unitary normal vector field of \tilde{M}_ρ .

As before, denote by $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Riemannian connection of \mathbb{Q}^5 . Then we have:

$$\langle \tilde{\nabla}_{v_i} \tilde{N}, \tilde{N} \rangle = \langle \alpha(v_i, v_i), \tilde{N} \rangle \quad i = 2, 4, 5, 6$$

where α is the second fundamental form of the immersion $\tilde{M}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$. Therefore (compare with the formula for \tilde{H} in 2.4):

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{4}(-\langle \alpha(v_2, v_2), \tilde{N} \rangle + \sum_{i=4}^6 \langle \alpha(v_i, v_i), \tilde{N} \rangle).$$

Now, since $\tilde{M}_\rho \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$ is a product immersion, we have $\alpha(v_2, v_2) = -\frac{1}{c}v_1$ and $\alpha(v_i, v_i) = -\frac{1}{s}v_3$, $i = 4, 5, 6$. Then:

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{4}(-\langle -\frac{1}{c}v_1, -sv_1 - cv_3 \rangle + 3\langle -\frac{1}{s}v_3, -sv_1 - cv_3 \rangle)$$

that is

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\sinh \rho}{\cosh \rho} + 3 \frac{\cosh \rho}{\sinh \rho} \right)$$

and the mean curvature H of a geodesic sphere of radius ρ is

$$H = \frac{\cosh \rho}{\sinh \rho} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\sinh \rho}{\cosh \rho}.$$

As it happens in Riemannian spaces with constant sectional curvature, the reflections on totally geodesic hypersurfaces of a semi-Riemannian space of constant sectional curvature are local isometries of the space. In the next result, necessary for proving Theorem 3.3, we characterize a class of these isometries which are globally defined on \mathbb{Q}^5 .

Lemma 3.2. *Let T be a complete totally geodesic hypersurface of \mathbb{Q}^5 containing the geodesic circle $|z_0|^2 = 1$, $z_1 = z_2 = 0$. Then the reflection on T is a globally defined isometry of \mathbb{Q}^5 . The group generated by the reflections*

obtained in this way together with the S^1 subgroup act transitively on the hypersurfaces \tilde{M}_ρ .

Proof.

For the first part of the Lemma we have just to prove that the exponential map of \mathbb{Q}^5 gives a diffeomorphism between the normal bundle of T and \mathbb{Q}^5 itself, since the reflection $\tau : \mathbb{Q}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^5$ is locally given by $\tau(\exp_p(v)) = \exp_p(-v)$, where $p \in T$ and $v \in T_p(T)^\perp$. This is equivalent to prove that any geodesic of \mathbb{Q}^5 orthogonal to T has infinite length.

We observe now, as it is not difficult to prove, that the totally geodesic hypersurfaces of \mathbb{Q}^5 are the intersections of hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^6 parallel at least to one of the axis x_1 or x_2 with \mathbb{Q}^5 itself. From this, we can prove that any totally geodesic hypersurface of \mathbb{Q}^5 is a homogeneous submanifold of \mathbb{Q}^5 and that, if T_1 and T_2 are two totally geodesic hypersurfaces containing both axis x_1 and x_2 then they are congruent.

Therefore, we obtain a proof for the first part of the lemma once we prove it for some particular totally geodesic hypersurface containing the circle mentioned above, and for some particular geodesic orthogonal to this hypersurface. But this is very easy. Choose for instance the totally geodesic hypersurface $T = \{(x_1, \dots, x_6) \mid x_3 = 0\}$ and as an orthogonal geodesic of \mathbb{Q}^5 to T the geodesic γ given by $\gamma(t) = (\cos t, 0, \sin t, \dots, 0)$. Clearly, γ has infinite length, as required.

For the second part of the lemma, we observe that the reflections on totally geodesic hypersurfaces of \mathbb{Q}^5 are the restriction of the reflections to hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^6 . Therefore, since the group generated by the reflections of \mathbb{R}^6 on hyperplanes containing the two axis x_1 and x_2 contain as a subgroup the group $U(2)$ (as defined in 2.1), combining this group with the S^1 action we obtain the group $U(1) \times U(2)$ which acts transitively on \tilde{M}_ρ , proving the lemma. \diamond

Theorem 3.3 *Let M be a compact, embedded hypersurface with constant mean curvature of CH^2 . Then M is a geodesic sphere.*

Proof.

According to what we have already seen, $\tilde{M} = \pi^{-1}(M)$ is a compact, embedded, S^1 -invariant hypersurface of \mathbb{Q}^5 with constant mean curvature.

We claim that given any totally geodesic hypersurface T of \mathbb{Q}^5 which contains the axis x_1 and x_2 , there exists a totally geodesic hypersurface T' of \mathbb{Q}^5 which is parallel to T (that is, there exists a geodesic of \mathbb{Q}^5 orthogonal to both T and T') such that the reflection on T' leaves \tilde{M} invariant. Therefore, since \tilde{M} is compact, all such totally geodesic hypersurfaces whose associated reflections leave \tilde{M} invariant must have a common point. Up to congruence, we may assume that this point lies on the circle $C : |z_0|^2 = 1, z_1 = z_2 = 0$. It follows that \tilde{M} is invariant by the reflection on any totally geodesic hypersurface of \mathbb{Q}^5 containing the axis x_1 and x_2 . Since \tilde{M} is S^1 -invariant, we conclude from the previous lemma that \tilde{M} coincides with some \tilde{M}_ρ .

We prove our claim for a totally geodesic T as defined in the lemma above, the proof for another one being similar. Consider the family of totally geodesic hypersurfaces T_t of \mathbb{Q}^5 given by:

$$T_t := \{(x_1, \dots, x_6) \in \mathbb{Q}^5 \mid x_3 = t\}.$$

Clearly, this family foliates \mathbb{Q}^5 and since they are all “parallel” to $T = T_0$, the reflections on these totally geodesic hypersurfaces are globally defined in \mathbb{Q}^5 . Since \tilde{M} is compact, there exists t large enough such that $\tilde{M} \cap T_t = \emptyset$. Therefore we can apply the same method introduced by Alexandrov to conclude that \tilde{M} is invariant by some T_{t_0} . Since this holds for any foliation which contains a totally geodesic hypersurface containing the circle C , it follows by compactity that all those hypersurfaces whose reflexion leaves \tilde{M} invariant have a common point which has to belong to C , and this proves the theorem. \diamond

4. The horospheres of CH^2 .

Let γ be a geodesic parametrized by arc length in \mathbf{CH}^2 . Given $t \in \mathbf{R}$, denote by S_t the geodesic sphere of \mathbf{CH}^2 with center at $\gamma(t)$ and with radius t .

4.1 Definition. The hypersurface L of \mathbf{CH}^2 given by the limit of the geodesic spheres S_t when t goes to infinity is called a *horosphere* of \mathbf{CH}^2 .

In the next result we prove, in particular, that the horospheres are actually differentiable hypersurfaces of \mathbf{CH}^2 ; a useful characterization of them in terms of their lift in \mathbf{Q}^5 is also given. We observe that, up to congruences, there exists only one horosphere. This follows by observing that since \mathbf{CH}^2 is a two point homogeneous space, given two points p_1, p_2 of \mathbf{CH}^2 and given two geodesics γ_1, γ_2 through these points, there exists an isometry taking p_1 into p_2 and γ_1 into γ_2 .

Proposition 4.2. *Up to a congruence, a horosphere L of \mathbf{CH}^2 is the projection, via π , of the hypersurface \tilde{L} of \mathbf{Q}^5 consisting of all points $(z_0, z_1, z_2) \in \mathbf{Q}^5$ satisfying the equation:*

$$|z_0 + z_1| = 1.$$

Proof.

Let $\{S_t\}$ be a family of geodesic spheres converging to L as in the previous definition. Up to a congruence, we may assume that S_t is centered at $\pi(\gamma(t))$ where $\gamma(t) = (\cos ht, 0, \sin ht, 0, 0, 0)$. Therefore $\tilde{S}_t = \pi^{-1}(S_t)$ where \tilde{S}_t is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_t : &= \{(\cosh(-t)w_0 + \sinh(-t)w_1, \sinh(-t)w_0 + \cosh(-t)w_1, w_2) \mid \\ & (w_0, w_1, w_2) \in \mathbf{Q}^5, |w_0|^2 = \cosh^2 t, |w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2 = \sinh^2 t\} \end{aligned}$$

To see this, observe that the set R_t of points (w_0, w_1, w_2) of \mathbf{Q}^5 satisfying the equation:

$$|w_0|^2 = \cosh^2 t, \quad |w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2 = \sinh^2 t$$

is the lift of a geodesic sphere of \mathbf{CH}^2 with radius t centered at the point $\pi(\gamma(0))$. Observe also that $\tilde{S}_t = \phi_t(R_t)$, where ϕ_t is the isometry

$$\phi_t := \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(-t) & \sinh(-t) & 0 \\ \sinh(-t) & \cosh(-t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since ϕ_t carries the point $\gamma(t)$ over $\gamma(0)$, we have $\tilde{S}_t = \pi^{-1}(S_t)$.

By setting:

$$z_0 = \cosh(-t)w_0 + \sinh(-t)w_1$$

and

$$z_1 = \sinh(-t)w_0 + \cosh(-t)w_1$$

we obtain:

$$w_0 = z_0 \cosh(-t) - z_1 \sinh(-t).$$

Replacing this expression for w_0 in the equation $|w_0|^2 = \cosh^2 t$ we get:

$$\cosh^2(t)|z_0|^2 + \sinh^2(t)|z_1|^2 + \sinh(t)\cosh(t)(z_0\bar{z}_1 + z_1\bar{z}_0) = \cosh^2(t).$$

Dividing by $\cosh^2(t)$, taking the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and observing that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sinh t}{\cosh t} = 1$ we obtain the desired equation. \diamond

Theorem 4.3. *The horospheres of the complex hyperbolic space \mathbf{CH}^2 are hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature equal to $4/3$ and are all stable. Furthermore, they are the orbits of the Heisenberg group (a 3-dimensional non commutative nilpotent Lie group) which acts by isometries on \mathbf{CH}^2 without fixed points (therefore, the horospheres inherit a natural Lie group structure). In particular, the horospheres are (extrinsically) homogeneous submanifolds of \mathbf{CH}^2 . Any two horospheres are congruent.*

Proof.

We have already observed above that two horospheres are congruent. Let us prove that the mean curvature of a horosphere is $4/3$. The computations that follow will also be important for determining the stability of the horospheres. We remark that the mean curvature of a geodesic sphere of radius t in \mathbf{CH}^2 is $\sinh t / (3 \cosh t) + \cosh t / \sinh t$ which converges to $4/3$ as t goes to infinity.

According to lemmas 4.2 and 2.4, we have just to prove that the mean curvature of the hypersurface \tilde{L} of \mathbf{Q}^5 given by $|z_0 + z_1| = 1$ is 1. We introduce a function $f: \mathbf{Q}^5 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by setting $f(z_0, z_1, z_2) = |z_0 + z_1|^2$.

Since $\tilde{L} = f^{-1}(1)$, the mean curvature H of \tilde{L} is given by (see [O2] p. 124):

$$4H = -\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{Q}^5} \left(\frac{(\operatorname{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{Q}^5}}{|\operatorname{grad}(f)|_{\mathbf{Q}^5}} \right)$$

Here, $\operatorname{div}_{\mathbf{Q}^5}$ and $\operatorname{grad}_{\mathbf{Q}^5}$ are the divergence and the gradient in \mathbf{Q}^5 .

f can be considered as a function defined in \mathbf{R}^6 . In the real coordinates x_1, \dots, x_6 , it is given by:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_6) = (x_1 + x_3)^2 + (x_2 + x_4)^2$$

Therefore:

$$(\operatorname{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{R}^6} = (-2(x_1 + x_3), -2(x_2 + x_4), 2(x_1 + x_3), 2(x_2 + x_4), 0, 0)$$

since $df(v) = \langle \operatorname{grad}(f), v \rangle$ implies $(\operatorname{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{R}^6} = \sum_{i=1}^6 \epsilon_i df(e_i) e_i$ if $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^6$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbf{R}^6 .

At a point z in \mathbf{Q}^5 consider the orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_4, iz\}$ of $T_z(\mathbf{Q}^5)$. Then $\{e_1, \dots, e_4, iz, z\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbf{R}^6 , and we have:

$$(\operatorname{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{R}^6} = \sum_{i=1}^4 df(e_i) e_i - df(iz) iz - df(z) z$$

that is

$$(\operatorname{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{R}^6} = (\operatorname{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{Q}^5} - df(z) z.$$

Therefore,

$$(\text{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{Q}^5} = 2(-l + fx_1, -h + 2f, l + fx_3, h + fx_4, fx_5, fx_6)$$

where, for simplicity, we denote $l(x_1, x_3) = x_1 + x_3$, $h(x_2, x_4) = x_2 + x_4$, and $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1 + x_3)^2 + (x_2 + x_4)^2 = l^2(x_1, x_3) + h^2(x_2, x_4)$.

We have that $\langle (\text{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{Q}^5}, (\text{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{Q}^5} \rangle = 4f^2$ and in the points of M we have $|(\text{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{Q}^5}|^2 = 4$.

For a vector field $X := \frac{(\text{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{Q}^5}}{|(\text{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{Q}^5}|} = \frac{(\text{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{Q}^5}}{2f}$ we recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}_{\mathbf{Q}^5}(X) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} X, e_i \rangle - \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{iz} X, iz \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \nabla_{e_i} X, e_i \rangle - \langle \nabla_{iz} X, iz \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ are the semi-Riemannian connection of \mathbf{Q}^5 and \mathbf{R}^6 respectively. X can be extended, in a natural way, to a vector field on \mathbf{R}^6 , also denoted by X , and

$$\text{div}_{\mathbf{R}^6}(X) = \text{div}_{\mathbf{Q}^5}(X) - \langle \nabla_z X, z \rangle$$

or

$$(a) \quad \text{div}_{\mathbf{Q}^5}(X) = \text{div}_{\mathbf{R}^6}(X) + \langle d(X)(z), z \rangle.$$

On the other hand, considering $\text{grad}(f)_{\mathbf{Q}^5}$ as a map from \mathbf{R}^6 to \mathbf{R}^6 , its derivative $d(\text{grad}(f)_{\mathbf{Q}^5})$ is given by the matrix:

$$= 2 \begin{pmatrix} 2lx_1 - 1 + f & 2hx_1 & 2lx_1 - 1 & 2hx_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2lx_2 & 2hx_2 - 1 + f & 2lx_2 & 2hx_2 - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 + 2lx_3 & 2hx_3 & 1 + 2lx_3 + f & 2hx_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2lx_4 & 1 + 2hx_4 & 2lx_4 & 1 + 2hx_4 + f & 0 & 0 \\ 2lx_5 & 2hx_5 & 2lx_5 & 2hx_5 & f & 0 \\ 2lx_6 & 2hx_6 & 2lx_6 & 2hx_6 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$(b) \quad \langle d(\text{grad}(f))_{\mathbf{Q}^5}(z), z \rangle = -4f \text{ and } \langle dX(z), z \rangle|_M = -4/2 = -2.$$

Moreover,

$$(c) \quad \text{div}_{\mathbf{R}^6}(X) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{f}(-1 + 2lx_1 + f - 1 + 2hx_2 + f + 1 + 2lx_3 + f + 1 + 2hx_4 + f + 3f) \\ & \quad + (-2l + 2fx_1)\left(-\frac{l}{f^2}\right) + (-2h + 2fx_2)\left(-\frac{h}{f^2}\right) \\ & \quad + (2l + 2fx_3)\left(-\frac{l}{f^2}\right) + (2h + 2fx_4)\left(-\frac{h}{f^2}\right) = 6. \end{aligned}$$

From (a), (b) and (c) it follows that $H = 1$ as required.

We will prove now that $\text{Ricc}_{\mathbf{Q}^5}(\tilde{N}) + \|\tilde{B}\|^2 = 0$ for the hypersurface \tilde{L} of \mathbf{Q}^5 . From section 2.5, it will follow that the horospheres are stable.

Observe that in this case \tilde{N} is the restriction of the following vector field of \mathbf{R}^6 , also denoted by \tilde{N} :

$$\tilde{N}(x_1, \dots, x_6) = (x_1 - l, x_2 - h, x_3 + l, x_4 + h, fx_5, fx_6).$$

The matrix of $d\tilde{N}$ in the standard basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^6$ of \mathbf{R}^6 with $\langle e_1, e_1 \rangle = \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle = -1$ is given by:

$$dN = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Set $\bar{B} = d\tilde{N}$. Then $\tilde{B} = \bar{B}|_{\tilde{L}}$. We have

$$\|B\|_{\mathbf{R}^6}^2 = -\langle B(e_1), B(e_1) \rangle - \langle B(e_2), B(e_2) \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^6 \langle B(e_i), B(e_i) \rangle = 6.$$

Since the norm of B depends on the given orthonormal basis, we can choose an orthonormal basis of $T_z(\tilde{L})$, for $z \in \tilde{L}$ and add to this basis the vectors z and \tilde{N} to obtain an orthonormal basis of \mathbf{R}^6 . Therefore, we obtain:

$$\|\tilde{B}\|_{\mathbf{R}^6}^2 = \|\tilde{B}\|^2 - \langle d\tilde{N}(z), d\tilde{N}(z) \rangle + \langle d\tilde{N}(\tilde{N}), d\tilde{N}(\tilde{N}) \rangle = \|\tilde{B}\|^2 - 1 + 3$$

so that

$$\|\tilde{B}\|^2 = 6 + 1 - 3 = 4.$$

But $\text{Ricc}_{\mathbf{Q}^5}(\tilde{N}) = -4$ thus $\text{Ricc}_{\mathbf{Q}^5}(\tilde{N}) + \|\tilde{B}\|^2 = 0$, as desired.

Let us describe now the (full) subgroup of isometries of \mathbf{CH}^2 which leaves invariant a horosphere of \mathbf{CH}^2 . As before, we work just in \mathbf{Q}^5 , by taking the lift \tilde{L} of a horosphere of \mathbf{CH}^2 . Up to a congruence, we may assume that \tilde{L} is given by

$$(*) \quad |z_0 + z_1| = 1$$

We will determine the Killing fields X of \mathbf{Q}^5 belonging to $u(1, 2)$ such that $X(z) \in T_z(\tilde{L})$, for any $z \in \tilde{L}$. These Killing fields will constitute a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra $u(1, 2)$ of the group $U(1, 2)$ whose associated Lie group is the largest Lie subgroup of $U(1, 2)$ acting in \tilde{L} .

We recall that $u(1, 2)$ is given by:

$$u(1, 2) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} i\alpha & u & v \\ \bar{u} & i\beta & w \\ \bar{v} & \bar{w} & i\gamma \end{array} \right), \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{R}, u, v, w \in \mathbf{C} \right\}.$$

Furthermore, if $X \in u(1, 2)$, then X determines a Killing vector field of \mathbf{Q}^5 by setting $X(z) = X.z^t$ where $.$ denotes the usual product of matrices. Any Killing field of \mathbf{Q}^5 which is S^1 -invariant is projected, via π , in a Killing field on \mathbf{CH}^2 and all Killing fields of \mathbf{CH}^2 are obtained this way.

Observe that a vector field $X = (X_0, X_1, X_2)$ of \mathbf{Q}^5 is a vector field of \tilde{L} iff it satisfies

$$(X_0 + X_1)(\bar{z}_0 + \bar{z}_1) + (z_0 + z_1)(\bar{X}_0 + \bar{X}_1) = 0$$

for any $(z_0, z_1, z_2) \in \tilde{L}$; this equation is obtained simply by derivating the equation (*) along a curve on \tilde{L} .

Therefore, if

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & u & v \\ \bar{u} & i\beta & w \\ \bar{v} & \bar{w} & i\gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

then X is a Killing field on \tilde{L} iff

$$(**) \quad (u + \bar{u})(|z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2) + z_0 \bar{z}_1 (2\bar{u} + i(\alpha - \beta)) + \bar{z}_0 z_1 (2u + i(\beta - \alpha)) \\ + (z_2 \bar{z}_0 + z_2 \bar{z}_1)(w + v) + (z_0 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{z}_2 z_1)(\bar{w} + \bar{v}) = 0.$$

Taking the point $z_0 = 1, z_1 = z_2 = 0$, which is a point of \tilde{L} in (**), we obtain $\bar{u} + u = 0$, that is $u = ix$ for some $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

Now, any point of the type $(z_0, z_1, 0)$ of \tilde{L} satisfies the equation $\bar{z}_0 z_1 = -(z_0 \bar{z}_1 + 2|z_1|^2)$. Replacing $\bar{z}_0 z_1$ given by this equality in (**), we obtain:

$$(2x + \beta - \alpha)(|z_1|^2 + z_0 \bar{z}_1) = 0.$$

Since we can take $(z_0, z_1, 0)$ in \tilde{L} with $|z_1|^2 + z_0 \bar{z}_1 \neq 0$, we have $2x + \beta - \alpha = 0$. Then $u = (1/2)i(\alpha - \beta)$. Finally, taking the points $z_0 = -3/2, z_1 = 1/2, z_2 = i$ and $z_0 = -3/2, z_1 = 1/2, z_2 = 1$, which are points of \tilde{L} , in (**), we obtain $v + w = 0$.

Summarizing all these facts, we obtain that the set \mathcal{R} of vectors of $u(1, 2)$ whose associated Killing fields are vector fields of \tilde{L} is given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & i\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} & r+is \\ -i\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} & i\beta & -r-is \\ r-is & r-is & i\gamma \end{pmatrix}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, r, s \in \mathbf{R} \right) \right\}$$

By construction, \mathcal{R} is a Lie subalgebra of $u(1, 2)$ which, as it is immediate to see, has dimension 5. The Lie subalgebra of the isotropy subgroup of the Lie group R associated to \mathcal{R} , corresponding to the point $(1, 0, 0)$ of M , is constituted by the vectors X in \mathcal{R} such that $X.(1, 0, 0) = 0$. This subalgebra

is generated, as it is easy to see, by the matrix of \mathcal{R} having $\alpha = \beta = r = s = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$. Therefore, the orbit of the point $(1, 0, 0)$ under R has dimension 4. Since both this orbit and \tilde{L} are complete and connected, \tilde{L} with dimension 4, they must coincide.

Observe that the subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of \mathcal{R} given by $\gamma = 0$ is a Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{R} and the associated Lie group, say \tilde{G} , acts transitively on \tilde{L} without fixed points. This induces on \tilde{L} a natural structure of a Lie group.

As we have seen before, $L := \tilde{L}/S^1$ is a horosphere of \mathbf{CH}^2 , and, by the previous construction, R acts on L since it contains S^1 as a subgroup. Clearly, this action is transitive. Since S^1 is in the center of R , and since the action of S^1 on L is trivial, R/S^1 is a Lie group which still acts transitively on L . The Lie algebra of R/S^1 is naturally identified with the Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{R} such that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$. As an intersection of two Lie algebras, the subspace \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{R} given by $\gamma = 0$ and $\alpha + \beta = 0$ is a Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{R} and, via the above identification, is a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra of R/S^1 . The associated Lie group G of \mathcal{G} is a 3-dimensional Lie group acting transitively on L and without fixed points, inducing therefore a structure of Lie group on the horosphere L . We claim that G is the Heisenberg's group. In fact, straightforward computations show that \mathcal{G} is non commutative and that its derivative Lie subalgebra $[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ coincides with the center of \mathcal{G} , that is, \mathcal{G} is a nilpotent 3-dimensional non commutative Lie algebra, that is, it is the Lie algebra of the Heisenberg group. \diamond

5. Equidistant hypersurfaces.

As it is well known, the complex hyperbolic space \mathbf{CH}^2 is a complex manifold and the image of any complex line of the tangent space of \mathbf{CH}^2 at any point under the exponential map is a totally geodesic submanifold. We observe that two totally geodesic complex hypersurfaces T_1 and T_2 of

$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ coincide up to an isometry of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$. In fact: since $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ is a homogeneous manifold, we can assume that they have a point of intersection, say p . Moreover, it is known that the isotropy group of the isometry group of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ at any point is the group $U(2)$ which acts transitively on the complex lines through the origin of the tangent space at the point. Hence, up to an isometry we may assume that T_1 and T_2 have the same tangent plane at p . Since they are totally geodesic, they have to coincide.

By definition, an *equidistant hypersurface* of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ is the boundary of a tubular neighborhood around a totally geodesic complex hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$.

We want to describe now the lift to \mathbb{Q}^5 of a equidistant hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$. We need one lemma. We will say that a hypersurface P of a Riemannian manifold N is an equidistant hypersurface of a submanifold M of N if P is contained in the boundary of a tubular neighborhood of M in N .

Lemma 5.1. *Let N be a complete Riemannian manifold and let G be a Lie subgroup of the isometry group of N acting on N with cohomogeneity one. Assume that the orbits of G are properly embedded in N . Then, any principal orbit of G is an equidistant hypersurface of any orbit of G .*

Proof.

Let P be a principal orbit of G and O any orbit of G . Choose p in P . Since O is properly embedded, there exists $q \in O$ such that $s := d(p, O) = d(p, q) > 0$, where d denotes the Riemannian distance in N . Therefore, there exists $v \in T_q(O)^\perp$, $\|v\| = s$ such that $\exp_q v = p$, where $\exp_q : T_q(N) \rightarrow N$ is the usual exponential map of Riemannian geometry. Hence, given $g \in G$, since g is an isometry, we have $g(\exp_q v) = \exp_{g(q)} g_*(v)$ with $g_*(v) \in T_{g(q)}(O)^\perp$, $\|g_*(v)\| = s$. This proves that $P = G(p)$ is contained in a tubular neighbourhood of radius s of O , proving the lemma.

We can prove now the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Given $c \geq 0$, let \tilde{P}_c be the hypersurface of \mathbf{Q}^5 defined as the set of all points (z_0, z_1, z_2) in \mathbf{Q}^5 such that $|z_2|^2 = c^2$ (therefore, $-|z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 = -(1 + c^2)$). Then $T := \pi(\tilde{P}_0)$ is a totally geodesic surface of \mathbf{CH}^2 isometric to the 2-dimensional hyperbolic space, and any $P_c := \pi(\tilde{P}_c)$ is the boundary of a tubular neighbourhood of radius $\sinh^{-1}(c)$ of T .*

Proof.

Straightforward computations show that T is a totally geodesic surface in \mathbf{CH}^2 isometric to the 2-dimensional hyperbolic space.

We observe that the hypersurfaces P_c , $c > 0$, are principal orbits and T a singular orbit of the action on \mathbf{CH}^2 (via π) of the subgroup $U(1, 1) \times U(1)$ of $U(1, 2)$ consisting of the matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $B \in U(1, 1)$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, so that we can apply the previous lemma to conclude that the hypersurfaces P_c are equidistant hypersurfaces around T .

Finally, observe that the geodesic $\pi \circ \gamma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{CH}^2$ where $\gamma(t) = (\cosh(t), 0, 0, 0, \sinh(t), 0)$ is orthogonal to T at $t = 0$, is parametrized by arc length, and satisfies $\gamma(t) \in P_{\sinh^2(t)}$, concluding the proof of the proposition. \diamond

Theorem 5.3. *An equidistant hypersurface P_c in \mathbf{CH}^2 is a homogeneous hypersurface with constant mean curvature $(1 + 4c^2)/3c\sqrt{1 + c^2}$. It is stable if $c \geq \sqrt{2}/2$ and unstable otherwise. Two equidistant hypersurfaces are congruent iff they have the same mean curvature.*

Proof.

It follows from the above that the equidistant hypersurfaces are homogeneous hypersurfaces of \mathbf{CH}^2 . Let us compute now their mean curvature.

It is sufficient to compute the mean curvature of the lift \tilde{P}_c at a particular point p . We choose $p = (\sqrt{1+c^2}, 0, 0, 0, c, 0)$. We have

$$p = \sqrt{1+c^2}v_1 + cv_5$$

where $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^6$ is the standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^6 with $\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle = \langle v_2, v_2 \rangle = -1$ and $\langle v_i, v_i \rangle = 1$, $i = 3, 4, 5, 6$. The set $\{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_6\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_p(\tilde{P}_c)$, and it is not difficult to prove that $N := -cv_1 - \sqrt{1+c^2}v_5$ is unitary and normal to \tilde{P}_c in \mathbb{Q}^5 .

As in the case of the geodesic spheres (see §3), we have

$$\tilde{H}_p = \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{i=2}^4 (\epsilon_i \langle \tilde{\alpha}(v_i, v_i), N \rangle) + \langle \tilde{\alpha}(v_6, v_6), N \rangle \right)$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the second fundamental form of \tilde{P}_c in \mathbb{R}^6 . From the definition of \tilde{P}_c , it follows that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(v_i, v_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c^2}} \langle v_i, v_i \rangle v_1 \quad i = 2, 3, 4$$

and

$$\tilde{\alpha}(v_6, v_6) = -\frac{1}{c}v_5$$

so that

$$\tilde{H}_p = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1+4c^2}{c\sqrt{1+c^2}} \right)$$

hence, the mean curvature of P_c is

$$H = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1+4c^2}{c\sqrt{1+c^2}} \right)$$

as required.

We study now the stability of the P_c . To do that, we first compute the value of $\text{Ric} \tilde{N} + \|\tilde{B}\|^2$ of the hypersurface \tilde{P}_c of \mathbb{Q}^5 .

We have that the Ricci curvature of \mathbb{Q}^5 (with respect to any direction) is constant with value -4 (see [O2] p. 88). Since \tilde{P}_c is a homogeneous

submanifold of \mathbb{Q}^5 , $\|\tilde{B}\|$ is constant so that it is enough to compute its value at the point p fixed above.

Using the computations above and the ones of section §2.5, we obtain

$$\|\tilde{B}\|^2 = \frac{3c^2}{1+c^2} + \frac{1+c^2}{c^2}$$

and

$$\text{Ric}_{\mathbb{Q}^5}(\tilde{N}) + \|\tilde{B}\|^2 = \frac{1-2c^2}{c^2(1+c^2)}$$

Thus, $c^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ implies that $\text{Ric}_{\mathbb{Q}^5}(\tilde{N}) + \|\tilde{B}\|^2 \leq 0$ and from 2.5 it follows that P_c is stable in this case.

Let us assume $c^2 < \frac{1}{2}$. To prove that P_c is unstable it suffices to exhibit a piecewise smooth function f defined in a relatively compact domain D of \tilde{P}_c satisfying the following conditions:

f is S^1 -invariant, that is, $f(e^{i\theta}z) = f(z)$

$$f|_{\partial D} \equiv 0, \quad \int_D f d\tilde{P}_c = 0$$

and

$$J''(0)(f) = \int_D [|\text{grad} f|^2 - (\text{Ric}_{\mathbb{Q}^5}(N) + \|B\|^2)f^2] d\tilde{P}_c < 0.$$

To compute this integral, we use the following local coordinates of \tilde{P}_c :

$$\tau : (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, t) \longrightarrow (e^{i\alpha} A \cosh(t/A), e^{i\beta} A \sinh(t/A), e^{i\gamma} c)$$

where $A = \sqrt{1+c^2}$, α, β and γ vary in the interval $(0, 2\pi)$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In these coordinates, the volume element of \tilde{P}_c is

$$d\tilde{P}_c = c(1+c^2) \cosh(t/A) |\sinh(t/A)| dt \wedge d\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge d\gamma.$$

For a fixed real number a , set

$$D_a := \{\tau(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, t) \mid t \in (-a, a)\}.$$

Clearly, D_a is a relatively compact domain in \tilde{P}_c .

Now, for a fixed $b \in (0, a)$, consider the piecewise smooth real function f defined in $[-a, a]$ by:

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{-t-a}{a-b}b & \text{if } -a \leq t \leq -b \\ t & \text{if } -b \leq t \leq b \\ \frac{-t+a}{a-b}b & \text{if } b \leq t \leq a \end{cases}$$

f determines a piecewise smooth function \tilde{f} on D_a by setting

$$\tilde{f}(\tau(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, t)) := f(t).$$

It follows that $\tilde{f}|_{\partial D_a} = 0$ since $f(a) = f(-a)$ and that

$$\int_{D_a} \tilde{f} d\tilde{P}_c = \left[c^2(1+c^2) \int_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma=0}^{2\pi} d\alpha d\beta d\gamma \right] \int_{-a}^a f(t) \cosh(t/A) |\sinh(t/A)| dt = 0$$

the last integral being zero since f is an odd function.

Now, we will calculate $J''(0)(\tilde{f})$. In these coordinates, it is easy to prove that

$$|\text{grad } \tilde{f}|^2 = f'(t)^2.$$

For simplicity, set

$$\begin{aligned} G &:= c^2(1+c^2) \int_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma=0}^{2\pi} d\alpha d\beta d\gamma \\ &= 8c^2(1+c^2)\pi^3 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I := \text{Ric}_{\mathbf{Q}^s}(\tilde{N}) + \|\tilde{B}\|^2 = \frac{1-2c^2}{c^2(1+c^2)}.$$

We observe that $I > 0$ since by hypothesis $c^2 < \frac{1}{2}$. Then:

$$J''(0)(\tilde{f}) = \int_{D_a} (|\text{grad}(\tilde{f})|^2 - I\tilde{f}^2) d\tilde{P}_c$$

$$= G \left(\int_{-a}^a f'(t)^2 \cosh(t/A) |\sinh(t/A)| dt - I \int_{-a}^a f(t)^2 \cosh(t/A) |\sinh(t/A)| dt \right)$$

Since f' and f^2 are even functions, we have

$$(1) \quad \int_{-a}^a f'(t)^2 \cosh(t/A) |\sinh(t/A)| dt = 2 \int_0^a \cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt +$$

$$+\frac{2b^2}{(a-b)^2} \int_a^b \cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt$$

and

$$(2) \quad I \int_{-a}^a f(t)^2 \cosh(t/A) |\sinh(t/A)| dt = 2I \int_0^b t^2 \cosh\left(\frac{t}{A}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{t}{A}\right) dt + \\ + \frac{2b^2}{(a-b)^2} \int_a^b (a-t)^2 \cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt.$$

We will prove that it is possible to choose a and b such that $(2) - (1) > 0$, that is, $J'''(0)(f) < 0$.

We have

$$(2) - (1) = 2 \int_0^b (It^2 - 1) \cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt \\ + \frac{2b^2}{(a-b)^2} \int_a^b (I(a-t)^2 - 1) \cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt \\ = 2 \int_0^b (It^2 - 1) \cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt \\ + \frac{2b^2}{(a-b)^2} \int_b^{a-\frac{1}{\sqrt{I}}} (I(a-t)^2 - 1) \cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt \\ + \frac{2b^2}{(a-b)^2} \int_{a-\frac{1}{\sqrt{I}}}^a (I(a-t)^2 - 1) \cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt.$$

We observe that $It^2 - 1 > 0$ if $t > \frac{1}{\sqrt{I}}$ so that it is possible to choose b with $b < a$ such that

$$\int_0^b (It^2 - 1) \cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt > 0.$$

By another hand, $a - \frac{1}{\sqrt{I}}$ is a root of the polynomial $p(t) := I(a-t)^2 - 1$, and $p(t) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{I}}$. Then, the second term of the last equality above is positive for all b such that $0 < b < a - \frac{1}{\sqrt{I}}$. Since $-1 \leq p(t) \leq 0$ for $a - \frac{1}{\sqrt{I}} \leq t \leq a$ we have

$$\int_{a-\frac{1}{\sqrt{I}}}^b (I(a-t)^2 - 1) \cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt \geq \int_{a-\frac{1}{\sqrt{I}}}^a -\cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt$$

$$= -\frac{A}{2} \left(\sinh^2(a/A) - \sinh^2((1/A)(a - (1/\sqrt{I}))) \right).$$

This last term is negative but tends to zero when a increases. This means that fixing b with $b < a$ such that

$$\int_0^b (It^2 - 1) \cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt > 0$$

it is possible to choose a satisfying $b < a - \frac{1}{\sqrt{I}}$ with

$$\left| \int_{a-\frac{1}{\sqrt{I}}}^a -\cosh(t/A) \sinh(t/A) dt \right|$$

small enough in such a way that (2) - (1) is positive. \diamond

REFERENCES

- [A] A. D. Alexandrov: "A Characteristic Property of Spheres", Ann. of Math. Pure Appl. 58, 305-315 (1962)
- [BdoCE] J. L. Barbosa, M. P. do Carmo, J. Eschenburg: "Stability of hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in Riemannian manifolds", Math. Z. 197, 124-138(1988)
- [KN] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu: "Foundations of Differential Geometry", Vol II, Interscience Publishers (1969)
- [O1] B. O'Neill: "The fundamental equations of a Riemannian submersion", Michigan Mat. Jr. 23, 459-469 (1966)
- [O2] B. O'Neill: "Semi Riemannian Geometry", Academic Press (1983)

Suzana Fornari
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
Departamento de Matemática - ICEX
Caixa Postal 702
30161 - Belo Horizonte - MG

Kátia Frensel
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
Departamento de Matemática

Jaime B. Ripoll
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul
Instituto de Matemática
Av. Bento Gonçalves 9500
91500 - Porto Alegre - RS
BRAZIL

Publicações do Instituto de Matemática da UFRGS
Cadernos de Matemática e Estatística

Série A: Trabalho de Pesquisa

1. Marcos Sebastiani - Transformation des Singularités - MAR/89.
2. Jaime Bruck Ripoll - On a Theorem of R. Langevin About Curvature and Complex Singularities - MAR/89.
3. Eduardo Cisneros, Miguel Ferrero e Maria Inés Gonzales - Prime Ideals of Skew Polynomial Rings and Skew Laurent Polynomial Rings - ABR/89.
4. Oclide José Dotto - ϵ - Dilations - JUN/89.
5. Jaime Bruck Ripoll - A Characterization of Helicoids - JUN/89.
6. Mark Thompson, V. B. Moscatelli - Asymptotic Distribution of Liusternik-Schnirelman Eigenvalues for Elliptic Nonlinear Operators - JUL/89.
7. Mark Thompson - The Formula of Weyl for Regions with a Self- Similar Fractal Boundary - JUL/89.
8. Jaime Bruck Ripoll - A Note on Compact Surfaces with Non Zero Constant Mean Curvature - OUT/89.
9. Jaime Bruck Ripoll - Compact ϵ - Convex Hypersurfaces - NOV/89.
10. Jandyra Maria G. Fachel - Coeficientes de Correlação Tipo -Contingência - JAN/90.
11. Jandyra Maria G. Fachel - The Probability of Occurrence of Heywood Cases - JAN/90.
12. Jandyra Maria G. Fachel - Heywood Cases in Unrestricted Factor Analysis - JAN/90.
13. Julio Cesar R. Claeysen, Tereza Tsukazan - Dynamical Solutions of Linear Matrix Differential Equations - JAN/90.
14. Maria T. Albanese - Behaviour of the Likelihood Function in Latent Trait Analysis of Binary Data - ABR/91.
15. Maria T. Albanese - Measurement of the Latent Variable in Latent Trait Analysis of Binary Data - ABR/91.
16. Maria T. Albanese - Adequacy of the Asymptotic Variance-Covariance Matrix using Bootstrap and Jackknife Techniques in Latent Trait Analysis of Binary Data - ABR/91.

17. Maria T. Albanese - Latent Variable Models for Binary Response - ABR/91.
18. Mark Thompson - Kinematic Dynamo in Random Flows - DEZ/90.
19. Jaime Bruck Ripoll, Marcos Sebastiani - The Generalized Map and Applications - AGO/91.
20. Jaime Bruck Ripoll, Suzana Fornari, Katia Frensel - Hypersurfaces with Constant Mean Curvature in the Complex Hyperbolic Space - AGO/91.

Universidade Federal do Rio Grande Sul
Reitor: Professor Tuiskon Dick

Instituto de Matemática
Diretor: Professor Aron Taitelbaum
Núcleo de Atividades Extra Curriculares
Coordenador: Professora Jandyra G. Fachel
Secretária: Rosaura Monteiro Pinheiro

Os Cadernos de Matemática e Estatística publicam as seguintes séries:

Série A: Trabalho de Pesquisa
Série B: Trabalho de Apoio Didático
Série C: Colóquio de Matemática SBM/UFRGS
Série D: Trabalho de Graduação
Série E: Dissertações de Mestrado
Série F: Trabalho de Divulgação
Série G: Textos para Discussão

Toda correspondência com solicitação de números publicados e demais informações deverá ser enviada para:

NAEC - Núcleo de Atividades Extra Curriculares
Instituto de Matemática - UFRGS
Av. Bento Gonçalves, 9500
91.500 - Agronomia - POA/RS
Telefone: 36.11.59 ou 36.17.85 Ramal: 252

24. Silvia Lopes - Amplitude Estimation in Multiple Frequency Spectrum - MAR/92
25. Silvia Lopes e Benjamin Kedem - Sinusoidal Frequency Modulated Spectrum Analysis - MAR/92
26. Silvia Lopes e Benjamin Kedem - Iteration of Mappings and Fixed Spectrum Analysis - MAR/92
27. Miguel Ferrero, Eduardo Cisneros e Maria Ines Gonzales - Ore Extensions and Jacobson Rings - MAI/92
28. Sara C. Carmona - An Asymptotic Problem for a Reaction-Diffusion Component - JUL/92
29. Luiz Fernando Carvalho da Rocha - Unique Ergodicity of Interval Exchange Maps - JUL/92
30. Sara C. Carmona - Wave Front Propagation for a Cauchy Problem With a Fast Component - OUT/92
31. Marcos Sebastiani Artecona e Iván Pan Pérez - Intersections Transverses dans l'Espace Projectif - OUT/92
32. Miguel Ferrero - Closed Bimodules over Prime Rings: Closed Submodules and Applications to Rings Extensions - DEZ/92
33. Dinara W. X. Fernandez - Método da Máxima Verossimilhança Restrita para Estimação de Componentes de Variância - SET/93
34. Martin Knott e M. Teresa Albanese - Polymiss: A Computer Program for Fitting a One- or Two-Factor Logit-Probit Latent Variable Model to Polynomous Data when Observations may be Missing - OUT/93
35. Peter Struss e Waldir L. Roque - Foundations and Applications of Qualitative Reasoning and Model-Based-Diagnosis - OUT/93

36. Edmund R. Puczylowski - On Koethe's Problem - OUT/93
37. Luis G. Mendes e Marcos A. A. Sebastiani Artecona - Sur la Densité des Systèmes de Pfaff sans Solution Algébrique - JAN/94
38. Artur O. Lopes e Luiz F. C. da Rocha - Invariant Measures for Gauss Maps Associated with Interval Exchange Maps - MAR/94
39. Artur O. Lopes e Roberto Markarian - Open Billiards: Cantor Sets, Invariant and Conditionally Invariant Probabilities - AGO/94
40. Pierre Collet, Antonio Galves e Artur O. Lopes - Maximum Likelihood and Minimum Entropy Identification of Grammars - AGO/94
41. Ondina F. Leal e Jandyra M. G. Fachel - Antropologia do Corpo e Pesquisa sobre Sexualidade: Dados Qualitativos e Tratamento Estatístico, uma Proposta Metodológica - DEZ/94.

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA
NÚCLEO DE ATIVIDADES EXTRACURRICULARES

Os Cadernos de Matemática e Estatística publicam as seguintes séries:

Série A: Trabalho de Pesquisa

Série B: Trabalho de Apoio Didático

Série C: Colóquio de Matemática SBM/UFRGS

Série D: Trabalho de Graduação

Série F: Trabalho de Divulgação

Série G: Textos para Discussão

Toda correspondência com solicitação de números publicados e demais informações deverá ser enviada para:

NAEC - NÚCLEO DE ATIVIDADES EXTRACURRICULARES
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA - UFRGS
AV. BENTO GONÇALVES, 9500 - PREDIO 43111
CEP 91509 - 900 AGRONOMIA - POA/RS
FONE: 336 92 22 OU 339 13 55 OU 228 16 33
RAMAL 6197
FAX: 336 15 12