

Genetics and Molecular Biology, 43, 1, e20190236 (2020) Copyright © 2020, Sociedade Brasileira de Genética. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1678-4685-GMB-2019-0236

Research Article Evolutionary Genetics

Novel insights into chromosome evolution of Charadriiformes: extensive genomic reshuffling in the wattled jacana (*Jacana jacana*, Charadriiformes, Jacanidae)

Rafael Kretschmer^{1,2}, Marcelo Santos de Souza³, Suziane Alves Barcellos³, Tiago Marafiga Degrandi⁴, Jorge C. Pereira², Patricia C. M. O'Brien², Malcolm A. Ferguson-Smith², Ricardo José Gunski³, Analía del Valle Garnero³, Edivaldo Herculano Correa de Oliveira^{5,6} and Thales Renato Ochotorena de Freitas¹

¹Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Programa de Pós-graduação em Genética e Biologia Molecular - PPGBM, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, RS, Brazil.

²University of Cambridge, Department of Veterinary Medicine, Cambridge Resource Centre for Comparative Genomics, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

³Universidade Federal do Pampa, Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciências Biológicas - PPGCB, São Gabriel, Rio Grande do Sul, RS, Brazil.

⁴Universidade Federal do Paraná, Laboratório de Citogenética e Genética da Conservação Animal, Programa de Pós-graduação em Genética, Curitiba, PR, Brazil.

⁵Universidade Federal do Pará, Instituto de Ciências Exatas e Naturais, Belém, PA, Brazil.

⁶Instituto Evandro Chagas, Laboratório de Cultura de Tecidos e Citogenética - SAMAM, Ananindeua, PA, Brazil.

Abstract

The order Charadriiformes comprises three major clades: Lari and Scolopaci as sister group to Charadrii. Until now, only three Charadriiformes species have been studied by chromosome painting: *Larus argentatus* (Lari), *Burhinus oedicnemus* and *Vanellus chilensis* (Charadrii). Hence, there is a lack of information concerning the third clade, Scolapaci. Based on this, and to gain a better understanding of karyotype evolution in the order Charadriiformes, we applied conventional and molecular cytogenetic approaches in a species belonging to clade Scolopaci - the wattled jacana (*Jacana jacana*) - using *Gallus gallus* and *Zenaida auriculata* chromosome-specific probes. Cross-species evaluation of *J. jacana* chromosomes shows extensive genomic reshuffling within macrochromosomes during evolution, with multiple fission and fusion events, although the diploid number remains at high level (2n=82). Interestingly, this species does not have the GGA7-8 fusion, which was found in two representatives of Charadrii clade, reinforcing the idea that this fusion may be exclusive to the Charadrii clade. In addition, it is shown that the chromosome evolution in Charadriiformes is complex and resulted in species with typical and atypical karyotypes. The karyotypic features of Scolopaci are very different from those of Charadrii and Lari, indicating that after divergence, each suborder has undergone different chromosome rearrangements.

Keywords: Charadrii, karyotype, Avian genome, comparative mapping.

Received: July 14, 2019; Accepted: December 22, 2019.

Introduction

Charadriiformes comprises 19 families with approximately 370 species (Gill and Donsker, 2017) and is divided into 3 clades: Lari (gulls, auks and their allies, along with buttonquails), Scolopaci (sandpipers, jacanas and allies),

Send correspondence to Thales Renato Ochotorena de Freitas. Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Programa de Pós-graduação em Genética e Biologia Molecular - PPGBM, Av. Bento Gonçalves 9500, 91501-970, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil. E-mail: thales.freitas@ufrgs.br. and Charadrii (plovers, oystercatchers and allies) (Baker *et al.*, 2007). Species of this order have been the subject of numerous studies, addressing topics such as systematics, behavior, diseases and cytogenetics (Baker *et al.*, 2007; Nie *et al.*, 2009; Bahl *et al.*, 2013; Kretschmer *et al.*, 2015b; Jackson *et al.*, 2017). Cytogenetics has shown the occurrence of a wide range of diploid numbers, from 2n=42 to 98 in *B. oedicnemus* (Nie *et al.*, 2009) and *Gallinago gallinago* (Hammar, 1970), respectively. However, the exact nature of the chromosomal rearrangements that took place in the

karyotype evolution of Charadriiformes remains unclear, since only three species have been studied by chromosome painting. These studies have revealed that the reduction of diploid number in B. oedicnemus (Charadrii) was largely due to multiple fusions involving microchromosomes (Nie et al., 2009). In Larus argentatus (Lari) 2n=70, only fusions of macrochromosomes (GGA5-9) with microchromosomes were detected (Hansmann et al., 2009). On the other hand, in Vanellus chilensis (Charadrii) 2n=78, the only fusion observed was between GGA8/GGA7, and no fissions were detected (Kretschmer et al., 2015b).

Comparative chromosome painting has contributed to the reconstruction of the evolutionary chromosomal history of birds (Griffin et al., 2007; Furo et al., 2015; Kretschmer et al., 2015a, 2018a,b). Since the first production of chromosome-specific probes for Gallus gallus (GGA; Galliformes) in 1999 (Griffin et al., 1999), other species have been chosen for the same purpose, such as Burhinus oedicnemus (Charadriiformes) (Nie et al., 2009), Leucopternis albicollis (Accipitriformes) (de Oliveira et al., 2010), and more recently, Zenaida auriculata (ZAU) (Columbiformes) (Kretschmer et al., 2018b). ZAU has the same organization of macrochromosomes as proposed for the avian putative ancestral karyotype (PAK) (Griffin et al., 2007) and is similar to Gallus gallus (the only difference being the fusion of PAK4 with PAK10, forming GGA4p and GGA4q, respectively). Furthermore, ZAU probes have the advantage over GGA probes in cross-species hybridization as they tend to produce stronger hybridization signals than Gallus gallus probes in Neognathae (Kretschmer et al., 2018b), probably because the divergence between ZAU and other Neognathae species is more recent than chicken.

The wattled jacana (*Jacana jacana*) belongs to clade Scolopaci, and its karyotype has not been reported yet. It is an interesting species with a polyandrous mating system, in which a single female defends a harem of up to four males by aggressively excluding other females from their territory; males provide nearly all parental care (Osborne *et al.*, 1977; Emlen and Wrege, 2004). The purpose of this study was to perform comparative chromosome painting using whole chromosome probes of *Gallus gallus* and *Zenaida auriculata* in order to test: i) if a species of the clade Scolopaci has a chromosomal organization similar or different to the species of the clade Charadrii or Lari, and ii) if the karyotype of the *Jacana jacana* is reorganized by interchromosomal rearrangements, despite maintaining a conserved chromosomal morphology and diploid number.

Material and Methods

Sampling

Two males and two females of the *Jacana jacana* were sampled from São Gabriel, Rio Grande do Sul/Brazil. The collection and analyses were developed in agreement

with SISBIO 44173-1 and Comissão de Ética no Uso de Animais- CEUA 018/2014 authorization.

Acquisition of mitotic cells

Mitotic cells were obtained from fibroblast culture according to Sasaki *et al.* (1968). Briefly, a small skin sample of each specimen was collected and incubated in 2 ml of collagenase type IV (0.5%) for one hour at 37 °C. The resulting cell suspension was washed in 5 mL of Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) and centrifuged for 10 min at 800 rpm. Afterwards, the supernatant was removed and the cells were resuspended in 5 ml of DMEM, supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (10,000 U/mL), and incubated at 37 °C. To arrest cells in metaphase, cultures were treated with 0.016% colchicine for 1 hour. After hypotonic treatment in 0.075 M KCL (15 minutes), cells were fixed in methanol:glacial acetic acid (3:1) and dropped onto clean slides.

Chromosomal analysis

To determine the 2n and chromosome morphology, chromosome preparations were stained with 5% Giemsa solution in 0.07 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), and 40 metaphase spreads were analyzed for each specimen. The chromosome measurements for macrochromosomes (14 pairs) and sex chromosomes Z and W were made using ImageJ software (Rueden *et al.*, 2017). The remaining chromosomes were not measured because of their very small size, being considered microchromosomes. Karyo-types were arranged according to chromosome size and centromere position. Heterochromatic regions and W chromosome were identified by CBG banding performed according to Sumner (1972).

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

FISH experiments were performed using whole chromosome probes of *Zenaida auriculata* (ZAU1-5, which are homologous to GGA1-3,4q and 5, respectively) (Kretschmer *et al.*, 2018b) and *G. gallus* (GGA4, 6-16). Chromosome probes GGA15 and GGA16 were present in the same pool (GGA R5). Both sets of probes were labeled with biotin–dUTP or digoxigenin–dUTP, and the hybridizations were performed according to de Oliveira *et al.* (2010). After 3 days of hybridization at 37 °C, biotin-labeled probes were visualized using a layer of Cy3-streptavidin and digoxigenin-labeled probes with sheep anti-digoxigenin FITC coupled antibodes. After detection, chromosomes were counterstained with DAPI and examined by fluorescence microscopy.

Although we used ZAU1-5 probes, all karyotype comparisons were made with their homology with *G*. *gallus*, since most efforts to map the genome of birds have concentrated on the chicken, including the homology to the putative ancestral avian karyotype.

Results

Karyotype description

Jacana jacana has 2n=82 chromosomes (Fig. 1). The karyotype comprises 14 pairs of biarmed macrochromosomes (including the sex chromosomes), the first pair being markedly larger than the other autosomes (Table 1). Pairs 2 to 8 are similar in size, as are the ninth to the eleventh. The latter (11th) can be distinguished from the others by a secondary constriction, containing the rDNA sequen-

 Table 1 - Measurements of 14 macrochromosomes and sex chromosomes

 ZW of Jacana jacana.

Chromosomes	р	q	p+q	IC	Morphology
1	357,00	558,00	915,00	0,39	Submetacentric
2	158,67	277,33	436,01	0,36	Submetacentric
3	180,00	217,33	397,33	0,45	Metacentric
4	162,67	193,34	356,01	0,46	Metacentric
5	144,00	186,00	330,00	0,44	Metacentric
6	142,67	184,00	326,67	0,44	Metacentric
7	124,01	185,33	309,34	0,40	Metacentric
8	110,00	173,00	283,00	0,39	Submetacentric
9	102,00	135,00	237,00	0,43	Metacentric
10	105,00	132,00	237,00	0,44	Metacentric
11	90,00	120,00	210,00	0,43	Metacentric
12	64,01	94,67	158,68	0,40	Metacentric
13	61,35	90,71	152,05	0,40	Metacentric
14	64,01	84,00	148,01	0,43	Metacentric
Z	149,33	237,33	386,67	0,39	Submetacentric
W	0,00	241,33	241,33	0,00	Acrocentric

p: short arm, q: long arm, p+q: total length, CI %: centromeric index=p/p+q \times 100. ces. The Z chromosome is submetacentric and its size is equivalent to the autosomal pair 2. The W chromosome is acrocentric and it is smaller than Z.

CBG banding analysis

Sequential analysis of the same metaphase with Giemsa staining and C-banding confirms the identification of the W chromosome (Figure 2). The W chromosome is almost completely heterochromatic, whereas the autosomes do not show heterochromatic blocks.

Comparative chromosome painting

Cross-species chromosome painting results show extensive genomic rearrangement of the ancestral chromosomes in Jacana jacana karyotype (JJA, Fig. 3 and 4). The fissions of GGA2 homologous chromosomes (4 pairs), GGA3 (3 pairs), GGA4 (3 pairs), GGA5 (2 pairs) and GGA6 (2 pairs) are evident. In addition, several chromosomal associations are observed in JJA: GGA2 (JJA4, JJA5p, JJA6p, JJA9); GGA3 (JJA2q, JJA3p, JJA7q); GGA4q (JJA2p, JJA3); GGA4p (JJA15); GGA5 (JJA5q, JJA8q); GGA6 (JJA13, JJA14), GGA7 (JJA7p), GGA8 (JJA6q). Chromosome painting also shows that the JJA karyotype has some fully conserved ancestral syntenies, corresponding to GGA 1 (JJA1), GGA9 (JJA10), GGA10 (JJA12), GGA11 (JJA16), GGA12 (JJA17), GGA13 (JJA18) and GGA14 (JJA19). Chromosomes GGA15 and GGA16 (GGA R5) are homologous to JJA20 and JJA21. Figure 4 shows the homology between the GGA1-16 and JJA.

Discussion

Given that approximately 63% of birds have 2n=74-86 (Christidis, 1990), the chromosome organization



Figure 1 - Conventional karyotype of a female individual of Jacana jacana with 2n=82. Bar = 5 µm.



Figure 2 - Metaphase of one female *Jacana jacana* in sequential Giemsa (A) and C-banding analysis (B) showing the Z and W sex chromosomes. Bar = 5 μ m.



Figure 3 - Chromosome painting with chicken and *Zenaida auriculata* (ZAU) probes to metaphase spreads of *Jacana jacana* (JJA) male. A) ZAU1 in red and ZAU2 in green; B) ZAU5 in red and ZAU2 in green; C) GGA8 in red and ZAU2 in green; D) ZAU3 in red and ZAU4 and Z in green; E) GGA7 in red and ZAU3 in green; and F) GGA8 in red and GGA9 in green. Biotin-CY3 (red) and digoxigenin-FITC (green). The chromosomes are stained with DAPI (blue). Bar = 5 μ m.

25

37

38



33

Figure 4 - Karyotype of a male individual of Jacana jacana. Homology with Gallus gallus chromosomes (GGA) is indicated in bars on the right side of the corresponding chromosome. R5=GGA15 and 16, m= microchromosome. Bar = 5 μ m.

GGA Z

31

of Jacana jacana resembles the typical avian karyotype at a first glimpse, with 2n=82 and comprising few macrochromosomes pairs (15 pairs, including Z and W chromosomes) and numerous microchromosomes (26 pairs). However, the chromosome morphology and size observed (Fig. 1) is different from other bird species, especially paleognathous birds, and even from species of Charadriiformes (Nishida-Umehara et al., 2007; Hansmann et al., 2009; Nie et al., 2009; Kretschmer et al., 2015b). The main difference is in the large number of medium biarmed chromosomes observed, which may be a result of chromosomal fusions or intrachromosomal rearrangements (centromere repositioning or inversions).

39

28

40

Chromosome painting indicates that J. jacana karyotype underwent extensive reorganization during its evolution from the PAK, mainly involving macrochromosomes. Hence, although pair 1 was entirely homologous to GGA1, pairs 2 to 6 (GGA2-6) show many fissions, sometimes in more than one segment. For instance, the ancestral chromosome 2 (GGA2) is split between 4 pairs and the ancestral 3 (GGA3) between three pairs, while ancestral pairs 4-6 (GGA4q-6) are split between two pairs each. However, despite the high frequency of chromosome fission in this species, the diploid number has been maintained close to the ancestral karyotype (2n=80) (Griffin et al., 2007), due to several chromosomal fusions (Fig 1, 3 and Table 2). The associations between parts of ancestral chromosome 2 (GGA2) and 8 (GGA8) in JJA6 and between parts of ancestral 3 (GGA3) and 7 (GGA7) in JJA7 have not been described previously in bird species (reviewed in Kretschmer et al., 2018a). These results highlight the value of chromosome painting in evolutionary cytogenetics, since a conserved diploid number does not always represent a conserved karyotype. It would be of interest to analyze if Charadriiformes species with high diploid numbers, but without fusions, have the same breakpoints as J. jacana, since some studies indicate that breakpoints recur in different avian lineages (Volker et al., 2010; Warren et al., 2010; Skinner and Griffin, 2012; Kretschmer et al., 2018b).

35

Most of the microchromosomes are fully conserved in J. jacana. For instance, the use of the GGA1-16 chromosome probes showed the existence of only one gap in the JJA8 chromosome. Considering that 22 pairs of chicken microchromosomes (GGA17-38) were not studied, and 20 pairs of microchromosomes were left unhybridized by any of the probes used in J. jacana, it seem possible that at least two pairs of microchromosomes are involved in fusion events, one of them occurring in JJA8, which would correspond to GGA5 fused to a microchromosome.

This study on J. jacana is the first in which a representative of the clade Scolopaci has been analyzed by chromosome painting (Figure 3 and 4). In Charadriiformes, comparative chromosome mapping data are available for B. oedicnemus (Nie et al., 2009) and V. chilensis (Kretschmer et al., 2015b), both belonging to the Charadrii, and L. argentatus, belonging to the Lari (Baker et al., 2007). A comparison of the chromosome painting data obtained to date shows that each species has a different genome organization (Table 2). Based on this, and considering the diploid number variation from 2n=42 in B. oedicnemus (Nie et al., 2009) to 2n=98 in Gallinago gallinago (Hammar, 1970), we can assume that the order Charadriiformes underwent a unique chromosomal evolution. For instance, V. chilensis (2=78) can be considered a species with a more basal karyotype, since it has a relatively conserved diploid number, differing by only a fusion of the ancestral chromosomes 7 (GGA7) and 8 (GGA8) (Kretschmer et al., 2015b). On the other hand, L. argentatus has a lower diploid num-

Chromosome	<i>B. oedicnemus</i> , 2n=42 (Nie <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	<i>V. chilensis</i> , 2n=78 (Kretschmer <i>et al.</i> , 2015b)	J. jacana, 2n=82 (Present study)	<i>L. argentatus</i> , 2n=70 (Hansmann <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
1	GGA1	GGA1	GGA1	GGA1
2	GGA2	GGA2	GGA3+GGA4q	GGA2
3	GGA3	GGA3	GGA3+GGA4q	GGA3
4	GGA4q	GGA7+GGA8	GGA2	GGA5+MIC
5	GGA7+8	GGA4q	GGA2+GGA5	GGA4q
6	GGA5	GGA5	GGA2+GGA8	GGA6+GGA9, R3 or R6
7	GGA9, R3+R6	GGA6	GGA7+GGA3	GGA7 or 8+GGA9, R3 or R6
8	GGA4p+R2	GGA9	GGA5+1MIC	GGA7 or 8+R4 or R1
9	GGA6+MIC	GGA10	GGA2	GGA4p or R2
10	R4+R1	-	GGA9	R7 or R2
11	R7+R2	-	-	GGA9, R3 or R6
12	R5+MIC	-	GGA10	R5 or MIC
13	R9+R6	-	GGA6	R5 or MIC
14	R5+MIC	-	GGA6	R7 or R6
15	R7	-	GGA4p	R6 or R9
16	R6	-	GGA11	R7 or R2
17	R9	-	GGA12	R5 or MIC
18	R9	-	GGA13	MIC
19	R9	-	GGA14	R7 or R6
20	R9	-	R5	-
21	-	-	R5	-

Table 2 - Chromosomal homologies among Charadriiformes species and Gallus gallus (GGA).

GGA R1, R3 and R4 each contain one microchromosome pair, GGA R2 and R5 each comprised two microchromosome pairs, GGAR6 included three microchromosome pairs and GGA R7 and R9 each contain multiple microchromosomes (Nie *et al.*, 2009). MIC= microchromosome.

ber (2n=70) (Hansmann et al., 2009), mainly due to the occurrence of fusions of macrochromosomes (GGA5-9) with microchromosomes. In contrast, B. oedicnemus shows a diploid number considered to be extremely low for the class Aves (2n=42). According to Nie et al. (2009), this divergence occurred through multiple fusions involving both microchromosome-microchromosome and microchromosome-macrochromosome fusion events, such as in GGA9, 2, 4p, 6 (Table 2) and macrochromosome-macrochromosome fusions without any identified chromosome fission (Nie et al., 2009). In J. jacana, we can see a unique karyotype, resulting from fissions of the macrochromosomes, not previously reported for the order Charadriiformes, and fusions between the segments resulting from these fissions. Unfortunately, there are no chromosome-painting data for other species belonging to the Scolopaci, but it is possible that the associations observed in J. jacana are exclusive to the genus or even to the Scolopaci, since they were not observed in B. oedicnemus, V. chilensis (Charadrii), or L. argentatus (Lari). In addition, since J. jacana and L. argentatus do not have the fusion between ancestral chromosomes 7 and 8, the hypothesis that this fusion is an exclusive characteristic of the clade Charadrii is reinforced (Kretschmer et al., 2015b).

Considering that Vanellus chilensis, a member of clade Charadrii, which is placed in a basal position in the Charadriiformes phylogeny (Baker et al., 2007), has a conserved karyotype (Kretschmer et al., 2015b), we propose that the last common ancestor for the three suborders (Lari, Scolopaci and Charadrii) had a conserved karyotype, and after divergence, each suborder underwent different chromosomal rearrangements (Fig. 5 and Table 2). For instance, considering available results of chromosome painting, we can assume that genome organization in the suborder Lari has involved mainly fusion between microchromosomes and macrochromosomes, while in the suborder Scolopaci the main rearrangements were fissions and fusions between macrochromosomes. On the other hand, in the suborder Charadrii we see many fusions between microchromosomes, as observed in B. oedicnemus, or conserved karyotypes, such as observed in V. chilensis. Despite the fact that cytogenetic data in this group are still fragmental, they do not corroborate the proposal based on mitochondrial and nuclear sequences, which places the genus Burhinus, which has a highly diverged karyotype in a basal position, as sister group to the rest of the Charadrii, including Vanellus (Baker et al., 2007) with a conserved karyotype.

In conclusion, despite the existence of chromosomepainting data for only a few species of the order Chara-



Figure 5 - Phylogenetic relationships in the order Charadriiformes with schematic representation of the chromosome rearrangements on the right of the phylogeny. *Larus argentatus* data from Hansmann *et al.* (2009), *Vanellus chilensis* from Kretschmer *et al.* (2015b) and *Burhinus oedicnemus* from Nie *et al.* (2009). 2n = diploid number. Phylogenetic relationships follow Baker *et al.* (2007).

driiformes, an interesting pattern of genomic reorganization can be observed. Furthermore, the chromosome fusion between GGA7-8 in B. oedicnemus and V. chilensis, and the absence of this rearrangement in *L. argentatus* (Lari) and J. jacana (Scolopaci), supports the view that the clade Charadrii is monophyletic, agreeing with molecular data. As conventional staining data have shown, there is a marked diversity in chromosome number and morphology in species of this order. Therefore, an analysis of karyotypes of other Charadriiformes species by chromosome painting is essential to clarify the relationships between the species and to determine whether the biarmed elements found in different species correspond to homologous segments or involve different chromosomal rearrangements. In addition, Leucopternis albicollis and chicken bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) probes may provide important information about the breakpoints and intrachromosomal rearrangements in Charadriiformes species.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and the Fundação de Amaparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul (FAPERGS) for financial support. This work was also supported by a PhD scholarship and a scholarship (PDSE) from Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES - Finance code 001) to Rafael Kretschmer. We also would like to thank MSc. Michelly da Silva dos Santos for technical support.

Author Contributions

RK analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. SAB, ADVG, RJG and MSS collected the specimens and performed the conventional cytogenetic analyses. TMD was responsible for the macrochromosomes measurements. RK was responsible for the FISH experiments. JCP, PCOB and MAFS were responsible for *Gallus gallus* whole chromosome probes generation. JCP, RK and MAFS were responsible for *Zenaida auriculata* whole chromosome probes generation. MAFS and PCOB revised the English version. TROF and EHCO coordinated the research and revised the manuscript. Funding acquisition, TROF, EHCO, ADVG, RJG and MAFS. All authors corrected, revised, and discussed the data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicial to the impartiality of the reported research.

References

- Baker AJ, Pereira SL and Paton TA (2007) Phylogenetic relationships and divergence times of Charadriiformes genera: multigene evidence for the Cretaceous origin of at least 14 clades of shorebirds. Biol Lett 3:205-209.
- Bahl J, Krauss S, Kühnert D, Fourment M, Raven G, Pryor SP, Niles LJ, Danner A, Walker D, Mendenhall IH *et al.* (2013) Influenza A virus migration and persistence in North American wild birds. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003570.
- Christidis L (1990) Animal Cytogenetics. Volume 4: Chordata 3: B. Aves. Gebrüder Borntraeger, Berlin.
- de Oliveira EHC, Tagliarini MM, Rissino JD, Pieczarka JC, Nagamachi CY, O'Brien PCM and Ferguson-Smith MA (2010) Reciprocal chromosome painting between white hawk (*Leucopternis albicollis*) and chicken reveals extensive fusions and fissions during karyotype evolution of Accipitridae (Aves, Falconiformes). Chromosome Res 18:349-355.
- Emlen S and Wrege P (2004) Division of labour in parental care behaviour of a sex-role-reversed shorebird, the wattled jacana. Anim Behav 68:847-855.
- Furo IO, Kretschmer R, O'Brien PC, Ferguson-Smith MA and de Oliveira EHC (2015) Chromosomal diversity and karyotype evolution in South American macaws (Psittaciformes, Psittacidae). PLoS ONE 10:e0130157.
- Gill F and D Donsker (2018) IOC World Bird List (v 8.1), https://www.worldbirdnames.org/ioc-lists/crossref/.
- Griffin DK, Haberman F, Masabanda J, O'Brien PC, Bagga M, Sazanov A, Smith J, Burt DW, Ferguson-Smith M and Wienberg J (1999) Micro- and macrochromosome paints generated by flow cytometry and microdissection: tools for mapping the chicken genome. Cytogenet Cell Genet 87:278-281.
- Griffin DK, Robertson LBW, Tempest HG and Skinner BM (2007) The evolution of the avian genome as revealed by comparative molecular cytogenetic. Cytogenet Genome Res 117:64-77.
- Hammar B (1970) The karyotypes of thirty-one birds. Hereditas 65:29-58.

- Hansmann T, Nanda I, Volobouev V, Yang F, Schartl M, Haaf T and Schmid M (2009) Cross-species chromosome painting corroborates microchromosome fusion during karyotype evolution of Birds. Cytogenet Genome Res 126:281-304.
- Jackson JDU, dos Remedios N, Maher KH, Zefania S, Haig S, Oyler-McCance S, Blomqvist D, Burke T, Bruford MW, Székely T *et al.* (2017) Polygamy slows down population divergence in shorebirds. Evolution 71:1313-1326.
- Kretschmer R, de Oliveira EHC, dos Santos MS, Furo IO, O'Brien PCM, Ferguson-Smith MA, del Valle Garnero A and Gunski RJ (2015a) Chromosome mapping of the large elaenia (*Elaenia spectabilis*): evidence for a cytogenetic signature for passeriform birds? Biol J Linn Soc 115:391-398.
- Kretschmer R, Gunski RJ, Garnero ADV, O'Brien PCM, Ferguson-Smith MA, de Freitas TRO and de Oliveira EHC (2015b) Chromosome painting in *Vanellus chilensis*: Detection of a fusion common to clade Charadrii (Charadriiformes). Cytogenet Genome Res 146:58-63.
- Kretschmer R, Ferguson-Smith MA and de Oliveira EHC (2018a) Karyotype evolution in birds: From conventional staining to chromosome painting. Genes 9:181.
- Kretschmer R, Furo IO, Gunski RJ, Garnero ADV, Pereira JC, O'Brien PCM, Ferguson-Smith MA, de Oliveira EHC and de Freitas TRO (2018b) Comparative chromosome painting in Columbidae (Columbiformes) reinforces divergence in Passerea and Columbea. Chromosome Res 26:211-223.
- Nie W, O'Brien PCM, Ng BL, Fu B, Volobouev V, Carter NP, Ferguson-Smith MA and Yang F (2009) Avian comparative genomics: reciprocal chromosome painting between domestic chicken (*Gallus gallus*) and the stone curlew (*Burhinus oedicnemus*, Charadriiformes)- An atypical species with low diploid number. Chromosome Res 17:99-113.
- Nishida-Umehara C, Tsuda Y, Ishijima J, Ando J, Fujiwara A, Matsuda Y and Griffin DK (2007) The molecular basis of chromosome orthologies and sex chromosomal differentiation in palaeognathous birds. Chromosome Res 15:721-734.
- Osborne DR and Bourne GR (1977) Breeding behavior and food habits of the Wattled Jacana. Condor 79:98-105.
- Rueden CT, Schindelin J, Hiner MC, DeZonia BE, Walter AE, Arena ET and Eliceiri KW (2017) ImageJ2: ImageJ for the next generation of scientific image data. BMC Bioinformatics 18:529.
- Sasaki M, Ikeuchi T and Maino S (1968) A feather pulp culture for avian chromosomes with notes on the chromosomes of the peafowl and the ostrich. Experientia 24:1923-1929.
- Skinner BM and Griffin DK (2012) Intrachromosomal rearrangements in avian genome evolution: evidence for regions prone to breakpoints. Heredity 108:37-41.
- Sumner AT (1972) A simple technique for demostrating centromeric heterocrhomatin. Exp Cell Res 75:304-306.
- Volker M, Backstrom N, Skinner BM, Langley EJ, Bunzey SK, Ellegren H and Griffin DK (2010) Copy number variation, chromosome rearrangement, and their association with recombination during avian evolution. Genome Res 20:503-511.
- Warren WC, Clayton DF, Ellegren H, Arnold AP, Hillier LW, Künstner A, Searle S, White S, Vilella AJ, Fairley S *et al.* (2010) The genome of a songbird. Nature 464:757-762.

Associate Editor: Louis Klaczko

License information: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (type CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original article is properly cited.