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#### Abstract

First we consider a nonlinear Cauchy problem depending on a small parameter $\varepsilon>0$. The parcial differencial equation describes a "slow" diffusion (coefficient of order $\varepsilon$ ) in $x$ direction, $x \in \mathbf{R}$, and a "fast" motion in $y$-direction which is a homogeneous Markov process in a compact subset of $\mathbf{R}^{r}$ and whose generator has infinitesimal characteristics of order $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$. Secondly, we generalize the above problem by considering as the "slow" motion a locally infinitely divisible process in R . The Feynman-Kac formula provides a representation for the generalized solution of both problems. We use a stochastic approach (Freidlin's approach) to study the wave front propagation as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.


Keywords: slow motion, fast motion, wave front propagation, Markov process, locally infinitely divisible process, Large Deviation Principle, action functional.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study some generalizations of the following mixed problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon a(x, y)}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} f\left(x, y, u^{\varepsilon}\right),  \tag{1.1}\\
x \in \mathrm{R}, y \in(-b ; b), t>0 \\
u^{\varepsilon}(0, x, y)=g(x, y) \\
\left.\frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial y}\right|_{y= \pm b}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

This problem was studied in [2] using Freidlin's stochastic approach. We analyzed the wave front propagation as $\varepsilon \downharpoonright 0$ for the solution $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ of (1.1). Using the Feynman-Kac formula and large deviations theory, we defined a function $V(t, x), t>0, x \in \mathbf{R}$ such that, under suitable conditions (Condition ( $N$ ) formulated by Freidlin [4]),

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \downharpoonright 0} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } V(t, x)<0, & |y| \leq b \\
1 & \text { if } V(t, x)>0, & |y| \leq b
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly, the set $\{(t, x, y): V(t, x)=0,|y| \leq b\}$ determines the position of the wave front, as $\varepsilon \mid 0$. and $G_{t}=\{(x, y): V(t, x)>0,|y| \leq b\}$ represents the excited region at time $t$. Observe that in problem (1.1) the motion in $y$-direction is described by a Wiener process in $[-b ; b]$ with instantaneous reflection at the end points of the interval. Its diffusion coefficient is of order $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ and for this reason it is called "fast motion". The motion in $x$-direction is a diffusion with coefficient $\frac{\varepsilon a(x, y)}{2}$ (of order $\varepsilon$ ) and is called "slow motion".

It is desirable, for instance, that the results obtained in [2] remain valid in the case of a weakly coupled system of equations of the type in (1.1). In this case the fast motion is a process $\left(Y_{\frac{t}{6}}, \nu_{\frac{1}{6}}\right)$ where $Y_{t}$ is a Wiener process in $[-b ; b]$ with instantaneous reflection at the end points of the interval and $\nu_{t}$ is a Markov chain in the phase space $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ with infinitesimal characteristics specified by a matrix $\left(q_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1, \cdots, n}, q_{i j} \geq 0$ if $i \neq j$, $q_{i i}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{i j}$.

In this paper we include a wider class of problems by extending problem (1.1) in two directions. First we consider a Markov process in a compact subset of $\mathbf{R}^{r}$ as the fast motion
(in $y$-direction). Secondly, we generalize the slow motion by considering processes belonging to the class of locally infinitely divisible processes in R .

In the first case we keep the slow motion as in (1.1) but the fast motion is described by a family of processes $\left(Y_{t}^{\epsilon} ; \ddot{P}_{y}^{\epsilon}\right)$ where $Y_{t}^{\epsilon} \equiv Y_{\frac{1}{c}}$ and $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ is a homogeneous Markov family in the phase space $(D, \mathcal{B}(D))$ where $D \subset \mathbf{R}^{r}$ is compact and $\mathcal{B}(D)$ is the $\sigma$-field generated by the Borel subsets of $D$ in the topology inherited from the Euclidean norm in $\mathrm{R}^{r}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{1, \varepsilon}$ respectively the infinitesimal generator corresponding to the processes $Y_{t}$ and $Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}$.

Now problem (1.1) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial t}=\mathcal{A}^{1, \varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)+\frac{\varepsilon a(x, y)}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} f\left(x, y, u^{\varepsilon}\right)  \tag{1.2}\\
x \in \mathrm{R}, y \in D, t>0 \\
u^{\varepsilon}(0, x, y)=g(x, y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The boundary conditions are specified according to the infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{A}^{1, \varepsilon}$.
We say that a function $f(u)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ (see [4]) if $f$ is differentiable in $u$, $f(0)=f(1)=0, f(u)>0$ in $(0 ; 1), f(u)<0$ if $u \notin[0 ; 1], f^{\prime}(0)=\sup _{u \geq 0} \frac{f(u)}{u}$. We assume that for each $x, y, f(x, y, u) \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$. Put $\frac{f(x, y, u)}{u}=c(x, y, u)$ and $c(x, y) \equiv c(x, y, 0)=$ $\sup _{u \geq 0} c(x, y, u)$. Assume that $c(x, y, u)$ is Lipschitz continuous in $x$ and $u$, continuous in $y$, and $0<\underline{c} \leq c(x, y) \leq \bar{c}$.

The initial function $g(x)$ is bounded, nonnegative, continuous or with discontinuities of first kind, and $\left[G_{0}\right]=\left[\left(G_{0}\right)\right]$ where $G_{0}=\operatorname{supp} g \neq \mathbf{R}$. The set $[A]$ is the clousure of $A$ and $(A)$ is its interior. We also assume that $a(x, y)$ is Lipschitz continuous in $x$ and $0<\underline{a} \leq a(x, y) \leq \bar{a}$.

Let $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}$ represent the slow motion (in $x$-direction). Then $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the stochastic differential equation

$$
d \tilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}=\sqrt{\varepsilon a\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right)} d W_{t}, \quad \tilde{X}_{0}^{\varepsilon}=x
$$

where $W_{t}$ is a Wiener process in R , starting at zero, adapted to some increasing family of $\sigma$ fields and independent of $Y_{t}$. One can verify (see [4]) that the Markov process $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, Y_{t}^{\epsilon} ; \widetilde{P}_{x y}^{\epsilon}\right)$ is associated with the operator

$$
L^{\varepsilon}=\mathcal{A}^{1, \varepsilon}+\frac{\varepsilon a(x, y)}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} .
$$

It is known (see Freidlin [4]) that if $c(x, y, u)$ is Lipschitz continuous in $x$ and $u$ then the generalized Feynman-Kac formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)=\tilde{E}_{x y} g\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right) \exp \left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} c\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}\left(t-s, \tilde{X}_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{s}^{\epsilon}\right)\right) d s\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution $u^{c}(t, x, y)$. Besides, one can prove that a solution of (1.2) satisfies (1.3). In this sense we say that problem (1.2) has a unique generalized solution $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$. Since $c(x, y)=\sup _{u \geq 0} c(x, y, u)$ we have

$$
u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y) \leq \tilde{E}_{x y} g\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, Y_{t}^{\epsilon}\right) \exp \left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} c\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{s}^{c}\right) d s\right\}
$$

As in the case of problem (1.1) (see [2]) the asymptotic behavior of $u^{c}(t, x, y)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ is related with probabilities of large deviations for the family of processes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{c}, \int_{0}^{t} c\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{\epsilon}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall obtain the action functional for (1.4) using the same approach as in [2], section 2. In that approach the action functional for processes of the type $\int_{0}^{t} b\left(\varphi_{s}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s$ where $\varphi$ is a continuous function on $[0 ; T]$ into $\mathbf{R}$ plays an important role.

In section 2 of this paper we formulate sufficient conditions on ( $Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}$ ) in order that familics of processes of the type $\int_{0}^{t} b\left(\varphi_{s}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s$ obey a Large Deviation Principle. The main tool here is the theory of semigroups of linear operators. We suggest Pazy [12] and Kato [10] as references.

In section 3 we establish a Large Deviation Principle for (1.4) under the conditions formulated in section 2. Our goal in section 3 is just to make clear that the theory developed in [2] can be applied when we consider Markov processes of a general type as the fast motion.

In section 4 we consider a weakly coupled system of equations of the type in (1.1) and also a problem with fast motion being a nondegenerated diffusion process in a compact subset
of $\mathrm{R}^{r}$. We describe explicitely the wave front as $\varepsilon!0$ in both examples, following the same approach as in [2].

In the remaining sections we deal with the slow motion which will be described by a locally infinitely divisible process. We are not interested in the most general concept but only on those processes with values in $\mathbf{R}$. The next definition was taken from Wentzell [15].

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space and $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}: t \geq 0\right\}$ be a nondecreasing family of $\sigma$-fields with $\mathcal{F}_{t} \subset \mathcal{F}$, for all $t \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{R})$ be the $\sigma$-field generated by the Borel subsets of R . A strong Markov process $\left(\xi_{t} ; P_{t x}\right)$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ with values in $(\mathrm{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{R}))$ is called locally infinitely divisible if its sample functions are right-continuous with left-hand limits with probability one and whose infinitesimal generator is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{t} f(x) & =b(x, t) \frac{d f(x)}{d x}+\frac{1}{2} a(x, t) \frac{d^{2} f(x)}{d x^{2}}+ \\
& +\int_{\mathrm{R}}\left[f(x+u)-f(x)-u \frac{d f(x)}{d x}\right] \Pi_{x, t}(d u)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x \in \mathrm{R}, t \geq 0, \Pi_{x, t}(\cdot)$ is a nonrandom measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{R})$, measurable in $x$ and $t$, $\Pi_{x, t}(\{0\})=0$, and

$$
\int_{\mathrm{R}} u^{2} \Pi_{x, t}(d u)<\infty, \quad \text { for all } x, t
$$

The functions $b(x, t)$ and $a(x, t)$ are measurable with $0<\underline{a} \leq a(x, t) \leq \bar{a}, \underline{b} \leq b(x, t) \leq \bar{b}$ and $f(x)$ is measurable, bounded, and twice-continuouslly differentiable. The question about large deviations for this class of processes is considered by Wentzell [15].

The main goal in sections 5 and 6 is to analyze the wave front propagation of the solution of a Cauchy problem of the type

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial t}=\mathcal{A}^{1, \varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)+\mathcal{A}^{2, \varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} f\left(x, y, u^{\varepsilon}\right)  \tag{1.5}\\
\text { for } t>0, x \in \mathbf{R}, y \in(D), D \subset \mathbf{R} \\
u^{\varepsilon}(0, x, y)=g(x, y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{1, \varepsilon}$ is the infinitesimal generator of the process $Y_{t}^{\varepsilon} \equiv Y_{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}$ where $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ satisfies suitable conditions formulated in section 2 , and $\mathcal{A}^{2, \varepsilon}$ is the infinitesimal generator
of the slow motion which is described by a locally infinitely divisible process with frequent small jumps (see [6] or [15]).

We shall study two cases. In section 5 the slow motion is a locally infinitely divisible process whose infinitesimal generator is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}^{2, \varepsilon} f(x) & =b(y) \frac{d f(x)}{d x}+\frac{\varepsilon a(y)}{2} \frac{d^{2} f(x)}{d x^{2}}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathrm{R}}\left[f(x+\varepsilon \beta)-f(x)-\varepsilon \beta \frac{d(f(x)}{d x}\right] \Pi(d \beta) \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Pi(\cdot)$ is a nonrandom measure with $\Pi(\{0\})=0$ and $\int_{\mathbf{R}} \beta^{2} \Pi(d \beta)<\infty$. Notice that the coefficients $b(y)$ and $a(y)$ depend only on the fast variable $y$.

In section 6 the slow motion is a locally infinitely divisible process in $\mathbf{R}$, independent of the fast motion, whose infinitesimal generator is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}^{2, \varepsilon} f(x) & =b(x) \frac{d f(x)}{d x}+\frac{\varepsilon a(x)}{2} \frac{d^{2} f(x)}{d x^{2}}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathrm{R}}\left[f(x+\varepsilon \beta)-f(x)-\varepsilon \beta \frac{d(f(x)}{d x}\right] \Pi_{x}(d \beta) \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where: $I_{x}(\cdot)$ is a nonrandom measure with $\Pi_{x}(\{0\})=0$ and $\int_{\mathbf{R}} \beta^{2} \Pi_{x}(d \beta)<\infty$ for all $x \in R$. Observe that in this case the infinitesimal characteristics of the slow motion depend only on $x$.

In both cases we shall use the action functional for the family of random processes (1.4) to describe the wave front for the generalized solution of (1.5).
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2. A Large Deviation Principle - sufficient conditions

In this section the fast motion is a homogencous Markov family $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ in the phase space $(D \cdot B(D))$ where $D \subset \mathrm{R}^{r}$ is compact and $\mathcal{B}(D)$ is the $\sigma$-field of the Borel subsets of I) in the topology inherited from the Euclidean norm in $\mathrm{R}^{r}$.

The semigroup $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ associated with $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t} h(y)=\int_{D} h(z) \bar{P}(t, y, d z)=\bar{E}_{y} h\left(Y_{t}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$h$ being a bounded and measurable function, $\bar{P}(t, y, \cdot)$ the transition function of the process $Y_{t}$, and $\bar{E}_{y}$ the corresponding conditional expectation. The infinitesimal generator is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{1}$.

Let us introduce some notation:
$B$ : space of bounded, $\mathcal{B}(D)$-measurable numerical functions on $D$.
$C_{D}$ : space of continuous numerical functions on $D$.
$B_{0}$ : subspace of $B$ of "strong continuity" of $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$.
$C_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{m}\right)$ : space of continuous functions on $[0, T]$ into $\mathrm{R}^{m}$.
$\rho_{O T}\left(\left(\varphi^{1}, \cdots, \varphi^{m}\right),\left(\psi^{1}, \cdots, \psi^{m}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|\varphi^{i}-\psi^{i}\right\|$ where $\|\cdot\|$ is the supremum norm in $C_{[0, T]}(\mathrm{R})$.

Our goal in this section is to formulate sufficient conditions in order that processes satisfying equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\xi}_{t}^{\varepsilon}=b\left(\xi_{t}^{\varepsilon} ; Y_{\frac{1}{c}}\right), \quad \xi_{0}^{\varepsilon}=x \in \mathbf{R}^{m}, t \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

obey a Large Deviation Principle. The function $b(x, y)=\left(b^{1}(x, y), \cdots, b^{m}(x, y)\right), x \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$, $y \in \mathrm{R}^{r}$ is assumed to be bounded and Lipschitz continuous in both variables.

Let us introduce the following conditions:
(i) for any $x, \beta \in \mathrm{R}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \bar{E}_{y} \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{T}\left(\beta, b\left(x, Y_{s}\right)\right) d s\right\}=H(x, \beta) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists uniformly in $y \in \mathbf{R}^{r}$,
(ii) $H(x, \beta)$ is differentiable in $\beta$.

Under conditions (i)-(ii), Freidlin proved (see Theorem 7.4.1 and Lemma 7.4.3 in [6]) that the normalized action functional on the space $\left(C_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{m}\right), \rho_{0 T}\right)$ for the family of processes $\left\{\xi_{t}^{\epsilon}\right\}$, solution of (2.1), is given by

$$
S_{O T}(\varphi)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\varphi_{s} ; \dot{\varphi}_{s}\right) d s, & \text { if } \varphi \text { is a.c. }  \tag{2.4}\\ +\infty, & \text { in the rest of } C_{[0, T]}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)\end{cases}
$$

with normalizing coefficient $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$. The function $L(x, \alpha)$ is the Legendre transform of $H(x, \beta)$ with respect to the variable $\beta$, i.e.,

$$
L(x, \alpha)=\sup _{\beta \in \mathbf{R}^{m}}\{\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle-H(x, \beta)\}, \quad \text { for } \alpha \in \mathbf{R}^{m}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the inner product in $\mathbf{R}^{m}$. Therefore, we shall formulate conditions on $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ in order that conditions (i) and (ii) be fulfilled.

It is well known (see [14] or [3]) that in the case of a compact phase space $D$, if $C_{D} \subseteq B_{0}$ then the transition function of the process $Y_{t}$ is uniquely determined by the semigroup $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ acting on $C_{D}$. Besides, the infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{A}^{1}$ of $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ uniquely determines the transition function and hence the finite-dimensional distributions of the Markov family.

In our case $C_{D} \subseteq B$ but $C_{D}$ is not contained in $B_{0}$ necessarily. However, if $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ is uniformly stochastically continuous then $C_{D} \subseteq B_{0}$ (see Problem 10.9 in [14]). Moreover, with probability one, the paths are continuous on the right and have limit on the left at each point.

It is also known (see Chapter 9 in [14]) that all the Feller-Markov families with paths continuous on the right exhibit the strong Markov property with respect to the family of $\sigma$-fields $\mathcal{F}_{\leq t^{+}}=\bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{F}_{\leq s}, t \in[0,+\infty)$ where $\mathcal{F}_{\leq t}$ is the smallest $\sigma$-field such that $Y_{s}$, $0 \leq s \leq t$ are measurable.

Relying on the above facts we can enunciate the following result:
Proposition 2.1. If $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ is a homogeneous Feller-Markov family, uniformly stochastically continuous, then
(a) $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ can be regarded as acting on $C_{D}$; further, it is a strongly continuous semigroup in the sense that $\left\|T_{t} f-f\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \downharpoonright 0$ for all $f \in C_{D}$.
(b) $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ has paths continuous on the right with left-hand limits at all points, with probability one.
(c) $\left(Y_{t} ; \tilde{P}_{y}\right)$ is a strong Markov family with respect to the $\sigma$-fields $\mathcal{F}_{\leq t+}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let us assume that $\left\{\widetilde{T}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a compact strongly continuous semigroup with $\left\|\tilde{T}_{t}\right\| \leq M \epsilon^{w t}$ for some $M>0$ and $w \geq 0$. Let $C^{+}=\left\{f \in B_{0}: f \geq 0\right\}$ and assume that $\left\{\tilde{T}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is strongly positive with respect to $C^{+}$. Then the eigenvalue $\lambda$.of the infinitesimal generator $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\left\{\tilde{T}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ with the maximal real part is real and simple; the corresponding eigenvector $\phi$ is positive and $\|\phi\|=1$.

Proof: This result is well known in the theory of semigroups of linear operators and we ornit its proof. The reader may consult Pazy [12].

For each $h \in C_{D}$ let us introduce the operator $T_{t}^{(h)}$ on $B$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t}^{(h)} f(y)=\bar{E}_{y} f\left(Y_{t}\right) \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{t} h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s\right\}, \quad f \in B . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.2.
(a) $\left\{T_{t}^{(h)}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup of bounded linear operators and $\left\|T_{t}^{(h)}\right\| \leq e^{w t}$ for some $w \in \mathbf{R}$.
(b) $\left\{T_{t}^{(h)}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is strongly continuous in the same space $B_{0}$ as $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ and only on this space.

Moreover, its infinitesimal generator is given by

$$
\mathcal{A}^{(h)} f(y)=\mathcal{A}^{1} f(y)+h(y) f(y), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}^{(k)}}
$$

and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}^{(n)}}=\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}^{2}}$ where $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}^{1}}$ is the domain of definition of $\mathcal{A}^{1}$.
Proof: The proof of this proposition can be found in Wentzell [14] and we omit it.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ be a homogeneous Markov family in the phase space $(D, \mathcal{B}(D))$. $D \subset \mathrm{R}^{r}$ compact and $\mathcal{B}(D)$ the $\sigma$-field of the Borel subsets of $D$ in the topology inherited from the Euclidean norm in $\mathrm{R}^{r}$. Assume that
(L.1) $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ is a homogeneous Feller-Markov process.
(L.2) $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ is uniformly stochastically continuous, i.e.,

$$
\forall \varepsilon>0, \quad \bar{P}_{y}\left(\left|Y_{s}-Y_{t}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t-s \rightarrow 0
$$

uniformly in $y \in D$ and in $t, s \in[0,+\infty)$.
(L.3) The semigroup $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ in (2.1) is strongly positive with respect to the cone $\{f \in$ $\left.C_{D}: f \geq 0\right\}$.
(L.4) For each $h \in C_{D}$, the semigroup $\left\{T_{t}^{(h)}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ in (2.5) satisfies the Feller-condition, i.e., $T^{(h)} C_{D} \subseteq C_{D}$.
(L.5) For each $h \in C_{D},\left\{T_{t}^{(h)}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a compact semigroup.

Then, for any $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \bar{E}_{y} \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{t} \beta h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s\right\}=H(\beta) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists uniformly in $y$. Moreover, $H(\beta)$ is differentiable and convex in $\beta$.
Proof: Conditions (L.1)-(L.5) imply that $\left\{T_{t}^{(\beta h)}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a compact, strongly continuous semigroup acting on $C_{D}$ and strongly positive with respect to $C^{+}=\left\{f \in C_{D}: f \geq 0\right\}$ (this is a consequence of Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2). Then, by Theorem 2.1 the maximal eigenvalue $\lambda(\beta)$ of $\mathcal{A}^{(\beta h)}$ is real and simple; the corresponding eigenvector $\phi$ is positive and $\|\phi\|=1$.

It is known (see Pazy [12]) that for a strongly continuous semigroup $\left\{\tilde{T}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$,

$$
B_{\lambda}(t)(\lambda I-\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) f=\left(e^{\lambda t}-\widetilde{T}_{t}\right) f, \quad \text { for } \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, f \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}
$$

where $B_{\lambda}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{\lambda(t-s)} \widetilde{T}_{s} f d s$ and $\mathbf{C}$ is the set of complex numbers. Using the above relation, one can see that $e^{\lambda(\beta) t}$ is an eigenvalue of $T_{t}^{(\beta h)}$ with the same eigenvector $\phi$.

Since $D$ is compact, there exists a constant $K>0$ such that $0<K \leq \phi(y) \leq 1$ for all $y \in D$. Also, $T_{t}^{(\beta h)} \phi(y)=e^{\lambda(\beta) t} \phi(y)$. Hence, $0<K \phi(y)<\phi(y) \leq 1(y)$, for all $y \in D$ and then $0<K T_{t}^{(\beta h)} 1(y)<T_{t}^{(\beta h)} \phi(y)=e^{\lambda(\beta) t} \phi(y) \leq T_{t}^{(\beta h)} 1(y)$ which implies that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log T_{t}^{(\beta h)} 1(y)=\lambda(\beta)
$$

Notice that $E_{y} \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{t} \beta h\left(Y_{s}\right) d s\right\}=T_{t}^{(\beta h)} 1(y)$ ．Take $H(\beta) \equiv \lambda(\beta)$ and we get（2．6）．
The function $H(\beta)$ is comtinuous and convex（see Lemma 7．4．1 in［6］）．Besides，it is not difficult to verify that $T_{t}^{(\beta h)}$ is real－holomorphic in $\beta$ near $\beta=0\left(T_{t}^{(\beta h)} f(y)\right.$ has a Taylor expansion in $\beta$ ，near zero）．Now，using the fact that $e^{\lambda(\beta) t}$ is an isolated eigenvalue of $T_{t}^{(3 h)}$ ，we obtain from Theorem 1．8，Chapter VII in［10］that $\lambda(\beta)$ is differentiable．

Now we can conclude that if $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ satisfies conditions（L．1）－（L．5）then the limit in （2．3）exists uniformly in $y \in \mathbf{R}^{r}$ and $H(x, \beta)$ is differentiable in $\beta$ ．Therefore，the family of processes $\left\{\xi_{t}^{\epsilon}\right\}$ ，solution of（2．2），obeys a Large Deviation Principle with action functional given by（2．4）．The function $L(x, \alpha)$ is the Legendre transform of the first eigenvalue $\lambda(x, \beta)$ with respect to $\beta$ of the operator $\mathcal{A}^{1}+\beta b(x, y)$ ．

Remark 2．1．In particular，if $\xi_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ is defined by $\xi_{t}^{e}=x+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(Y_{\grave{c}}\right) d s$ or $\xi_{t}^{\epsilon}=x+$ $\int_{0}^{t} b\left(\psi_{s}, Y_{⿳ 亠 丷 厂}^{\epsilon}\right) d s$ where $\psi \in C_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{m}\right)$ is a fixed function，then the functional in（2．4）be－ comes respectively $S_{0 T}(\varphi)=\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\dot{\varphi}_{s}\right) d s$ and $S_{0 T}(\varphi)=\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\psi_{s} ; \dot{\varphi}_{s}\right) d s, \varphi$ a．c．The func－ tions $L(\alpha)$ and $L(x, \alpha)$ are respectively the Legendre transform of the first eigenvalue of the operators $\mathcal{A}^{1}+\beta b(y)$ and $\mathcal{A}^{1}+\beta b(x, y)$ ．

Remark 2．2．If（ $Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}$ ）satisfies conditions（L．1）－（L．5）then the process $Y_{t}$ has a unique invariant probability measure．The existence of an ergodic probability measure follows from the fact that $Y_{t}$ is a homogeneous Feller－Markov family on a compact set（see Theorem 21， （hapter I in［13］）．The uniqueness follows by contradiction taking into account that the limit in（2．6）exists and $H(\beta)$ is differentiable．

## 3．Wave Front Propagation

In this section we assume that（ $Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}$ ）satisfies conditions（L．1）－（L．5）introduced in Theorem 2.2 and $\tilde{X}_{t}$ satisfies the stochastic differencial equation

$$
d \tilde{X}_{t}^{c}=\sqrt{\varepsilon a\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{c}, Y_{t}^{c}\right)} d W_{t}
$$

The action functional on the space $\left(C_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right), \rho_{0 T}\right)$ for the two－dimensional family of pro－ cesses $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{c}, \int_{0}^{t} c\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{s}, Y_{s}^{c}\right) d s\right)$ is obtained similarly to section 2 in［2］．We will not go into details in this matter but just point out the main steps．

Definc for each $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{R}^{2}$ and $x \in \mathrm{R}$ the semigroup of operators $\left\{T_{t}^{\beta}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ by

$$
T_{t}^{\beta} f(y)=\bar{E}_{y} f\left(Y_{t}\right) \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{t}\left[\beta_{1} a\left(x, Y_{s}\right)+\beta_{2} c\left(x, Y_{s}\right)\right] d s\right\}
$$

for $f \in C_{D}$ and $a(x, y), c(x, y)$ the functions introduced in section 1. By Theorem 2.2,

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \tilde{E}_{y} \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{T}\left[\beta_{1} a\left(x, Y_{s}\right)+\beta_{2} c\left(x, Y_{s}\right)\right] d s\right\}=H\left(x, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)
$$

exists uniformly in $y, H\left(x, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)$ being the first eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{A}^{\beta}$ given by

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\beta} f(y)=\mathcal{A}^{1} f(y)+\left[\beta_{1} a(x, y)+\beta_{2} c(x, y)\right] f(y), \quad y \in(D) .
$$

Moreover, $H\left(x, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)$ is differentiable in $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)$. Using the same proof of Theorem 7.4 .1 in [6] one can show that, for each $\varphi \in C_{[0, T]}(\mathrm{R})$, the action functional for the family of processes $\left(\int_{0}^{t} a\left(\varphi_{s}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s, \int_{0}^{t} c\left(\varphi_{s}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s\right)$ is $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} S_{0 T}^{\varphi}(\psi, \eta)$ with

$$
S_{0 T}^{\varphi}(\psi, \eta)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\varphi_{s} ; \dot{\psi}_{s}, \dot{\eta}_{s}\right) d s, & \text { if } \psi, \eta \text { are a.c. } \\ +\infty, & \text { in the rest of } C_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $L\left(x, \alpha^{1}, \alpha^{2}\right)$ is the Legendre transform of $H\left(x, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)$ with respect to $\beta$.
Notice that the trajectories of the processes $\int_{0}^{t} a\left(\varphi_{s}, Y_{s}^{c}\right) d s$ and $\int_{0}^{t} c\left(\varphi_{s}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s$ belong, with probability one, respectively to $F_{\bar{a}}$ and $F_{\bar{c}}$ where

$$
F_{\bar{k}}=\left\{\psi \in C_{[0, T]}(\mathbf{R}): \psi_{0}=0, \exists \dot{\psi}_{t}, \underline{k} \leq \dot{\psi}_{t} \leq \bar{k}, t \in[0, T]\right\} .
$$

Now, exactly as in [2], one can prove that $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, \int_{0}^{t} c\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{s}^{\epsilon}\right) d s\right)$ has action functional $\frac{1}{6} \operatorname{Sin}_{i, j}(\varphi, \eta)$ with

$$
S_{0 T}(\varphi, \eta)= \begin{cases}\inf _{\psi \in F_{0}}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\left|\dot{\varphi}_{s}\right|^{2}}{\psi_{s}} d s+\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\varphi_{s} ; \dot{\psi}_{s}, \dot{\eta}_{s}\right) d s\right\}, & \text { if } \varphi \text { is a.c., } \eta \in F_{\bar{c}}  \tag{3.1}\\ +\infty, & \text { in the rest of } \\ & C_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

In particular, using Theorem 3.3.1 in [6], we conclude that the action functional for $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ is $\frac{1}{\epsilon} S_{0 T}(\varphi)$ with

$$
S_{O T}(\varphi)= \begin{cases}\inf _{\psi \in F_{0}}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\left|\varphi_{\dot{s}}\right|^{2}}{\psi_{e}} d s+\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\varphi_{s} ; \psi_{s}\right) d s\right\}, & \text { if } \varphi \text { is a. } \dot{c} .  \tag{3.2}\\ +\infty, & \text { in the rest of } C_{[0, T]}(\mathrm{R}) .\end{cases}
$$

In (3.2) the function $L(x, \alpha)$ is the Legendre transform of the first eigenvalue $\lambda(x, \beta)$ of the operator

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\beta}=\mathcal{A}^{1}+\beta a(x, y), \quad \beta \in \mathrm{R}
$$

As in [2], the wave front propagation for the solution $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ of (1.2) is analyzed by means of the action functional (3.1). Define, for each $x \in \mathbf{R}, t>0$, a function $V(t, x)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t, x)=\sup \left\{\eta_{t}-S_{0 t}(\varphi, \eta): \varphi \in C_{[0, t]}(\mathrm{R}), \varphi_{0}=x, \varphi_{t} \in\left[G_{0}\right], \eta \in F_{\bar{c}}\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function is analogous to a function $V(t, x)$ introduced in [2], section 3.
We say that Condition (N) (see Freidlin [4]) is fulfilled if for all $(t, x)$ such that $V(t, x)=$ 0 ,

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(t, x)=\sup \left\{\eta_{t}-S_{0 t}(\varphi, \eta): \varphi \in C_{[0, t]}(\mathrm{R}), \varphi_{0}=x, \varphi_{t} \in G_{0}, V\left(t-s, \varphi_{s}\right)<0\right. \\
\text { for } \left.s \in(0, t), \eta \in F_{\bar{c}}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

As in section 3 of [2] one can prove that, under Condition ( N ),

$$
\lim _{c \downharpoonright 0} u^{c}(t, x, y)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } V(t, x)<0, y \in D \\ 1, & \text { if } V(t, x)>0, y \in D\end{cases}
$$

Further, the convergence is uniform in compact sets. The above result tells us that the wave front at time t is determined by the sets $G_{t}=\{(x, y): V(t, x)=0, y \in D\}$. In a more general situation, without Condition (N), the wave front is described in terms of a different function. As in [2], section 3, define a functional $\tau=\tau_{F}\left(t, \varphi^{1}, \varphi^{2}\right)$ on $(-\infty ;+\infty) \times$ $C_{[0,+\infty)}(\mathrm{R}) \times C_{[0,+\infty)}(D)$ with values in $[0 ;+\infty]$ by

$$
\tau_{F}\left(t, \varphi^{1}, \varphi^{2}\right)=\inf \left\{s:\left(t-s, \varphi_{s}^{1}, \varphi_{s}^{2}\right) \in F \times D\right\}
$$

where $F$ is any closed subset of $(-\infty ;+\infty) \times \mathbf{R}$. We denote by $\Theta$ the set of all such functionals. Let us define for each $x \in \mathrm{R}$ and $t>0$ a function $V^{*}(t, x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{*}(t, x)=\inf _{\tau \in \Theta} \sup _{\varphi, \eta}\left\{\eta_{t \wedge \tau}-S_{0, t \wedge \tau}(\varphi, \eta): \varphi \in C_{[0, t]}(\mathbf{R}), \varphi_{0}=x, \varphi_{t} \in G_{0}, \eta \in F_{\bar{c}}\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{0 t}$ is the action functional in (3.1). Clearly $V^{*}(t, x) \leq(0 \wedge V(t, x)) \leq 0$ where $V(t, x)$ is the function defined in (2.1). Assuming that $a(x, y) \equiv a(y)$ and that the nonlinear term in (1.2) depends on $x$ and $y$, one can prove, as in [2], that the wave front is described by the set $\partial M \times D$ where $M=\left\{(x, t): V^{*}(t, x)=0\right\}$ and $\partial M$ is the frontier of $M$.

Using results from [5] and [7] one can show that $\bar{V}(t, x)=V^{*}(t, x)$ for $t>0, x \in \mathbf{R}$ with

$$
\bar{V}(t, x)=\sup _{\varphi, \eta}\left\{\min _{0 \leq a \leq t}\left[\eta_{a}-S_{0 a}(\varphi, \eta): \varphi \in C_{[0, t]}(\mathrm{R}), \varphi_{0}=x, \varphi_{t} \in G_{0}, \eta \in F_{\bar{c}}\right]\right\}
$$

## 4. Examples

Example 4.1. Let us consider $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ as a diffusion non-degenerated process in a bounded domain $D \subset R^{r}$ with smooth boundary $\partial D$ and normal reflection on the boundary.

It is known (see Freidlin [4]) that the infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{A}^{1}$ of this process is defined at least on the functions $f(y)$ having continuous first- and second-order derivatives up to the boundary $\partial D$ for which $\left.\frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial n(y)}\right|_{y \in \partial D}=0$, where $n(y)=\left(n_{1}(y), \cdots, n_{r}(y)\right)$ is the inward normal to the boundary $\partial D$. For these functions,

$$
\mathcal{A}^{1} f(y)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} c^{i}(y) \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y^{i}}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{r} d^{i j}(y) \frac{\partial^{2} f(y)}{\partial y^{i} \partial y^{j}}, \quad y \in(D)
$$

where $d^{i j}(y)$ are assumed to be twice continuously differentiable up to the boundary and $\sum_{i, j=1}^{r} d^{i j}(y) \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}>0$; the functions $c^{i}(y)$ are assumed to be Lipschitz continuous. A construction of such process is available, for example, in Freidlin [4] or, with more details, in Anderson and Orey [1]. In that construction the process $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ is obtained as the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d Y_{t}^{y}=c\left(Y_{t}^{y}\right) d t+\sigma\left(Y_{t}^{y}\right) d W_{t}+\mathcal{X}_{\partial D}\left(Y_{t}^{y}\right) n\left(Y_{t}^{y}\right) d \xi_{t}^{y}, \quad Y_{0}^{y}=y, \xi_{0}^{y}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{X}_{\partial D}(y)$ is the indicator of the set $\partial D, W_{t}$ is a Wiener process in $\mathbf{R}^{r}$ adapted to an increasing family of $\sigma$-fields $\mathcal{N}_{t},\left(d^{i j}(y)\right)_{i, j=1, \cdots, r}=\sigma(y) \sigma^{*}(y)$. The process $\xi_{t}^{y}$ is a non-decreasing process which increases only for $t \in \Gamma=\left\{t: Y_{t}^{y} \in \partial D\right\}, \Gamma$ having Lebesgue measure zero a.s. The random function $\xi_{t}^{y}$ is referred as the local time on the boundary.

From the construction of $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ it is derived that $Y_{t}$ is a strong Feller-Markov process, it is uniformly stochastically continuous, and its transition function has density $p(t, y, z)$ with $p(t, y, z)>0$ for $t>0$. Therefore, conditions (L.1)-(L.3) are satisfied. Conditions (L.4) and (L.5) are easily verified if we take into account that ( $Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}$ ) is a Feller-Markov family and satisfies the stochastic differential equation (4.1).

Relying on Theorem 2.2, conditions (L.1)-(L.5) allow us to apply Theorem 7.4.1 in [6] to conclude that the action functional for the family of processes $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, \int_{0}^{t} c\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{\epsilon}, Y_{s}^{\epsilon}\right) d s\right)$ is $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} S_{0 T}(\varphi, \eta)$ with $S_{0 T}(\varphi, \eta)$ given in (3.1). But now the function $L\left(x ; \alpha^{1}, \alpha^{2}\right)$ in (3.1) is the Legendre transform of the first eigenvalue $\lambda\left(x ; \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)$ of the operator $\mathcal{A}^{\beta}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\beta} f(y)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} c^{i}(y) \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y^{i}}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{r} d^{i j}(y) \frac{\partial^{2} f(y)}{\partial y^{i} \partial y^{j}}+\left[\beta_{1} a(x, y)+\beta_{2} c(x, y)\right] f(y), \quad y \in(D)
$$

with $\left.\frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial n(y)}\right|_{y \in \partial D}=0$.
Problem (1.2) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{r} c^{i}(y) \frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial y^{i}}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \sum_{i, j=1}^{r} d^{i j}(y) \frac{\partial^{2} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial y^{i} \partial y^{j}}+  \tag{4.2}\\
&+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} a(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} f\left(x, y, u^{\varepsilon}\right), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, y \in(D), t>0 \\
& u^{\varepsilon}(0, x, y)=g(x)
\end{align*}\right\}
$$

The wave front propagation for the solution $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ of (4.2) is andlyzed as in [2], but using the action functional in (3.1). The functions in (3.3) and (3.4) become respectively

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(t, x)=\sup \left\{\eta_{t}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\left|\dot{\varphi}_{s}\right|^{2}}{\dot{\psi}_{s}} d s-\int_{0}^{t} L\left(\varphi_{s} ; \dot{\psi}_{s}, \dot{\eta}_{s}\right) d s:\right. \\
\left.\varphi_{0}=x, \varphi_{t} \in G_{0}, \eta \in F_{\bar{c}}, \psi \in F_{\bar{a}}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{*}(t, x)=\inf _{\tau \in \Theta} \sup _{\varphi, \eta}\left\{\eta_{t \wedge \tau}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{\left|\dot{\varphi}_{s}\right|^{2}}{\dot{\psi}_{s}} d s-\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau} L\left(\varphi_{s} ; \dot{\psi}_{s}, \dot{\eta}_{s}\right) d s:\right. \\
\left.\varphi \in C_{[0, t]}(\mathrm{R}), \varphi_{0}=x, \varphi_{t} \in G_{0}, \eta \in F_{\bar{c}}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 4.2. Let $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ be a homogeneous Markov chain with continuous time and states $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ for which

$$
\bar{P}\left\{Y_{t+\Delta}=j / Y_{t}=i\right\}=q_{i j} \Delta+O(\Delta), \quad i \neq j, \quad \Delta \downarrow 0
$$

with $q_{i j}>0$ for $i \neq j$. The phase space is $(\{1,2, \cdots, n\}, \mathcal{B}(\{1,2, \cdots, n\})), \mathcal{B}(\{1,2, \cdots, n\})$ being the class of all subsets of $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. The semigroup $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is written as

$$
T_{t} f(i)=\bar{E}_{i} f\left(Y_{t}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} f(j) p_{i j}(t)
$$

with $p_{i j}(t)=\bar{P}(t, i, j)$ being the transition function of the process and $f:\{1,2, \cdots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Hence, we can identify the domain of $T_{t}$ with $\mathrm{R}^{n}$. It is easily seen that the infinitesimal generator of $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is

$$
\mathcal{A}^{1} f(i)=\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n}[f(j)-f(i)] q_{i j}, \quad i=1, \cdots, n
$$

Let $Q=\left(q_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots, n}$ with $q_{i i}=-\sum_{j \neq i} q_{i j}$. Then

$$
\mathcal{A}^{1}[f(1), \cdots, f(n)]^{T}=Q[f(1), \cdots, f(n)]^{T}
$$

and the infinitesimal generator is identified with the matrix $Q$.
Condition (L.1) is obvious. Condition (L.2) follows from

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} p_{i j}(t)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i=j  \tag{4.3}\\ 0, & \text { if } i \neq j .\end{cases}
$$

It is easily verified that (4.3) implies that $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ is uniformly stochastically continuous. The assumption that $q_{i j}>0$ for $i \neq j$ ensures that $T_{t} f>0$ for every $f \geq 0, f \neq 0$, i.e., condition (L.3) is fulfilled.

For each $h:\{1, \cdots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, the semigroup $\left\{T_{t}^{(h)}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ in (2.5) is a semigroup of matrices acting in the $n$-dimensional space of vectors $f=(f(1), \cdots, f(n))$. The infinitesimal generator is

$$
\mathcal{A}^{h}=\left(q_{i j}+\delta_{i j} h(i)\right) \equiv Q^{(h)} .
$$

The semigroup $\left\{T_{t}^{(h)}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ can be represented in the form $T_{t}^{(h)}=\exp \left\{t Q^{(h)}\right\}$. Clearly condition (L.4) is fulfilled. The operator $T_{t}^{(h)}$ is compact because the space is finitedimensional and then condition (L.5) is also verified.

Problem (1.2) reduces to the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u_{k}^{c}(t, x)}{\partial t}=\frac{\varepsilon a_{k}(x)}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{k}^{c}(t, x)}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[f_{k}\left(x, u_{k}^{\epsilon}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{k j}\left(u_{k}^{\epsilon}-u_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right], x \in \mathbf{R}, t>0 \\
u_{k}^{\varepsilon}(0, x)=g_{k}(x), \quad k=1, \cdots, n
\end{array}\right.
$$

This problem is a particular case of the reaction-diffusion system studied by Freidlin in [5]. We included this example here just to make more natural the construction of the next example.

Example 4.3. Let $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ be a Wiener process in $[-b ; b]$ with instantaneous reflection at the end-points (particular case of Example 4.1). Let $\nu_{t}$ be a step random process with states $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ and $P_{i j}(\Delta)=q_{i j} \Delta+O(\Delta)$ as $\Delta \downharpoonright 0, i \neq j, q_{i j} \geq 0$ (as in Example 4.2). We consider the homogencous right-continuous Markov process ( $Y_{t}, \nu_{t}$ ) in the phase-space $[-b ; b] \times\{1, \cdots, n\}$.

The semigroup on the space $B$ of bounded, measurable functions on $[-b ; b] \times\{1, \cdots, n\}$ into R associated with the process $\left(Y_{t}, \nu_{t}\right)$ is

$$
T_{t} f(y, i)=E_{y, i} f\left(Y_{t}, \nu_{t}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{E}_{y} f\left(Y_{t}, j\right) P_{i}\left(\nu_{t}=j\right) .
$$

The above semigroup can be regarded as acting on the space of bounded, measurable functions on $[-b ; b]$ into $\mathrm{R}^{n}$, i.e., $f(y)=\left(f_{1}(y), \cdots, f_{n}(y)\right), y \in[-b ; b]$. In this case, $T_{t}\left[f^{T}(y)\right]=$ $\left[E_{y, 1} f\left(Y_{t}, \nu_{t}\right), \cdots, E_{y, n} f\left(Y_{t}, \nu_{t}\right)\right]^{T}$. The infinitesimal generator of $\left\{T_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is

$$
\mathcal{A}^{1} f(y)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f(y)}{\partial y^{2}}+Q f^{T}(y)
$$

where $Q=\left(q_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1, \cdots, n}$. Conditions (L.1)-(L.5) can be easily verified similarly to examples 4.1 and 4.2 .

Problem (1.2) reduces to a weakly coupled R-D equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u_{k}^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{k}^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon a_{k}(x, y)}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{k}^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial x^{2}}+  \tag{4.4}\\
\\
\quad+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[f_{k}\left(x, y, u_{k}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{k j}\left(u_{k}^{\varepsilon}-u_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right], x \in \mathbf{R},|y|<b, t>0
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
u_{k}^{\varepsilon}(0, x, y)=g_{k}(x) \\
\left.\frac{\partial u_{k}^{c}(t, x, y)}{\partial y}\right|_{y= \pm b}=0 \quad \text { for } k=1, \cdots, n
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $q_{i j} \geq 0$ for $i, j \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$. For each $k$, the functions $a_{k}(x, y), f_{k}(x, y, u)$, $g_{k}(x)$ satisfy the same conditions given in the introduction of this paper. Here, $G_{0}=$ $\operatorname{supp}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{k}\right)$. We assume that $G_{0}$ is contained in the closure of the set $\left(G_{0}\right)$ of its interior points.

System (4.4) is associated with a right-continuous strong Markov process

$$
\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{t}^{\epsilon}, \nu_{t}^{\varepsilon} ; \tilde{P}_{x y k}^{\epsilon}\right)
$$

in the phase-space $\mathrm{R} \times[-b ; b] \times\{1, \cdots, n\}$. The process $\nu_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ is obtained from $\nu_{t}$ by taking $\nu_{t}^{\epsilon} \equiv \nu_{\frac{1}{t}}$, the process $Y_{t}^{\epsilon}$ is defined by $Y_{t}^{\epsilon} \equiv Y_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}$, and the first component $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}$ satisfies the stochastic differential equation

$$
d \tilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}=\sqrt{\varepsilon a_{\nu i}\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, Y_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)} d W_{t}, \quad \tilde{X}_{0}^{\varepsilon}=x
$$

The probabilistic representation of the solution of (4.4) is obtained from the FeynmanKac formula: One can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}^{\epsilon}(t, x, y)=\tilde{E}_{x y k} g_{\nu_{i}}\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}\right) \exp \left\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} c_{\nu_{i}}\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{\epsilon}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}, u_{\nu \xi}^{\varepsilon}\left(t-s, \tilde{X} \xi, Y_{s}^{\epsilon}\right)\right) d s\right\} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotic behavior, as $\varepsilon \downharpoonright 0$, of the solution of (4.4) is analyzed by means of the action functional for the family of random processes $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, \int_{0}^{t} c_{\nu_{s}}\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s\right)$. Since $\left(Y_{t}, \nu_{t}\right)$ satisfies conditions (L.1)-(L.5) we can apply the results obtained in section 3.

Notice that using Example 4.1, problem (4.4) can be generalized to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u_{k}^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{k}^{i}(y) \frac{\partial u_{k}^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial y^{i}}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon} \sum_{i, j=1}^{r} d_{k}^{i j}(y) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{k}^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial y^{i} \partial y^{j}}+ \\
\quad+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} a_{k}(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{k}^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[f_{k}\left(x, y, u_{k}^{\varepsilon}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{k j}\left(u_{k}^{\varepsilon}-u_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \\
x \in \mathrm{R}, y \in(D), t>0
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& u_{k}^{\varepsilon}(0, x, y)=g_{k}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k=1, \cdots, n$ and $q_{i j} \geq 0$ for $i, j \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$.
Now, the action functional for $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}, \int_{0}^{t} c\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s\right)$ is given in (3.1) but the function $L\left(x ; a^{1}, \alpha^{2}\right)$ is the Legendre transform of the first eigenvalue $\lambda\left(x ; \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)$ of the operator

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+Q+\left[\beta_{1} a(x, y)+\beta_{2} c(x, y)\right], \quad y \in(D), x \in \mathbf{R} .
$$

5. Slow motion dependent of the fast variable

Let us consider the following mixed problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial t}=\mathcal{A}^{1, c} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)+\mathcal{A}^{2, c} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} f\left(x, y, u^{\varepsilon}\right)  \tag{5.1}\\
\text { for } t>0, x \in \mathrm{R}, y \in(D), D \subset \mathrm{R}^{r} \\
u^{\varepsilon}(0, x, y)=g(x, y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The nonlinear term $f(x, y, u)$ and the initial function $g(x, y)$ satisfy the conditions specified in the introduction of this paper. The operator $\mathcal{A}^{1, \varepsilon}$ is the infinitesimal generator of the fast process $Y_{t}^{\epsilon} \equiv Y_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}$ where $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ is a homogeneous Markov process in the phase space $(D ; \mathcal{B}(D)), D \subset \mathrm{R}^{r}$ being a compact set and $\mathcal{B}(D)$ the $\sigma$-field of the Borel subsets of $D$ in the topology inherited from the Euclidean norm in $\mathbf{R}^{r}$. We assume that ( $Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}$ ) satisfies conditions (L.1)-(L.5) formulated in Theorem 2.2.

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{2, \varepsilon}$ is the infinitesimal generator of the slow process $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}$ and is defined in (1.6). We assume that $a(y)$ and $b(y)$ in (1.6) are real-valued continuous functions and $0<\underline{a} \leq a(y) \leq \bar{a}, \underline{b} \leq b(y) \leq \bar{b}$. It is important to observe and kee in mind that the infinitesimal characteristics of $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ depend only on the fast variable $y$ and the measure $\Pi(\cdot)$ does not depend neither of $x$ or $y$.

The strong Markov process $\left(\widetilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon} ; \widetilde{P}_{x y}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ is associated with the operator $\mathcal{A}^{1, \varepsilon}+\mathcal{A}^{2, \varepsilon}$. Moreover, one can prove (see for example Freidlin [4]) that there exists a unique generalized solution of problem (5.1) in the sense that it satisfies the unique solution of the generalized Feynman-Kac formula (1.3).

As in problem (1.1) which was studied in [2], the asymptotic behavior of the solution $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ of (5.1) as $\varepsilon \downharpoonright 0$ is related with probabilities of large deviations for the twodimensional family of processes $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, \tilde{Z}_{t}\right)$ where $\tilde{Z}_{t}^{\epsilon}=\int_{0}^{t} c\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{c}, Y_{s}^{c}\right) d s$. To determine the action functional for $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}, \tilde{Z}_{t}\right)$ we shall express $\tilde{X}_{t}^{c}$ as the unique solution of a stochastic differential equation.

Let us consider the stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \tilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}=b\left(Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right) d t+\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sigma\left(Y_{t}^{\epsilon}\right) d W_{t}+\varepsilon \int_{\mathrm{R}} u \mu_{q}^{\varepsilon}(d t, d u), \quad \tilde{X}_{0}^{\varepsilon}=x \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma^{2}(y)=a(y)$ and $q$ is a $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$-stationary Poisson point process in $\mathbf{R}$ (see definition in Ikeda \& Watanabe [9]) with characteristic measure $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Pi(\cdot)$. Let $\nu_{q}^{\varepsilon}(t, A)$ be the integer-
valued random measure associated with $q$ and $\mu_{q}^{\varepsilon}(t, A)$ the corresponding orthogonal local martingale measure. It is known (see [8], Vol 3) that $\nu_{q}^{\epsilon}(t, A)=\mu_{q}^{\varepsilon}(t, A)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Pi(A) t$ for all $A \in B(\mathrm{R})$ with $\Pi(A)<\infty$ and $E \nu_{q}^{c}(t, A)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Pi(A) t$. The process $W_{t}$ is a R-Wiener process starting at zero, $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$-adapted, and independent of $q$.

One can prove similarly to the proof of Theorem VI.9.1 in [9] that, for a fixed trajectory of $Y_{t}^{c}$, there exists a unique solution $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}$ of (5.2) which can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}=x+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(Y_{s}^{\epsilon}\right) d s+\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{a\left(Y_{s}^{c}\right)} d W_{s}+\varepsilon \int_{\mathbf{R}} u \mu_{q}^{\varepsilon}(t, d u) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the generalized Itô's formula (see [8], Vol 3) one can verify that the infinitesimal generator of $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}$ is given by (1.6).

We shall now derive the action functional for $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, \int_{0}^{t} c\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{c}, Y_{s}^{c}\right) d s\right)$ on $\left(\mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right.$, $\left.\rho_{0 T}\right)$. Here $\mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{m}\right)$ is the space of right-continuous functions with limit on the left and $\rho_{0 T}$ is defined by $\rho_{0 T}\left(\left(\varphi^{1}, \cdots, \varphi^{m}\right),\left(\psi^{1}, \cdots, \psi^{m}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|\varphi^{i}-\psi^{i}\right\|$ where $\|\cdot\|$ is the supremum norm in $\mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}(\mathrm{R})$.

Let us introduce the processes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon_{t}^{\varepsilon}=\int_{0}^{t} a\left(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s, \quad \xi_{t}^{\varepsilon}=\int_{0}^{t} b\left(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the proprieties of the functions $a(y)$ and $b(y)$ we can see that the trajectories of the processes in (5.4) belong respectively to the sets $F_{\bar{a}}$ and $F_{\bar{b}}$ a.s. where

$$
F_{\bar{k}}=\left\{\psi \in C_{[0, T]}(\mathrm{R}): \psi_{0}=0, \exists \dot{\psi}_{t} \text { a.e. }, \underline{k} \leq \dot{\psi}_{t} \leq \bar{k}, t \in[0, T]\right\}
$$

It is known (see McKean [11]) that there exists a Wiener process $\widetilde{W}_{t}$ in $\mathbf{R}$, starting at zero, and independent of $Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ satisfying the relation

$$
\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{a\left(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)} d W_{s}=\sqrt{\varepsilon} \widetilde{W}_{\int_{0}^{t} a\left(Y_{s}\right) d s}
$$

Then $x+\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{a\left(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right)} d W_{s}=X_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\varepsilon}$, where $X_{t}^{\varepsilon}=x+\sqrt{\varepsilon} \widetilde{W}_{t}$. Therefore, the process $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ satisfying (5.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}=\xi_{t}^{\varepsilon}+X_{\Upsilon_{i}}^{\varepsilon}+\zeta_{t}^{\varepsilon} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{t}^{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon \int_{\mathbf{R}} u \mu_{q}^{\varepsilon}(t, d u) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The process $\zeta_{t}^{\epsilon}$ is a process in R with frequent small jumps and trajectories belonging to $\mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}(\mathrm{R})$ with probability one. Moreover, it is independent of $Y_{t}^{\epsilon}$ and $X_{t}^{\epsilon}$ and has infinitesimal generator given by

$$
\Pi f(x)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left[f(x+\varepsilon \beta)-f(x)-\varepsilon \beta \frac{d f(x)}{d x}\right] \Pi(d \beta)
$$

where $\Pi(\cdot)$ is a $\sigma$ - finite measure with $\Pi(\{0\})=0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \beta^{2} \Pi(d \beta)<\infty$. The cumulant of the process $\zeta_{t}^{t}($ see $[15])$ is

$$
G^{c}(z)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left[e^{\varepsilon z \beta}-1-\varepsilon z \beta\right] \Pi(d \beta)
$$

Then

$$
G^{0}(z)=\lim _{c \perp 0} \varepsilon G^{c}\left(\frac{z}{\varepsilon}\right)=\int_{\mathbf{R}}\left[e^{z \beta}-1-z \beta\right] \Pi(d \beta)
$$

The function $G^{0}(z)$ is measurable with respect to $z$ and $G^{0}(0) \equiv 0$. It is downward convex and lower semicontinuous ; in the interior of its domain of finiteness it is analytic and the second-order derivative is strictly positive (see [15]). Let $H_{0}(u)$ be the Legendre transform of $G^{6}(z)$. This function is also lower semicontinuous and downward convex.

Let us assume that $G^{0}$ and $H_{0}$ satisfy the following conditions:
(S.1) $G^{0}(z) \leq \vec{G}^{0}(z)$ for all $z$ where $\bar{G}^{0}$ is a downward convex nonnegative function, finite for all $z$, and $G^{0}(0) \equiv \bar{G}^{0}(0)=0$. This condition means that $\bar{H}_{0}(u) \leq H_{0}(u)$ for all $u$ where $\bar{H}_{0}(u)$ is the Legendre transform of $\bar{G}^{0}(z)$; the condition of finiteness of $\bar{G}^{0}$ becomes $\lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{H}_{0}(u)}{|u|}=\infty$.
(S.2) $H_{0}(u)<\infty$ for the same $u$ for which $\bar{H}_{0}(u)$ is finite.
(S.3) The set $\left\{u: \bar{H}_{0}(u)<\infty\right\}$ is open.
(S.4) For any compactum $K \subset\left\{u: \vec{H}_{0}(u)<\infty\right\}$ the derivative $\frac{\partial H_{0}}{\partial u}$ is bounded and continuous in $u \in K$.

Then, relying on Theorem 4.3.1 in Wentzell [15], we conclude that the action functional for the family of processes $\left(\zeta_{t}^{\epsilon} ; P_{x}^{\epsilon}\right)$ is $\frac{1}{\epsilon} S_{0 T}(\nu)$ where

$$
S_{0 T}(\nu)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{T} H_{0}\left(\dot{\nu}_{s}\right) d s, & \text { if } \nu \text { is a.c. }  \tag{5.7}\\ +\infty, & \text { in the rest of } \mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}(\mathbf{R})\end{cases}
$$

Now we shall determine the action functional for the two-dimensional family of processes $\left(X_{\Upsilon_{t}^{c}}^{\epsilon}, \xi_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)$ in the space $\left(\mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right), \rho_{0 T}\right)$. Let $G_{1}$ be the operator from $\left(\mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{3}\right), \rho_{0 T}\right)$ into $\left(\mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right), \rho_{0 T}\right)$ defined by $G_{1}(\varphi, \psi, \eta)=(\varphi, \eta)$; it is easily seen that $G_{1}$ is a continuous operator. Using Theorem 3.3.1 in [6] and taking into account that $\left(X_{\Upsilon_{i}}^{\varepsilon}, \xi_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right) \subset G_{1}\left(X_{\Upsilon_{i}}^{\varepsilon}, \Upsilon_{t}^{\varepsilon}, \xi_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right)$, we can see that it suffices to obtain the action functional for $\left(X_{\Upsilon_{i}^{\epsilon}}^{\varepsilon}, \Upsilon_{t}^{\epsilon}, \xi_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right)$.

Using the same proof of Propositions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 in [2], one can show that the action functional for $\left(X_{\Upsilon_{i}}^{\epsilon}, \Upsilon_{t}^{\epsilon}, \xi_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)$ is $\frac{1}{\epsilon} \widetilde{S}_{0 T}(\varphi, \psi, \eta)$ with

$$
\tilde{S}_{U T}(\varphi, \psi, \eta)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\left|\dot{\varphi}_{\cdot}\right|^{2}}{\psi_{\cdot}} d s+\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\dot{\psi}_{s}, \dot{\eta}_{s}\right) d s, & \text { if } \varphi \text { is a.c. }, \psi \in F_{\bar{a}}, \eta \in F_{\bar{b}} \\ +\infty, & \text { in the rest of } \mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathbf{R}^{3}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

The function $L\left(\alpha^{1}, \alpha^{2}\right)$ is the Legendre transform of the first eigenvalue $\lambda\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)$ of the operator

$$
\mathcal{A}^{1}+\left[\beta_{1} a(y)+\beta_{2} b(y)\right]
$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{1}$ is the infinitesimal generator of the process $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$.
Now, using Theorem 3.3.1 in [6], we conclude that the action functional for $\left(X_{\Upsilon_{1}^{c}}^{\varepsilon}, \xi_{t}^{\varepsilon}\right)$ is $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} S_{0 T}(\varphi, \eta)$ with
(5.8) $\quad S_{0 T}(\varphi, \eta)= \begin{cases}\inf _{v \in F_{s}}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\left|\dot{\nu}_{s}\right|^{2}}{\psi \cdot v} d s+\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\dot{\psi}_{s}, \dot{\eta}_{s}\right) d s\right\}, & \text { if } \varphi \text { is a.c. }, \\ & \eta \in F_{\overline{\bar{b}}} \\ +\infty, & \text { in the rest of } \\ & \mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right) .\end{cases}$

The action functional for $X_{\mathrm{I}_{i}}^{\epsilon}+\xi_{t}^{e}$ is easily obtained taking into account that $X_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{f}}}^{c}+$ $\xi_{t}^{\epsilon}=G_{2}\left(X_{\Upsilon}^{c}, \xi_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)$ where $G_{2}(\varphi, \eta)=\varphi+\eta$. Clearly $G_{2}$ is a continuous operator from $\left(\mathcal{P}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right), \rho_{0 T}\right)$ into $\left(\mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}(\mathrm{R}), \rho_{0 T}\right)$. Using Theorem 3.3.1 in [5] once again, we obtain the action functional for $X_{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}}^{\epsilon}+\xi_{t}^{\epsilon}$ which is given by $\frac{1}{\epsilon} S_{0 T}(\varphi)$ with

$$
S_{0 T}(\varphi)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\inf _{\eta \in F_{6}, \psi \in F_{d}}\left\{\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\dot{\varphi}_{s}-\left.\dot{\eta}_{s}\right|^{2}}{\psi_{b}} d s+\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\dot{\psi}_{s}, \dot{\eta}_{s}\right) d s\right\}, & \text { if } \rho \text { is a.c }  \tag{5.9}\\
+\infty, & \text { in the rest of } \\
& \mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}(\mathbf{R})
\end{array}\right.
$$

The process $\zeta_{t}^{\epsilon}$ in (5.6) is independent of $X_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}}^{\epsilon}+\xi_{t}^{\epsilon}$. Then the normalized action functional for $\left(X_{\Upsilon_{i}}^{c}+\xi_{t}^{\epsilon}, \zeta_{t}^{c}\right)$ is the sum of the functionals in (5.9) and (5.7), i.e, it is given by

$$
S_{0 T}(\varphi, \nu)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\inf _{\eta \in F_{6}, \nu \in F_{s}}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\left|\dot{\varphi}_{s}-\dot{\eta}_{s}\right|^{2}}{\dot{\psi}_{s}} d s+\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\dot{\psi}_{s}, \dot{\eta}_{s}\right)+\int_{0}^{T} H_{0}\left(\dot{\nu}_{s}\right) d s\right\}, \\
\text { if } \varphi, \nu \text { are a.c } \\
+\infty, \quad \text { in the rest of } \mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The process $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}$ in (5.5) satisfies the relation $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}=G_{3}\left(X_{\Upsilon}^{c}+\xi_{t}^{\epsilon}, \zeta_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)$ where $G_{3}(\varphi, \nu)=$ $\varphi+\nu$. It is easily seen that the operator $G_{3}$ from $\left(\mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right), \rho_{0 T}\right)$ into. $\left(\mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}(\mathrm{R}), \rho_{0 T}\right)$ is a continuous operator. Relying on Theorem 3.3.1 in [6] we obtain the action functional for $\tilde{X}_{f}$ which is $\frac{1}{6} S_{O T}(\varphi)$ with

$$
S_{0 T}(\varphi)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\inf _{\psi \in F_{\mathrm{a}}, \eta \in F_{b}, \nu \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{c}}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\left|\dot{\varphi}_{s}-\dot{\eta}_{s}-\dot{\nu}_{s}\right|^{2}}{\dot{\psi}_{s}} d s+\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\dot{\psi}_{s}, \dot{\eta}_{s}\right) d s+\right.  \tag{5.10}\\
\left.\quad+\int_{0}^{T} H_{0}\left(\dot{\nu}_{s}\right) d s\right\}, \text { if } \varphi \text { is a.c. } \\
+\infty, \quad \text { in the rest of } \mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}(\mathrm{R}) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The action functional for the two-dimensional family of processes $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{c} ; \int_{0}^{t} c\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{s}^{c}\right) d s\right)$ is obtained in a similar way. Here we shall just point out the differences.

For each $\varphi \in C_{[0, T]}(R)$, define

$$
Z_{t}^{\varepsilon, \varphi}=\int_{0}^{t} c\left(\varphi_{s}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s
$$

The trajectories of $Z_{t}^{c, \varphi}$ belong to $F_{\bar{c}}$ with probability one. Moreover, $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ satisfies conditions (L.1)-(L.5) introduced in Theorem 2.2. Then we can apply Theorem 7.4.1 in [6] to conclude that the action functional for the three-dimensional family of random processes $\left(\Upsilon_{t}^{\varepsilon}, \xi_{t}^{\varepsilon}, Z_{t}^{\epsilon, \varphi}\right)$ is given by $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} S_{0 T}^{\varphi}(\psi, \eta, \phi)$ with

$$
S_{0 T}^{\varphi}(\psi, \eta, \phi)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\varphi_{t}, \dot{\psi}_{t}, \dot{\eta}_{t}, \dot{\phi}_{t}\right) d t, & \text { if } \psi \in F_{\bar{a}}, \eta \in F_{\bar{b}}, \phi \in F_{\bar{c}} \\ +\infty, & \text { in the rest of } \mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathbf{R}^{3}\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $L\left(x, \alpha^{1}, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}\right)$ is the Legendre transform of the first eigenvalue $\lambda\left(x, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)$ with respect to $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}$ of the operator

$$
\mathcal{A}^{1}+\left[\beta_{1} a(y)+\beta_{2} b(y)+\beta_{3} c(x, y)\right] .
$$

['sing the same arguments as in [2] one can obtain the action functional for ( $X_{\Upsilon_{t}^{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}, \Upsilon_{t}^{\epsilon}, \xi_{t}^{\epsilon}, Z_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ ) and then, as before, to prove that the normalized action functional for $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, \int_{0}^{t} c\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s\right)$ is

$$
S_{0 T}(\varphi, \phi)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\inf _{\psi, \eta, \nu}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\left|\dot{\varphi}_{s}-\dot{\eta}_{s}-\dot{\nu}_{s}\right|^{2}}{\dot{\psi}_{s}} d s+\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\varphi_{s}, \dot{\psi}_{s}, \dot{\eta}_{s}, \dot{\phi}_{s}\right) d s\right.  \tag{5.11}\\
\left.\quad+\int_{0}^{T} H_{0}\left(\dot{\nu}_{s}\right) d s: \psi \in F_{\bar{a}}, \eta \in F_{\bar{b}}, \nu \text { a.c. }\right\} \\
\text { if } \varphi \text { is a.c }, \phi \in F_{\bar{c}} \\
+\infty, \quad \text { in the rest of } \mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with normalizing coefficient $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$.
To analyze the asymptotic behavior of the solution $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ of problem (5.1) we shall follow the same approach used in [2]. Let us define for each $t>0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$ a function $V(t, x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t, x)=\sup \left\{\phi_{t}-S_{0 t}(\varphi, \phi): \varphi \in C_{[0, t]}(\mathbf{R}), \varphi_{0}=x, \varphi_{t} \in G_{0}, \phi \in F_{\bar{c}}\right\} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{0 t}$ is defined in (5.11).
Exactly as in [2] one can prove that $\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)=0$ if $(t, x, y) \in Q_{-} \times D$ where $Q_{-}=\{(t, x): V(t, x)<0\}$; further, the convergence is uniform in any compact subset of $Q_{-} \times D$. Also, if Condition (N) (introduced in section 3) is fulfilled then $\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)=1$ for $(t, x, y) \in Q_{+} \times D$ where $Q_{+}=\{(t, x): V(t, x)>0\}$; this convergence is uniform in compact subsets of $Q_{+} \times D$.

Condition (N) is a restriction. One can construct an example similar to Example 3.1 in [2] showing that Condition $(\mathrm{N})$ is not fulfilled necessarily. Using the same approach as in [2], one can analyze the wave front propagation of $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ without Condition (N).

All the results in section 3 of [2] can be proved in a similar way in this new context. The wave front is described by means of the function $V^{*}(t, x)$ in (3.4) but using the functional $S_{0 T}$ in (5.11).
6. Slow motion independent of the fast variable

In this section we study the wave front propagation as $\varepsilon \downharpoonright 0$ for the solution $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ of the following Cauchy problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)}{\partial t}=\mathcal{A}^{1, \epsilon} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)+\mathcal{A}^{2, c} u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} f\left(x, y, u^{\varepsilon}\right)  \tag{6.1}\\
\text { for } t>0, x \in \mathbf{R}, y \in(D), D \subset \mathbf{R}^{r} \\
u^{\varepsilon}(0, x, y)=g(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f(x, y, u)$ and $g(x)$ satisfy the conditions formulated in section 1 . The operator $\mathcal{A}^{1, \varepsilon}$ is the same as in (5.1). We assume that conditions (L.1)-(L.5) (see Theorem 2.2) are fulfilled.

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{2, \varepsilon}$ describes the motion of the slow variable. In this section we assume that the slow motion is a time-homogeneous locally infinitely divisible process ( $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon} ; \widetilde{P}_{x}^{\epsilon}$ ) (see definition in section 1) with infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{A}^{2, \varepsilon}$ defined in (1.7). We assume that $b(x)$ and $a(x)$ in (1.7) are bounded, measurable, and Lipschitz continuous real-valued functions satisfying $0<\underline{a} \leq a(x) \leq \bar{a}$ and $\underline{b} \leq b(x) \leq \bar{b}$. Notice that the infinitesimal characteristics of the slow motion are independent of the fast variable $y$.

As in section 5, the strong Markov process $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, Y_{t}^{\varepsilon} ; \tilde{P}_{x y}^{\epsilon}\right)$ is associated with the operator $L^{\epsilon}=\mathcal{A}^{1, \varepsilon}+\mathcal{A}^{2, \epsilon}$. Besides, there exists a unique generalized solution of $(6.1)$ and it satisfies the generalized Feynman-Kac formula in (1.3). Again, the asymptotic behavior of $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ as $\varepsilon!0$ is analyzed by means of the action functional for $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, \tilde{Z}_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)$ where $\tilde{Z}_{t}^{\epsilon}=\int_{0}^{t} c\left(\tilde{X}_{s}^{\varepsilon}, Y_{s}^{\epsilon}\right) d s$.

The fact that $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ and $Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ are independent simplifies significantly the derivation of the action functional for $\left(\tilde{X}_{t}^{e}, \widetilde{Z}_{t}^{e}\right)$. We will not go into details in this matter but just point out the main steps,

First, we use the result obtained by Wentzell [15] in Theorem 4.3.1. By assuming the hypothesis of that theorem, we can say that the action functional for $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}$ is $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} S_{0 T}(\varphi)$ with

$$
S_{0 T}(\varphi)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{T} H_{0}\left(\varphi_{t} ; \dot{\varphi}_{t}\right) d t, & \text { if } \varphi \text { is a.c. }  \tag{6.3}\\ +\infty, & \text { in the rest of } \mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}(\mathrm{R})\end{cases}
$$

The function $H_{0}(x ; u)$ is the Legendre transform of $G^{0}(x ; z)$ with respect to $z$ and $G^{0}(x ; z)$ $=\lim _{c 10} \varepsilon G^{c}\left(x ; \frac{z}{c}\right)$ where

$$
G^{\varepsilon}(x ; z)=b(x) z+\frac{\varepsilon a(x)}{2} z^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathrm{R}}\left[e^{\varepsilon \beta z}-1-\varepsilon \beta z\right] \Pi_{x}(d \beta) .
$$

Notice that $G^{\varepsilon}$ is the cumulant of the process $\tilde{X}_{t}^{c}$ (see [15]).
Secondly, for each $\varphi \in C_{[0, T]}(\mathrm{R})$, define $Z_{t}^{\varepsilon, \varphi}=\int_{0}^{t} c\left(\varphi_{s}, Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}\right) d s$. Recall that $\left(Y_{t} ; \bar{P}_{y}\right)$ satisfies conditions (L.1)-(L.5). Then, from Theorem 7.4.1 in [6] we obtain the action functional $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} S_{0 T}^{\varphi}(\phi)$ for $Z_{t}^{c, \varphi}$ with

$$
S_{0 T}^{\varphi}(\phi)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\varphi_{t} ; \dot{\phi}_{t}\right) d t, & \phi \in F_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \\ +\infty, & \text { in the rest of } \mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}(\mathbf{R})\end{cases}
$$

where $L(x ; \alpha)$ is the Legendre transform of the first eigenvalue $\lambda(x ; \beta)$ of the operator $\mathcal{A}^{1}+\beta c(x, y)$.

It turns out that the action functional for $\left(\widetilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}, \widetilde{Z}_{t}^{c}\right)$ is $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \widetilde{S}_{0 T}(\varphi, \phi)$ where

$$
\tilde{S}_{0 T}(\varphi, \phi)= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{T} H_{0}\left(\varphi_{t} ; \dot{\varphi}_{t}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T} L\left(\varphi_{t} ; \dot{\phi}_{t}\right) d t, & \text { if } \varphi \text { is a.c., } \phi \in F_{\bar{c}}  \tag{6.4}\\ +\infty, & \text { in the rest of } \mathcal{D}_{[0, T]}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\end{cases}
$$

To prove this fact we shall verify conditions (A.0)-(A.2) introduced in [2].
The compactness of the level sets (condition (A.0)) can be proved similarly to Proposition 2.1 in [2] and Theorem 3.1.1 (b) in Wentzell [15]. The lower and upper bounds (conditions (A.1) and (A.2)) are easily obtained by taking into account that $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\epsilon}$ and $Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}$ are independent and $c(x, y)$ is Lipschitz continuous in $x$.

The wave front propagation of $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ as $\varepsilon \downharpoonright 0$ is described by means of the function

$$
\begin{array}{r}
V(t, x)=\sup \left\{\phi_{t}-\int_{0}^{t} H_{0}\left(\varphi_{s} ; \dot{\varphi}_{s}\right) d s-\int_{0}^{t} L\left(\varphi_{s} ; \dot{\phi}_{s}\right) d s:\right.  \tag{6.5}\\
\left.\varphi_{0}=x, \varphi \in C_{[0, T]}(\mathrm{R}), \varphi_{t} \in G_{0}, \phi \in F_{\bar{c}}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Observe that the function in (6.5) is the same function $V(t, x)$ introduced in (3.3) but using the action functional in (6.4).

As in [2] one can prove that $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ converges to zero as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ in the region $\{(t, x)$ : $V(t, x)<0\} \times D$. Moreover, if Condition (N) is fulfilled, then $u^{\varepsilon}(t, x, y)$ converges to one in $\{(t, x): V(t, x): V(t, x)>0\} \times D$.
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