

Long-Acting Reversible Contraception*

Contracepção reversível de longa ação*

Rogério Bonassi Machado¹ Ilza Maria Urbano Monteiro² Jarbas Magalhães³
 Cristina Aparecida Falbo Guazzelli⁴ Milena Bastos Brito⁵ Marta Franco Finotti⁶
 Jaqueline Neves Lubianca⁷ Luis Carlos Sakamoto⁸ Silvio Antonio Franceschini⁹

¹Tocogynecology Department, Faculdade de Medicina de Jundiaí, Jundiaí, São Paulo, Brazil

²Tocogynecology Department, Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil

³Centro Personna de Ginecologia e Saúde da Mulher de Mogi Mirim (Center Personna for Gynecology na Woman's Health of Mogi Mirim), Mogi Mirim, São Paulo, Brazil

⁴Escola Paulista de Medicina, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

⁵Gynecology and Obstetrics Department, Escola Bahiana de Medicina e Saúde Pública, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

⁶Gynecology and Obstetrics Department, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiás, Brazil

⁷Gynecology and Obstetrics Department, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

⁸Centro de Referência da Saúde da Mulher (Reference Center of Woman's Health), Hospital Perola Byington de São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

⁹Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil

Address for correspondence Rogério Bonassi Machado, Projeto Diretrizes e Recomendações da Federação Brasileira das Associações de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia e Comissão Nacional Especializada em Anticoncepção, São Paulo, SP, Brazil (e-mail: rogeriobonassi@fmj.br).

Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet 2017;39:294–308.

Abstract

Unwanted pregnancy is a major public health problem both in developed and developing countries. Although the reduction in the rates of these pregnancies requires multifactorial approaches, increasing access to long-acting contraceptive methods can contribute significantly to change this scenario. In Brazil, gynecologists and obstetricians play a key role in contraceptive counseling, being decisive in the choice of long-acting reversible methods, characterized by intrauterine devices (IUDs) and the contraceptive implant. The vast scope due to the reduced number of situations to indicate long-acting methods should be emphasized in routine contraceptive counseling. On the other hand, gynecologists and obstetricians should adapt the techniques of insertion of long-acting methods, and engage in facilitating conditions to access these contraceptives through public and private health systems in Brazil. This study is part of a project called *Diretrizes e Recomendações FEBRASGO (Guidelines and*

Keywords

- ▶ contraception
- ▶ contraceptive agents
- ▶ contraceptive devices
- ▶ intrauterine devices
- ▶ progestins

* This revision is part of the Project Series, Guidelines and Recommendations of the Federação das Associações de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia - FEBRASGO, elaborated by the Specialized National Committees in Contraception.

Resumo

Recommendations of the FEBRASGO – Brazilian Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics Associations from the Portuguese acronym). It aims to review the main characteristics of long-acting contraceptives and critically consider the current situation and future prospects to improve access to these methods, proposing practical recommendations of interest in the routine of gynecologists and obstetricians.

A gravidez não planejada representa importante problema de saúde pública tanto em países desenvolvidos quanto naqueles em desenvolvimento. Embora a redução das taxas dessas gestações requeira abordagens multifatoriais, o aumento no acesso aos métodos contraceptivos de longa ação pode contribuir de forma expressiva na mudança desse cenário. No Brasil, os ginecologistas e obstetras têm papel fundamental no aconselhamento contraceptivo, sendo decisivos na escolha dos métodos reversíveis de longa ação, caracterizados pelos dispositivos intrauterinos (DIUs) e pelo implante anticoncepcional. A grande abrangência decorrente do pequeno número de situações que contraindicam os métodos de longa ação deve ser enfatizada no aconselhamento contraceptivo de rotina. Por outro lado, os ginecologistas e obstetras devem se adaptar às técnicas de inserção dos métodos de longa ação, bem como se engajar na facilitação de condições para o acesso a esses contraceptivos por meio do sistema de saúde pública e privada no Brasil. Este estudo, parte do projeto denominado “Diretrizes e Recomendações FEBRASGO”, tem por objetivo revisar as principais características dos contraceptivos de longa ação, além de considerar de forma crítica o panorama atual e as perspectivas futuras, visando melhorar o acesso a esses métodos, com recomendações práticas de interesse na rotina do ginecologista e obstetra.

Descritores

- ▶ anticoncepção
- ▶ anticoncepcionais
- ▶ dispositivos anticoncepcionais
- ▶ dispositivos intrauterinos
- ▶ progestógenos

Introduction

Prevalence and Social-medical Impact of Unintended Pregnancy

Unwanted pregnancies affect a large number of women in the world and in Brazil. Surveys have observed an average worldwide pregnancy rate of 133 in every 1,000 women aged 15–44, but ~ 40% of them, 53 in every 1,000, are unintended.¹ The highest incidence is in Latin America, the Caribbean and Africa, reaching rates above 60% of pregnancies.¹

More than 200 million women living in developing countries want to avoid pregnancy, but unfortunately do not use any contraceptive method.² In Brazil, in 2006, data from the National Survey on Demography and Health of Children and Women showed that only 54% of women had planned their pregnancies, and 18% of pregnancies were unwanted.³ More recently, it was observed that 55.4% of Brazilian pregnant women did not want to be pregnant at that time.⁴ Unintended pregnancy is defined as untimely or unwanted at the time of conception.¹ Knowledge about the pregnancy is important because it can result in adverse effects for both the mother and the fetus.⁵ These data may help especially developing countries, where maternal morbidity and mortality are higher.

Despite the difficulty of establishing causal relationships, some studies have found an association between unwanted pregnancy and negative repercussions in the maternal and fetal health sphere, as well as in the economic and social health spheres.⁵ These are considered risky pregnancies for their frequent association with some type of habit or obstet-

rical disorder.⁶ The most frequently observed alterations are inadequate prenatal care or delayed start of prenatal care, no reduction or interruption of smoking/alcohol use, increased incidence of abortion, prematurity, low birth weight and lower chance of breastfeeding.⁶

Studies have shown a strong association between altered or poor quality mental health in women and unwanted pregnancies. Especially in those situations in which the couple already had the desired number of children.⁷ The prevalence of psychiatric illnesses, such as depression, is twice as high among women who did not plan their pregnancies when compared to those who planned them.⁷ Studies suggest that unwanted pregnancy reduces the opportunities of education and work, contributing to reduced socioeconomic growth and, consequently, the worsening of social inequalities. This issue is considered one of the great challenges for the public health system, because it is responsible for a significant financial and social cost to society.⁵

Unwanted pregnancies can be reduced through quality of life improvement programs. The most effective programs and with the best socioeconomic results are those acting in the training and education of individuals. The implementation of preventive measures, such as promoting health information, improving and adapting care systems, and expanding techniques for women's treatment and follow-up is also necessary. Prevention through contraceptive methods is an effective way that can bring good results. One of the main causes of unwanted pregnancy is the unmet need for contraception. The lack of contraceptive methods, the

existence of few options, and the incorrect use of the contraceptive system lead to unwanted pregnancy. Thus, the chosen method and the frequency and type of use over time can reduce this risk. Among the available contraceptive options, long-acting methods are the main interventions for reducing unwanted pregnancies, especially in the groups that are at risk, given their high efficacy. By definition, long-acting reversible contraceptives (LARCs) last for three years or more, and are represented by intrauterine devices (IUDs, such as copper IUDs and the levonorgestrel intrauterine system [LNG-IUS]) and the contraceptive implant.⁸

Methods

This literature review has the objective of offering theoretical and practical knowledge about long-acting reversible contraceptive methods. The selected topics are related to effectiveness, safety, ethical-legal aspects and practical applicability.

PubMed was the searched database by using Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) that suggested treatment outcome for contraceptives, *contraceptive agents, female* or *contraceptive agents, female (pharmacological action)*. Other related terms included *intrauterine devices* or *intrauterine devices, medicated* and *3-keto-desogestrel (supplementary concept)*. The generic keyword *long-acting reversible contraceptive* was also used. The Brazilian legislation was also consulted, including the Brazilian Civil Code and the Statute of the Child and Adolescent (ECA, in the Portuguese acronym), as well as resolutions from the government and specialties societies, which were verified by bibliographical survey or quotes on the internet.

All relevant studies published until October 2016 were included. The bibliographic references of the selected articles were also used. The classification of the studies followed the classification of the Brazilian Medical Association (AMB, in the Portuguese acronym) regarding the degree of recommendation: (A) observational or experimental studies of better consistency (meta-analysis or randomized clinical trials); (B) less consistent observational or experimental studies (other non-randomized clinical trials or observational studies or case control studies); (C) reports or case series (uncontrolled studies); and (D) opinion devoid of critical evaluation based on consensus, physiological studies or animal models.

Results and Discussion

Principles of Long-Acting Reversible Contraceptives

Effectiveness

Compared with short-acting methods, LARCs are superior in terms of efficacy, providing pregnancy rates of less than 1% per year in perfect and typical use (A).⁸ One of the main advantages of LARCs in relation to short-acting reversible contraceptives is the maintenance of their high efficacy regardless of the user's motivation. Long-acting reversible contraceptives are independent of the physicians' or the

user's action to maintain their efficacy, and have the highest rates of satisfaction and continuity of use among all reversible contraceptives.

The etonogestrel contraceptive implant is the only available type in Brazil, and it has a failure rate of 0.05% and duration of 3 years (A).⁹ The copper IUD is very effective as a contraceptive, with a failure rate ranging from 0.6 to 0.8% in the first year of use, and up to 10 years of action (A).⁹ Recent studies confirm the high efficacy of the LNG-IUS, which has been associated with pregnancy rates ranging from 0 to 0.6% of women/year (D).¹⁰

Indications

Long-acting reversible contraceptives are recommended for all women who desire effective contraception, including adolescents, nulliparous, women in the postpartum or post-abortion periods, and in comorbidities that may characterize contraindications to estrogen-containing methods (D).¹¹ Thus, the great scope of LARCs can be attested by the small number of contraindications of these methods. ► **Fig. 1** shows both the conditions in which LARCs are recommended and not recommended (D).¹²

Acceptance and Continuity

Long-acting reversible contraception methods maintain their high efficacy regardless of the users' motivation, unlike short-acting reversible contraceptive methods, which rely on correct use to achieve high efficacy. Because of their typical ease of use, LARCs were dubbed 'get it and forget it' (B).¹³

Contraception experts believed the high rates of unwanted pregnancies could be reduced by increasing access to LARCs (D).¹⁴ The Contraceptive CHOICE Project was conducted with this objective. It is a prospective cohort that broke the main barrier to use LARCs: the cost. The purpose of the CHOICE Project was to evaluate the satisfaction and continuity rates among all reversible contraceptive methods, including LARCs (B).¹⁵

The CHOICE results coincided with the experts' thinking: continuity and satisfaction rates were higher among LARC users (in all age groups) when compared with short-acting contraceptive methods (86.2% versus 54.7%, and 83.7% versus 52.7% respectively). It is important to note that most participants of the CHOICE Project had low income, were at high risk for unwanted pregnancies, and 41.8% of the study participants had had at least one abortion (B).¹⁵ The overall discontinuity rate was higher among adolescents (14–19 years of age) compared with adult women (> 25 years of age). In addition, for two years, two-thirds of adolescent LARC users continued with their method, while only a third of short-acting method users continued to use their method in the same period. Adolescents in the CHOICE study had a lower rate of satisfaction with short-acting methods compared with adult women. However, satisfaction rates among LARC users were high and similar among adolescents and adult women (B).¹⁶

► **Table 1** shows the higher continuity rate among LARC users compared with users of short-acting methods for two years (B).^{17,18}

Category 1: A condition for which there is no restriction for the use of the contraceptive method.
Category 2: A condition where the advantages of using the method generally outweigh the theoretical or proven risks.
Category 3: A condition where the theoretical or proven risks usually outweigh the advantages of using the method.
Category 4: A condition that represents an unacceptable health risk if the contraceptive method is used.

Category



	LING-IUD	Cu-IUD	Implant
48 hours - 4 weeks postpartum	•	•	•
Systemic lupus erythematosus – positive antiphospholipid antibodies	•	•	•
Deep venous thrombosis, ischemic heart disease, stroke, migraine with aura	•	•	•
Breast cancer – past and no evidence of current disease for 5 years	•	•	•
Hepatocellular adenoma and hepatoma	•	•	•
Pregnancy	•	•	•
Unexplained vaginal bleeding	•	•	•
Gestational trophoblastic disease – decreasing BHCG levels	•	•	•
Gestational trophoblastic disease – persisted elevated BHCG levels or malignant disease	•	•	•
Immediate postseptic abortion	•	•	•
Cervical cancer	•	•	•
Breast cancer – current	•	•	•
Anatomical abnormalities with distorted uterine cavity, current pelvic inflammatory disease, pelvic tuberculosis	•	•	•

Fig. 1 Clinical conditions represented by categories of Medical Eligibility Criteria for contraceptive use.

Continuity rates above 80% in the first year of use are also reported in other populations, always associated to adequate prior counseling on all contraceptive methods (B).¹⁹ A Brazilian study has observed a trend in the past 15 years of more women continuing to use LARCs and depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) until menopause rather than under-

going surgical sterilization, either in them or in their partners. There was also a reduction in female and male sterilization rates in the service. The authors attribute the high continuity rate of LARCs and DMPA observed in the study to the appropriate orientation regarding the high efficacy of these methods (B).²⁰

Table 1 Continuity rate of reversible contraceptive methods in the first and second year of use according to different studies

Method	WHO ¹⁸ – 1 st year %	CHOICE ¹³ – 1 st year %	CHOICE ¹³ – 2 nd year %
Pills	67	59	43
Ring	67	56	41
Patch	67	49	39
Injectables	56	57	38
Copper IUD	78	85	77
LNG-IUS	80	88	78
Implant	84	83	68

Abbreviations: IUD, intrauterine device, LNG-IUS, levonorgestrel intrauterine system; WHO, World Health Organization.

Higher continuity rates were associated to the beginning of the use of a LARC method in the postpartum period and to higher satisfaction rates (C).²¹ A study with American students found higher acceptance rates of LARCs among adolescents with previous history of vaginal intercourse and younger age (C).²²

Counseling and Barriers to Access to Long-acting Methods

There are numerous advantages to LARCs, but their use is still below what is expected due to myths among patients and health professionals.

Counseling is critical to increase the continuity rate of the method. A national study evaluating the efficacy of conventional counseling versus intensive counseling among women who chose LARCs did not observe a difference in discontinuity rates between groups. In this study, conventional counseling

consisted of verbal guidance on mechanism of action, safety, efficacy, how and when fertility returns, adverse effects of the chosen method, and its non-contraceptive benefits.

For intensive counseling, in addition to information from conventional counseling, a leaflet was provided with a picture of the pelvic anatomy, further explanation of changes in bleeding patterns that could occur during the use of the chosen method, the mechanism of action of menstrual irregularities, and the possibilities of treatment. The authors concluded that routine counseling appears to be sufficient among the majority of women to help improve the rates of continuity and satisfaction among new LARC users (A).²³

On the other hand, a study comparing the acceptance of LARCs among post-abortion women undergoing a motivational interview versus common counseling (control) found that more than twice as many women in the intervention group chose and continued to use LARCs (60% versus 31%). Motivational interviewing is a kind of patient-centered counseling that includes reflective listening, open discussion about the advantages and disadvantages of contraceptive methods, always avoiding confrontation, to promote the patients' own motivation for behavior change. Women in the intervention group also reported higher rates of satisfaction with counseling than those in the control group (92% versus 65%) (A).²⁴

Studies have shown that the continuity and satisfaction rates with the contraceptive method are greater when the decision is made by the patient. Women prefer to decide on their contraceptive method autonomously, with less influence of the health professional, and after appropriate advice (B).²⁵

Thus, it is important to explain about all methods clearly and objectively, so patients make an informed decision. Women selected for the CHOICE study received brief information from a trained professional on the duration, efficacy, and site of implantation of all LARCs (B).²⁶ After this orientation and eliminating the cost of medications, of the 5,087 women included in the study, 68% chose LARCs, 23% chose combined hormones, and 8% chose medroxyprogesterone acetate (B).¹³ The training of health professionals is also fundamental to reduce barriers and increase access to LARCs. A study was conducted in 40 family planning services for low-income population in several American cities. It found the training of service providers had increased the counseling, selection and initiation of LARCs among adolescents and young adults compared with women in service settings that did not receive training (start of LARCs: 27% versus 12% for adolescents, and 28% versus 18% for young adults). The intervention was a continuing education course that lasted for half a day based on eligibility criteria and clinical cases, and a practical training to insert IUDs and implants (A).²⁷ The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends that health professionals provide guidance on LARCs in all consultations with sexually active adolescents. Long-acting reversible contraceptives should be the first line of contraceptive option for them, due to the high risk of unwanted pregnancy in this age group (D).²⁸ The experience and training of health professionals with LARCs is directly proportional to their supply. A study with more than 1,000

American gynecologists and obstetricians has shown that 95% of the interviewees offer IUDs to patients, while only half of those interviewed offer contraceptive implants. During medical residency, 92% were trained for IUDs, while only 50% were trained for their implantation. Continuing education in the last two years was the most associated variable with provision of contraceptive implants, and 32% of interviewees reported lack of training on insertion as a barrier (B).²⁹

A study with over 200 gynecologists and obstetricians in Latin America on the knowledge of IUDs found deficiencies and contradictions regarding their knowledge and attitudes. Of the participants, 10% did not recognize the high efficacy of LARCs, 80% answered they did not offer IUDs for nulliparous women, and almost 10% did not offer them for adolescents, even though 90% of respondents reported that nulliparous women are candidates for LNG-IUS (B).³⁰ In addition to the importance of appropriate counseling to increase access to LARCs, other barriers need to be overcome, such as the high cost of the medications. Studies have shown that LARCs are the most cost-effective reversible methods, although they are still inaccessible to the low-income population (B).³¹

The most commonly mentioned barrier for the use of LARCs is the cost of the medications (63%), followed by the women's lack of knowledge about their safety, acceptability, and expectations. The shortage of trained health professionals was a commonly cited barrier, especially among primary health care providers (49%) (D).³²

Clinical Features, Indications and Clinical Management with Long-acting Reversible Methods

Levonorgestrel Intrauterine System

The LNG-IUS has a reservoir containing 52 mg of levonorgestrel, measures 32 mm in length, and releases 20 µg of levonorgestrel per day. Through the control membrane, the system releases levonorgestrel, which starts circulating in the plasma 15 minutes after insertion. The release rate of 20 µg/day drops throughout use, stabilizing at around 12–14 µg/day, until finally reaching 11 µg/day at the end of 5 years, the recommended time for using LNG-IUS (D).³³ According to Luukkainen and Toivonen (D),³⁴ the main mechanisms of action that collaborate to obtain a contraceptive with fewer side effects and high effectiveness are the following:

- thick cervical mucus hostile to sperm penetration, inhibiting the sperm's motility in the cervix, endometrium and fallopian tubes, preventing fertilization;
- high levonorgestrel concentration in the endometrium, preventing response to circulating estradiol;
- strong anti-proliferative effect in endometrium;
- inhibition of mitotic activity in the endometrium; and
- maintenance of estrogenic production, enabling good vaginal lubrication.

As a result of these various contraceptive actions, the effectiveness rate of LNG-IUS is very high, and in several clinical studies representing over 100,000 women/year/use, a Pearl index of 0.1 was obtained (A).³⁵ Thus, the LNG-IUS has excellent contraceptive efficacy and equivalent performance

for both 'correct' and 'habitual' use (B) (A).³⁶ Its satisfaction rate showed indexes higher than 75% in the first year (A).³⁷

One of the main points of the LNG-IUS is its local action on the endometrium, leading to endometrial atrophy. This endometrial atrophy allows the appearance of clinical effects such as amenorrhea and/or oligomenorrhea, which differentiate it from patients using copper IUDs (A).³⁶ Simply put, the beneficial effects of the LNG-IUS are the following:

- increased hemoglobin concentration;
- it is an effective treatment for menorrhagia;
- it is an alternative to hysterectomy and endometrial ablation;
- it prevents anemia;
- it can be used in endometrial protection for hormone replacement therapy; and
- it minimizes the effects of tamoxifen on the endometrium.

With these non-contraceptive effects, LNG-IUS can offer alternatives to the treatment of menorrhagia, endometrial hyperplasia and adenomyosis. It offers good results in improving symptoms and menstrual pattern in women with endometriosis and uterine fibroids (C).³⁸

Clinical Management

The use of LNG-IUS may present some complications and, although not so frequent, these possibilities should be discussed before insertion. Anticipatory guidance on possible side effects helps to achieve better user acceptance, good results and, consequently, a higher rate of continuity of use of LNG-IUS. In addition, anticipatory guidance allows a greater understanding of the method by the users, and leads to a faster search of professionals or services, in case any complication is perceived. The most common side effects are:

- expulsion;
- pain or bleeding;
- perforation;
- infection;
- ectopic pregnancy; and
- topical pregnancy.

Signs of possible complications that may lead to the return of patients to the doctor are the following:

- significant bleeding or abdominal pain within the first three to five days after insertion may indicate perforation at the time of insertion or the possibility of infection or displacement of the LNG-IUS;
- irregular bleeding or pain in all cycles may correspond to displacement or partial expulsion of the LNG-IUS;
- fever or chills with or without vaginal discharge may indicate the presence of infection;
- persistent pain during sexual intercourse may relate to infection, perforation or partial expulsion;
- menstrual delay with pregnancy symptoms or expulsion of the LNG-IUS may indicate intra or extrauterine pregnancy, although rarely observed; and
- longer or non-visible LNG-IUS string may indicate displacement of the device or even gestation.

LNG-IUS and Infections

Bacterial infections may appear because of endometrial cavity contamination at the time of LNG-IUS insertion, and although acute pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) is quite rare, when it occurs, it is more common in the first 20 days after insertion (C).³⁹ Administration of doxycycline (200 mg) or azithromycin (1 g) an hour before insertion of the IUS may protect against pelvic infections, but the prophylactic use of antibiotics should not be indicated for women at low risk for sexually transmitted diseases who are candidates for LNG-IUS insertion. On the other hand, in women with a potential risk for bacterial endocarditis, antibiotic prophylaxis should be used an hour before insertion or removal of the LNG-IUS.

During the first year of use, the infection rate is low for both the LNG-IUS and TCu-380A. After three years, the rate of acute PID in LNG-IUS users is lower than that of TCu-380A users (0.5% and 2.0%, respectively). The low rate of acute PID in young women under 25 years of age stands out. In patients aged between 17 and 25 years, the difference is quite significant, with an index of 5.6% in TCu-380A users, and 0.3% in LNG-IUS users (C).³⁹ In conclusion, the risk of developing pelvic inflammatory disease associated with IUDs is quite low and related to the moment of insertion (B).⁴⁰

LNG-IUS and Perforations

Perforations are rare complications occurring in 1.3 times per 1,000 insertions. The careful insertion technique is the main form of prevention (B).⁴⁰ Perforation usually occurs when the LNG-IUS is not inserted in the direction of the uterine cavity, or when the cavity length (hysterometry) is not measured correctly.

At the time of perforation, patients experience severe pain, and the insertion procedure must be interrupted immediately. The LNG-IUS must be removed through delicate traction of the strings, which solves the vast majority of cases. Perforation may be partial or complete. Pelvic ultrasonography, particularly the transvaginal one, is of great value for the diagnosis of perforations, enabling a more appropriate conduct in each case.

In cases of partial perforation, hysteroscopy is indicated to remove the device when traction maneuvers of the strings are not successful.

In complete perforations or beyond the uterine serosa, laparotomy or laparoscopy are indicated to locate the LNG-IUS and remove it (C).⁴¹

LNG-IUS and Ectopic Pregnancy

Anderson, Odland and Rybo (A)³⁶ found an ectopic pregnancy rate of 0.2 women/year after 5 years of LNG-IUS use, compared with 2.5 women/year in Nova-T (Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany) users. Other studies have not observed the occurrence of ectopic pregnancies in patients using LNG-IUS. These numbers represent a reduction of 80% to 90% in ectopic pregnancy risk when compared with women not using contraception. For ectopic pregnancy, the approximate Pearl index is 0.02 per 100 women/year (D).³³

Thus, the risk of ectopic pregnancy in LNG-IUS users is less than 0.25% in 5 years of use (B).⁴⁰

LNG-IUS and Topical Pregnancy

Although pregnancy rates are extremely low, its occurrence in women using the LNG-IUS requires adequate conduction according to the location of the gestational sac in relation to the LNG-IUS and the gestational age at the time of the diagnosis (C).⁴²

If the device strings are visible on specular examination (gestation not greater than 12 weeks), they should be gently removed by continuous and gentle traction. If the strings are not visible on the specular examination, hysteroscopy performed by an experienced and careful professional usually solves most cases.

In cases of more advanced gestation, with the LNG-IUS distant from the internal bore of the cervix, removal attempts should be avoided, as the occurrence of failure is very high. In these cases, advice for the pregnant woman is key, bearing in mind it is a pregnancy with increased risk of abortion, preterm labor and infections. In addition, it should be monitored and examined frequently in the prenatal routine or in the presence of any sign or symptom of hemorrhagic and/or infectious complications.

LNG-IUS and Acne

The occurrence of acne (12%), weight gain (7%), depressive mood (5%) and headache are minor side effects, and most often do not require LNG-IUS removal for their treatment (D).⁴³ Severe cases are rare, and the user should be advised to remove the LNG-IUS only when there is no clinical improvement with the use of spironolactone (100 mg/day for 3 months) in mild and moderate cases, and/or Roaccutane (Hoffman-La Roche, Basel, Switzerland) in cases of more intense symptomatology (C).⁴⁴

Copper Intrauterine Device

Intrauterine devices are the most known long-acting methods, and copper IUDs are the most widely used in the world (D).⁴⁵ The device's mechanism of action is the alteration of sperm motility and decrease of its viability caused by cervical mucus with high copper concentrations (C).^{46,47} In addition, increased leukocytes and cytokines in the uterine cavity drastically reduce the likelihood of fertilization (A).⁴⁸ Despite being scarcely used in Brazil (less than 5% of sexually active women use IUDs), these methods are highly effective, low cost, and easy to use (B).^{49,50}

Copper-containing IUDs do not contain hormones, and the most widely used types nowadays are the TCu-380 IUD and the Multiload R375 IUD. They are more effective than other models with lower copper concentrations that were used in the past. They are easily inserted or removed and, at the same time, do not require that the women or their partners remember to use or apply them daily, enhancing the contraceptive effect (B).⁴⁹

The TCu-380 IUD is probably the most widely used in the world. It has a ten-year durability, and very low Pearl index (one pregnancy or less in every 100 users in the first year of use, and accumulating the rate of 3 out of every 100 users after 5 years) (B).⁴⁹ The cumulative pregnancy rate throughout 20 years in a Brazilian clinic was 4 in every 100 women/

year (B).⁵¹ Large randomized clinical trials have shown copper IUDs remain effective for 12 to 13 years (A).⁵²

Insertion of Copper IUD

Traditionally, IUDs are inserted during the menstrual period, because the uterine cervix is believed to be discretely dilated. However, the advantage of this practice is the exclusion of pregnancy. Although unusual, IUDs can be inserted at any point in the menstrual cycle if the pregnancy is safely excluded. In addition, IUDs can be inserted immediately after miscarriage, or in the immediate postpartum period (A).⁵²

For a long time, nulliparity was a reason for contraindicating IUD use. However, recent studies have demonstrated no greater difficulty in IUD insertion into nulliparous women (failure rates of insertion are similar to those of multiparous women), as well as similar acceptance, tolerability and pain compared with women with previous pregnancies (B).⁵³ Though this group is known to have a slight increase in expulsion rates in the first 6 months (B),⁵³ the World Health Organization (WHO) currently considers it category 2 of the eligibility criteria, that is, the benefits outweigh the possible harm (D).¹²

Medications to Facilitate IUD Insertion

One of the main limiting factors for IUD use is pain during insertion. Medications such as misoprostol (prostaglandin inhibitor), non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and local anesthetics have been used to try to minimize this pain. A recent systematic review found 15 randomized clinical trials (A).⁵⁴ The evidence did not show the insertion was easier, neither a reduction in the need for techniques to dilate the uterine cervix, nor higher success rates (A).⁵⁴ Only a study with women who underwent a failed insertion procedure showed higher success rates in the group that used misoprostol prior to the procedure compared with the placebo group (A).⁵⁵ The use of diclofenac plus 2% intra-cervical lidocaine also showed no positive effect on insertion (A).⁵⁶

Acceptability of the TCu-380 IUD

A recent Australian study followed a cohort of TCu-380 IUD users to learn more about which women used the method. Between 2009 and 2012, 211 women were monitored. One third of the women were under 30 years of age, 36.5% had never been pregnant, and the main reasons to choose the method were effectiveness and not wanting to use hormonal methods. The continuity rate was 79.1% and 61.3% at the end of 1 and 3 years respectively (B).⁵⁷

IUD and Pelvic Inflammatory Disease

A classic concern of health professionals was the risk of developing PID and consequently infertility in users of any IUD. Because of this 'myth,' many felt it would be inappropriate to offer the method to women without a steady partner, or to those who had never been pregnant. However, studies have shown no correlation between the use of intrauterine methods and a greater chance of developing

PID. Therefore, the method can be offered to patients previously considered outside the eligible group for their use (D).^{58,59}

Irregular Bleeding with Copper IUD

It is unclear why copper IUDs increase uterine bleeding. This may occur due to increased subendometrial vascularization in users of this method (D).⁶⁰ A comparative study between users of TCu-380 and LNG-IUS showed greater uterine bleeding in the first month after insertion of the TCu-380 with subsequent decrease until the third month, when the menstrual pattern stabilizes (C).⁶¹ No study has shown satisfactory results in the treatment of irregular bleeding with copper IUD. Empirically, in an attempt to avoid premature removal of the IUD, clinicians have used NSAIDs (to try to decrease vascular proliferation factors) or combined oral contraceptives to stabilize the endometrium. One of the causes of this bleeding may be infection and, if early-stage PID is suspected, the use of broad-spectrum antibiotics can improve irregular bleeding because they treat subclinical endometritis.

Etonogestrel Implant

Implants are plastic devices placed under the skin that continuously release progestogens. In Brazil, the only approved implant is IMPLANON, which is a single rod, ~ 4 cm long by 2 mm thick, containing 68 mg of etonogestrel (ENG) (3-ketodesogestrel), the active metabolite of desogestrel, involved in a non-radiopaque ethylene vinyl acetate (EVA) membrane (B).⁶²

The contraceptive effect is achieved mainly through consistent ovulation inhibition (C).⁴ Alongside ovulation inhibition, ENG also causes alterations in the cervical mucus that hinder sperm passage, as well as alterations in the endometrium, making it less suitable for nidation (C).^{63,64} On the other hand, after the removal, the users' serum levels become undetectable within a week, then most women show ovulation and are able to conceive within a few days after implant removal (B).⁶⁵

Indications for ENG implantation depend on the women's preference, on comorbidities in which estrogens cannot be used, and on vulnerable groups such as adolescents, drug addicts and women with HIV.

Management of Events and Adverse Events

A follow-up of more than 900 women for 3 years (C)⁶⁶ showed that among general events, complaints of headache (in 15% of patients) are more frequent during the first 6 weeks, when ENG release has a higher concentration (60 to 70 mcg/day) (B).^{67,68} The headache usually occurs at the end of the day without hemicrania characteristics and, when necessary, common analgesics are effective (C).⁶⁹ Another characteristic complaint of estrogenic action is mastalgia (10%). However, in cases of implants, it is also more frequent in the initial six-week period, generally well-tolerated, requiring mostly the reassurance of no risk of malignancy. If needed, common analgesics are effective (C).⁶⁹

A meta-analysis study found complaints regarding weight gain from 12% of the patients. Importantly, this gain with isolated progestogen methods is similar to that found in women using other hormonal and non-hormonal contraceptive methods (A).⁷⁰ The CHOICE study showed no difference in weight gain among LARC (copper IUD, LNG-IUS and ENG implant) users during the first year of follow-up (B).⁷¹ Therefore, if there is weight gain, women should be consulted about any changes in lifestyle and diet (C).⁶⁹

Acne as an adverse event was reported by 11% of the users. The most likely women to complain about acne are former users of the combined hormonal method. Because of ethinyl estradiol (EE), such method greatly increases the sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), considerably decreasing free testosterone (B).⁷² The ENG-releasing implant, on the other hand, has a neutral effect on the SHBG (C).⁶³ Therefore, the replacement of the EE method by the implant causes SHBG levels to fall rapidly, increasing free testosterone. There is no study evaluating the use of anti-androgenic drugs in the acne of the users of progestogen-only methods. However, for the management of this adverse event, it is possible to initially use 100 to 200 mg/day of spironolactone and, if there is no improvement, 25 mg/day of cyproterone acetate for 15 days/month or throughout the month, for about 6 months (D).⁷³

As the ENG-releasing implant does not inhibit the follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) (C),^{63,64} follicular cysts can occur in ~ 25% of the users after 12 months (C),⁷⁴ but these ovarian cysts are benign, with no repercussion for the women, and tend to disappear in 12 weeks. Usually, they are occasional findings, and do not cause symptoms. However, if there is abdominal pain, the use of non-steroidal analgesics or anti-inflammatory drugs may be indicated (C).⁶⁹

The main adverse event of the ENG-releasing implant, as of any progestogen-only contraceptive, is the change in the bleeding pattern and also the main cause for abandoning the method.^{23,75} To discuss irregular bleeding, it is important to know the patterns of vaginal bleeding induced by the contraceptive methods (C),⁷⁶ taking into account the number of days and the intensity of the vaginal bleeding or spotting (spotting/bleeding of small quantity with use of at most one pad or tampon/day) for a 90-day period, called reference period (RP). The following are considered: 1) amenorrhea: absence of bleeding in the RP; 2) infrequent bleeding: up to three episodes (days) of bleeding in the RP; 3) normal frequency: between three and five episodes of bleeding in the RP; 4) frequent bleeding: more than five episodes in the RP; 5) prolonged bleeding: more than 14 days of bleeding (uninterrupted) in the RP.

Studies show these bleedings are usually well-tolerated by women, provided they are well-oriented prior to insertion (B).^{23,75} Amenorrhea, infrequent bleeding and regular bleeding are considered a favorable bleeding pattern, while frequent and prolonged bleeding is considered unfavorable. As shown in **Table 2**, the great majority of women presented a favorable bleeding pattern, and only 20–25% presented an unfavorable pattern (frequent or prolonged bleeding) (C) (**Table 2**).^{77,78}

Table 2 Bleeding pattern with use of ENG-releasing implant

Bleeding pattern	ENG implant%
Amenorrhea	22-40
Infrequent	30-40
Regular	20
Unfavorable pattern	6.7 frequent + 17.7 prolonged

Abbreviation: ENG, etonogestrel.

How to Manage Irregular Bleeding?

- Guidance regarding the expected bleeding pattern prior to the insertion (B).^{23,75}
- Patience in the first 6 months is key, since ~50% of women with an unfavorable pattern have a chance to improve their bleeding pattern (C).⁷⁸
- Rule out all other bleeding causes if the pattern remains unfavorable after six months or associated pain appears (B).⁷⁹
- Treat as often as necessary, and with medications that can be used and demonstrated in studies to be better than placebo, though with different strength of evidence:^{79,80}
 - 30 mcg of EE + 150 mcg of LNG for 1 to 3 cycles with or without pause between cartons (A).
 - Tranexamic acid 500 mg - 1,000 mg every 8 hours for 5–7 days (A). The treatment can be repeated as many times as necessary, as long as they do not exceed 7 days.
 - Doxycycline 100 mg every 12 hours for 5–7 days (C). Here, the action is of decreasing metalloproteinases, and not the known antibiotic action.
 - Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (C). The most studied were:
 - Ibuprofen: 400 mg, every 8 hours for 5 days.
 - Mefenamic acid: 500 mg, every 8 hours for 5 days.
 - Celecoxib: 200 mg/day for 5 days.
- Estrogens (C): they have not shown to be better than placebo at usual doses. Because of the decrease in estrogen receptors, their action is difficult. Ethynil estradiol 50 mcg/day was effective to decrease bleeding in users of LNG-releasing implants.⁷⁸
- Progestogens-only (D): even though to date there are no studies comparing them to placebos, they have been increasingly used:
 - Desogestrel 75 mcg/day for 1–3 cycles.
 - Norethisterone 10 mg every 12 hours for 21 days.
 - Medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) 10 mg every 12 hours for up to 21 days.

Special Situations for Use of Long-acting Contraceptive Methods

Adolescents and Nulliparous Women

After a decline in the past 15 years, the rate of teenage pregnancy returned to grow for the first time in 2006 in the USA, an increase of ~3% over the rate of 2005 in women aged between 15–19 years (B).¹ Part of this can be explained by

the fact that the most popular contraceptive methods used by adolescents depend on correct use for their effectiveness.

Adolescents want a safe and effective contraception method, but find barriers to know and access the different options, often because of the high initial cost.

Guidance to adolescents about contraception should include information on all available methods, including IUDs and implants as first-line methods. However, many doctors do not feel safe inserting IUDs and implants in adolescents because they are not trained to do so. A study with predominantly medical professionals concluded that only 31% of them considered IUDs an appropriate method for adolescents; 50% would insert an IUD in a 17-year-old girl with a child, and only 19% would insert it in an adolescent with the same age without children, which goes totally against the available guidelines (B).⁸¹

Currently, the most popular forms of contraception in adolescents are condoms and the withdrawal method (coitus interruptus), followed by contraceptive pills (B).⁸² Only 3.6% of women aged 15–19 years use IUDs. The use of less reliable methods probably contributes to the 80% rate of unwanted pregnancy among adolescents aged 15–19 years.

Age and parity are not contraindications to use LARCs. Thus, they are indicated to adolescents and nulliparous women (D).¹²

There are few studies of implants in adolescents. In a retrospective study (2010–2013), Obijuru et al (B),⁸³ evaluated 116 records of adolescents using etonogestrel implants who were in follow-up in an adolescent clinic.

Although in this group 39% of the participants reported previous use of oral contraceptives, and 27% previous use of DMPA, only 14% of the patients were using the method at the time of implant insertion.

Among them, 35% used only condoms, 42% did not use any contraceptive method, only 3% used IUDs, and 3% used implants. This means the majority of sexually active adolescents (77%) patients were at risk of gestation, considering the low efficacy of condoms as a contraceptive method.

Of the 116 participating patients, 94% were nulliparous, and complete follow-up was available for 81% of them. The authors considered as early removal of the implant if it happened in less than 32 months. The implant continuity rate at 12, 24 and 32 months was 78%, 50% and 40% respectively.

Removal in less than 32 months occurred in 35% of the cases. Early removal because of uncomfortable bleeding occurred in 18% (17/94) of the patients. There was no significant association between body mass index, uncomfortable bleeding and early removal of the implant. The results indicate the continuity rate is high at 12 and 24 months, with 40% of patients reaching 32 months of implant use, a significant period of pregnancy protection (B).⁸³

There are different guidelines for IUD use in adolescents. In 2007, the committee of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (D)⁸⁴ recommended considering IUDs as first-line options for contraception in adolescents with or without children. The WHO also supports the use of IUDs in adolescents by providing eligibility criteria 2

(benefits superior to risk) for women at menarche aged 20 years (D).¹² The American Academy of Pediatrics (D)⁸⁵ also considers IUDs to be safe in nulliparous adolescents, not causing tubal infertility. Their removal is followed by the rapid return of fertility.

Health professionals often do not identify adolescents as potential candidates for using intrauterine methods. Part of this thought results from the old fear that IUDs caused pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) and tubal infertility, which would be particularly worrying in childless adolescents. Current evidence discards this association. The use of IUDs does not increase the risk of pelvic inflammatory disease of the upper genital tract above the baseline risk expected for women.

In addition to the unfounded fear of tubal infertility, the IUD is often avoided in adolescents because of the thought of its greater risk of expulsion and adverse effects in nulliparous women compared with multiparous women. A study of 129 nulliparous LNG-IUD users found an expulsion rate of less than 1% per year in women who had never been pregnant (B).³⁶ Other studies also found no increased risk of expulsion in nulliparous women, nor a relationship with endometrial cavity size measured by hysteroscope or ultrasonography (regardless of parity) (B).⁸⁶

Immediate Postpartum Period and Post-abortion

Usually, the prescription of contraceptives in the puerperal period occurs around six weeks after delivery (A).⁸⁷ On the other hand, the rates of missed postpartum consultations are high, ranging from 10 to 40%, which makes many women exposed to a new gestation (C).⁶⁹ Despite the contraceptive effectiveness of lactation and amenorrhea, in Brazil, the average period of exclusive breastfeeding is around 50 to 60 days (median of 54 days) (C).⁸⁸ Thus, it seems opportune that some women initiate contraception still in the maternity, particularly among drug users, distant dwellers, and those who would not have access to puerperium consultations. Long-acting reversible contraceptives are alternatives for these women. The WHO recommends the use of all LARCs in the first 48 hours after delivery, provided there are no contraindications to these methods (D).¹² In this situation, continuity rates at 12 months are high, and, in adolescents who used LARCs in the immediate postpartum period, there was more than 80% reduction in the risk of a new pregnancy in 1 year (B).⁸⁹

The expulsion rates of copper IUD and LNG-IUS are higher at immediate postpartum insertion, and higher than the rates observed in users of implants inserted in the postpartum period (39% versus 14% respectively) (B).⁹⁰ Long-acting reversible contraceptives inserted in the immediate postpartum period appear not to affect lactation, growth, and neonatal and infant development (A).⁹¹ The insertion of LARCs after abortion is released if the woman wishes to become pregnant (WHO), since ovulation occurs in more than 90% of women in the month following the abortion (D).¹²

Drug Addiction

In Brazil, there are ~ 370,000 people who use crack or similar drugs, of which 21% are women (78,000) and, of these, 13% are pregnant (10,000) (C).⁹²

Crack consumption has been directly associated with HIV infection. The prevalence among women is double that of men (C).⁹² In Cracolândia, an area in the central region of the city of São Paulo where crack users gather, 9% of women have positive serology for HIV (B).⁹³ The most frequent risk behaviors in this population are the high number of partners, unprotected sex, and the exchange of sex for drugs or money to buy drugs, especially among sex workers (B).⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶

Compared with the general population, morbidity is increased among female drug users with regard to abortions (16.1%), fetal intrauterine death (1.7%) and prematurity (20.6%) (B).⁹³ Female drug users in Brazil had 3.4-3.8 pregnancies/woman, and birth rates of 2.6-2.9 live children/woman (B).⁹⁵

All these reasons determine the need to avoid pregnancies in this vulnerable population due to the damages caused by drugs, and because they are high-risk pregnancies. Much has been written about the consequences of substance use during pregnancy, but there has been much less focus on preventing these unwanted pregnancies in women with disorders with the use of opioid substances and their derivatives.

The studies demonstrate the unmet contraception demand, especially for the most effective methods, compared with non-user women, such as long-acting reversible contraception, and barriers to easier access and use. A way to alleviate the problem would be for institutions to treat the use of substances in conjunction with services providing contraception to promote the use of those methods (A).^{97,98}

Approximately 35% of women who use drugs do not use any contraceptive method (B).⁹³ In a systematic review performed in 2015 (6 studies), when opioid-user women took contraception, they did it less frequently than non-users (56% versus 81% respectively). The percentages of use varied as follows: IUDs, 7%; implants, 15%; tubal ligation, 17%; oral hormonal contraceptives, 17%; and quarterly injectable, 8%.

No study evaluated the vaginal ring or transdermal patch. The use of moderately effective methods was observed as follows: condom, 62%; diaphragm, 10%; sponge and natural methods, ≤ 4%; and less effective methods, such as foam (3%) and vaginal shower (23%). The condom is the most widely used method because of its dual function of preventing sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) (A).⁹⁷ In Brazil, the efficacy of the methods should be adjusted with the availability of safe methods that do not depend on the willingness of female drug users to use them, given the difficulty in tracing this population.

With regard to very effective methods, tubal ligation can be performed as long as it is available in the basic health network, within established clinical criteria, and with informed and signed consent, avoiding the criticisms of the movements contrary to the 'sterilization' process (D).⁹⁹ Despite the difficulties in access and the rapid return of fertility after the time of use, LARCs can be offered (A).⁹⁸

When using copper or levonorgestrel IUDs, the risk of pelvic inflammatory disease should be considered, given the difficulty of performing pre-insertion examinations and tracking patients (B).¹⁰⁰ The etonogestrel implant can be

used, and it promotes safe protection against unwanted pregnancy (B).⁹³

As short-duration methods are difficult to use in drug users and have a 9% real failure rate, they should be avoided (A).⁹ Although the quarterly injectable method has up to 3% of failure rate, it can be an option, but it needs active control of health agencies (A).⁹ Condoms should always be recommended and offered, given the risks of sexually transmitted diseases.

Ethical and Legal Aspects for the Procedure of Inserting Long-acting Methods in Adolescents

In Brazil, the use of the informed consent form (ICF) in studies involving human beings was first proposed by Resolution number 01/88 of the Brazilian National Health Council, and the entire chapter IV of Resolution 196/96-CNS/MS is dedicated to it.¹⁰¹ Although the ICF was more widely used in clinical trials, the value of consent was extended to routine medical care situations. Thus, in article 1 of January 21 2016 (Federal Council of Medicine recommendation 1/2016), it is emphasized that physicians should consider the ICF in decisions about patient health care.¹⁰²

The insertion of LARCs is characterized as a medical procedure; hence, it could follow the precepts of establishing the informed consent. Age is the main controversial point, because there are differences in the interpretation of laws involving adolescents. The WHO characterizes adolescence as the second decade of life (10 to 19 years), and youth as the period between 15 and 24 years of age. The Brazilian Statute of the Child and Adolescent, in Article 2, considers people aged up to 12 incomplete years as children, and those aged between 12 and 18 years as adolescents. The Brazilian Civil Code, in turn, considers the age of 18 years for the practice of all acts of civil life. People older than 16 years can reach civilian majority for certain acts (emancipation, marriage, exercise of effective public employment, etc.).¹⁰³

The Brazilian Statute of the Child and Adolescent preserves privacy, confidentiality and informed consent as fundamental rights. The 'family power' (old *parens patriae*) of the parents or legal guardians is not an absolute right.¹⁰⁴

However, in Brazil, according to the new article 217-A of the penal code modified by law 12.015/2009, article 3, the age of consent for sex is 14 years. Article 217-A of the Criminal Code defines as 'rape of a vulnerable' the act of 'having carnal conjunction' or practicing libidinous acts with somebody aged under 14 years, regardless if real violence has occurred. That is, if a minor under 14 years of age engages in any sexual act, it may be considered sexual violence, even if the act was performed on one's own free will.¹⁰⁵

Article 228 of the Brazilian Federal Constitution establishes that "minors under 18 years of age are criminally unimputable, subject to the norms of a special legislation," and, in accordance with the constitutional norm, the Statute of the Child and Adolescent infraction regime does not follow the typical system of Criminal Law based on criminal types and minimum and maximum penalties for each offense. The Statute of the Child and Adolescent does not refer to penalties or crimes practiced by adolescents, mentioning only

infractions and social and educational measures that are not individualized for each specific conduct. There is no reference to "criminal liability" in the Statute of the Child and Adolescent.¹⁰³

The Brazilian Pediatric Society (SBP, in the Portuguese acronym) and the Brazilian Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics Societies (FEBRASGO, in the Portuguese acronym) have prepared a document stating that the "prescription of contraceptive methods" should take into account the adolescents' request, and respect medical eligibility criteria regardless of age. The prescription of contraceptive methods for adolescents younger than 14 years of age is no unlawful act of the physician, as long as the aforementioned criteria are respected. In the care of sexually active adolescents younger than 14 years, there is no longer the presumption of rape, as long as there is professional knowledge that it is not happening, based on information provided by the adolescent and careful evaluation of the case, all of which must be duly recorded in the patients' medical record (D).¹⁰⁶

As this is a difficult issue, the Women's Health Reference Center (in the city of São Paulo) provided an alternative to this situation by adopting a term of consent for adolescents aged younger than 15 years using an etonogestrel subdermal implant as contraceptive. The document is signed by the adolescent, and has the same guidelines contained in the ICF, although more appropriate to that age, in addition to the regular ICF signed by the legal guardian (B).⁹³

In conclusion, since there are many doubts in this situation, the consent of the adolescents and the legal guardians are considered for the use of LARCs, reinforcing the contraceptive counseling and suggesting the use of the ICF. These aspects still need further debate among the involved societies and public bodies.

Final Recommendations

1. Long-acting reversible contraceptives include the copper IUD, the LNG-IUS and the etonogestrel implant (D).
2. Long-acting reversible contraceptives have greater contraceptive efficacy compared with short-duration methods (B).
3. Long-acting reversible contraceptives have greater acceptance and continuity rates, and less contraindications compared with short-duration methods (A).
4. Anticipatory guidance about the bleeding pattern in each method is key, because, although low, discontinuity of the use of LARCs is mainly due to irregular bleeding (B).
5. Intrauterine methods do not increase the risk of PID (B).
6. Intrauterine methods may be indicated to women with history of ectopic pregnancy (B).
7. The LNG-IUS and the etonogestrel implant may be indicated for lactating women, including during the immediate postpartum period, because they are not related to thromboembolic events, and do not affect milk production and the infants' growth and development (A).
8. The postpartum insertion of intrauterine methods is associated with a higher expulsion rate (B).

9. Long-acting reversible contraceptives can be indicated to adolescents and nulliparous women (B).
10. Intrauterine methods and the etonogestrel implant do not increase the risk of venous thromboembolism (A).
11. The etonogestrel implant plays an important role in the contraception of vulnerable groups, such as drug users and homeless people (B).
12. The insertion of LARCs in adolescents should be performed after consent of the legal guardian as well, and the ICF can be used (D).
13. The main barriers for the use of LARCs are related to access and cost. Training the health professionals, providing proper guidance in particular, is also fundamental to reduce the barriers and expand the access to LARCs (B).

Conclusion

Long-acting reversible contraceptives are more effective contraceptive methods than short-acting contraceptive methods. They present a higher continuity rate, and have a small number of contraindications. Irregular bleeding is the main cause of discontinuation. They can be indicated for nulliparous women and adolescents, and can be inserted in the postpartum or immediate post-abortion. Intrauterine methods are not associated with increased risk of PID, provided that the technical rigors of insertion are observed. The main barriers to the use of LARCs are access and cost. Health professionals involved in contraceptive measures should prioritize appropriate guidance and training to offer and recommend LARCs.

Note

This study is part of the Guidelines and Recommendations of the FEBRASGO, and its authors are members of the Brazilian National Specialized Commission in Contraception.

References

- 1 Sedgh G, Singh S, Hussain R. Intended and unintended pregnancies worldwide in 2012 and recent trends. *Stud Fam Plann* 2014; 45(03):301–314
- 2 Darroch JE, Singh S. Trends in contraceptive need and use in developing countries in 2003, 2008, and 2012: an analysis of national surveys. *Lancet* 2013;381(9879):1756–1762
- 3 Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Pesquisa Nacional de Demografia e Saúde da Criança e da Mulher – PNDS 2006: dimensões do processo reprodutivo e da saúde da criança. Ministério da Saúde, Centro Brasileiro de Análise e Planejamento. Brasília (DF): Ministério da Saúde; 2009. 300 p.
- 4 Viellas EF, Domingues RM, Dias MA, et al. Prenatal care; maternal and child health; maternal-child health services. *Cad Saude Publica* 2014;30(Suppl 1):S1–S15
- 5 Yazdkhasti M, Pourreza A, Pirak A, Abdi F. Unintended pregnancy and its adverse social and economic consequences on Health System: a narrative review article. *Iran J Public Health* 2015; 44(01):12–21
- 6 Gipson JD, Koenig MA, Hindin MJ. The effects of unintended pregnancy on infant, child, and parental health: a review of the literature. *Stud Fam Plann* 2008;39(01):18–38
- 7 Abajobir AA, Maravilla JC, Alati R, Najman JM. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between unintended pregnancy and perinatal depression. *J Affect Disord* 2016;192:56–63
- 8 Espey E, Ogburn T. Long-acting reversible contraceptives: intrauterine devices and the contraceptive implant. *Obstet Gynecol* 2011;117(03):705–719
- 9 Trussell J. Contraceptive failure in the United States. *Contraception* 2011;83(05):397–404
- 10 Mansour D, Inki P, Gemzell-Danielsson K. Efficacy of contraceptive methods: a review of the literature. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care* 2010;15(Suppl 2):S19–S31
- 11 Committee on Gynecologic Practice Long-Acting Reversible Contraception Working Group. Committee Opinion No. 642: Increasing Access to Contraceptive Implants and Intrauterine Devices to Reduce Unintended Pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2015;126(04):e44–e48
- 12 World Health Organization (WHO). Medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use [Internet]. 5th ed. Geneva: WHO 2015. [cited 2016 Oct 10]. Available from: www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/family_planning/MEC-5/en/
- 13 Peipert JF, Zhao Q, Allsworth JE, et al. Continuation and satisfaction of reversible contraception. *Obstet Gynecol* 2011;117(05): 1105–1113
- 14 Klein JD; American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Adolescence. Adolescent pregnancy: current trends and issues. *Pediatrics* 2005;116(01):281–286
- 15 Sedgh G, Finer LB, Bankole A, Eilers MA, Singh S. Adolescent pregnancy, birth, and abortion rates across countries: levels and recent trends. *J Adolesc Health* 2015;56(02):223–230
- 16 Rosenstock JR, Peipert JF, Madden T, Zhao Q, Secura GM. Continuation of reversible contraception in teenagers and young women. *Obstet Gynecol* 2012;120(06):1298–1305
- 17 O’neil-Callahan M, Peipert JF, Zhao Q, Madden T, Secura G. Twenty-four-month continuation of reversible contraception. *Obstet Gynecol* 2013;122(05):1083–1091
- 18 Trussell J. Contraceptive efficacy. In: Hatcher RA, Trussell J, Nelson AL, Cates W, Kowal D, Policar M, editors. *Contraceptive technology: twentieth revised edition*. New York (NY): Ardent Media; 2011
- 19 Sapkota S, Rajbhandary R, Lohani S. The impact of balanced counseling on contraceptive method choice and determinants of long acting and reversible contraceptive continuation in Nepal. *Matern Child Health J* 2016 [Epub ahead of print]
- 20 Ferreira JM, Monteiro I, Castro S, Villarroel M, Silveira C, Bahamondes L. The use of long acting reversible contraceptives and the relationship between discontinuation rates due to menopause and to female and male sterilizations. *Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet* 2016;38(05):210–217
- 21 Dickerson LM, Diaz VA, Jordan J, et al. Satisfaction, early removal, and side effects associated with long-acting reversible contraception. *Fam Med* 2013;45(10):701–707
- 22 Hoopes AJ, Ahrens KR, Gilmore K, et al. Knowledge and acceptability of long-acting reversible contraception among adolescent women receiving school-based primary care services. *J Prim Care Community Health* 2016;7(03):165–170
- 23 Modesto W, Bahamondes MV, Bahamondes L. A randomized clinical trial of the effect of intensive versus non-intensive counselling on discontinuation rates due to bleeding disturbances of three long-acting reversible contraceptives. *Hum Reprod* 2014;29(07):1393–1399
- 24 Whitaker AK, Quinn MT, Munroe E, Martins SL, Mistretta SQ, Gilliam ML. A motivational interviewing-based counseling intervention to increase postabortion uptake of contraception: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *Patient Educ Couns* 2016;99(10): 1663–1669
- 25 Dehlendorf C, Diedrich J, Drey E, Postone A, Steinauer J. Preferences for decision-making about contraception and general health care among reproductive age women at an abortion clinic. *Patient Educ Couns* 2010;81(03):343–348
- 26 Secura GM, Allsworth JE, Madden T, Mullersman JL, Peipert JF. The Contraceptive CHOICE Project: reducing barriers to

- long-acting reversible contraception. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2010; 203(02):115.e1–115.e7
- 27 Gibbs SE, Rocca CH, Bednarek P, Thompson KM, Darney PD, Harper CC. Long-acting reversible contraception counseling and use for older adolescents and nulliparous women. *J Adolesc Health* 2016;59(06):703–709
 - 28 Committee on Adolescent Health Care Long-Acting Reversible Contraception Working Group, The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Committee opinion no. 539: adolescents and long-acting reversible contraception: implants and intrauterine devices. *Obstet Gynecol* 2012;120(04):983–988
 - 29 Luchowski AT, Anderson BL, Power ML, Raglan GB, Espey E, Schulkin J. Obstetrician-gynecologists and contraception: long-acting reversible contraception practices and education. *Contraception* 2014;89(06):578–583
 - 30 Bahamondes L, Makuch MY, Monteiro I, Marin V, Lynen R. Knowledge and attitudes of Latin American obstetricians and gynecologists regarding intrauterine contraceptives. *Int J Womens Health* 2015;7:717–722
 - 31 Mavranezouli I; LARC Guideline Development Group. The cost-effectiveness of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods in the UK: analysis based on a decision-analytic model developed for a National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) clinical practice guideline. *Hum Reprod* 2008;23(06):1338–1345
 - 32 Foster DG, Barar R, Gould H, Gomez I, Nguyen D, Biggs MA. Projections and opinions from 100 experts in long-acting reversible contraception. *Contraception* 2015;92(06):543–552
 - 33 Beatty MN, Blumenthal PD. The levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system: Safety, efficacy, and patient acceptability. *Ther Clin Risk Manag* 2009;5(03):561–574
 - 34 Luukkainen T, Toivonen J. Levonorgestrel-releasing IUD as a method of contraception with therapeutic properties. *Contraception* 1995;52(05):269–276
 - 35 Lähteenmäki P, Rauramo I, Backman T. The levonorgestrel intrauterine system in contraception. *Steroids* 2000;65(10-11):693–697
 - 36 Andersson K, Odland V, Rybo G. Levonorgestrel-releasing and copper-releasing (Nova T) IUDs during five years of use: a randomized comparative trial. *Contraception* 1994;49(01):56–72
 - 37 Power J, French R, Cowan F. Subdermal implantable contraceptives versus other forms of reversible contraceptives or other implants as effective methods of preventing pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007;(03):CD001326
 - 38 Fraser IS. Non-contraceptive health benefits of intrauterine hormonal systems. *Contraception* 2010;82(05):396–403
 - 39 Farley TMM, Rosenberg MJ, Rowe PJ, Chen JH, Meirik O. Intrauterine devices and pelvic inflammatory disease: an international perspective. *Lancet* 1992;339(8796):785–788
 - 40 Sivin I, Stern J, Coutinho E, et al. Prolonged intrauterine contraception: a seven-year randomized study of the levonorgestrel 20 mcg/day (LNg 20) and the Copper T380 Ag IUDs. *Contraception* 1991;44(05):473–480
 - 41 Margarit LM, Griffiths AN, Vine SJ. Management of levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (LNG-IUS) uterine perforation. *J Obstet Gynaecol* 2004;24(05):586–587
 - 42 Family Planning: A Global handbook for Providers. Baltimore and Geneva: CCP and WHO; 2007
 - 43 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Long-acting reversible contraception. London: Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2005
 - 44 Karri K, Mowbray D, Adams S, Rendal JR. Severe seborrheic dermatitis: side-effect of the Mirena intra-uterine system. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care* 2006;11(01):53–54
 - 45 World Contraceptive Use. 2007 [Internet]. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Population Division. [cited 2017 Feb 20]. Available from: <http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/contraceptive2007/contraceptive2007.htm>
 - 46 Jonsson B, Landgren BM, Eneroth P. Effects of various IUDs on the composition of cervical mucus. *Contraception* 1991;43(05):447–458
 - 47 Roblero L, Guadarrama A, Lopez T, Zegers-Hochschild F. Effect of copper ion on the motility, viability, acrosome reaction and fertilizing capacity of human spermatozoa in vitro. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 1996;8(05):871–874
 - 48 O'Brien PA, Marfleet C. Frameless versus classical intrauterine device for contraception. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005;(01):CD003282
 - 49 Sivin I, Batár I. State-of-the-art of non-hormonal methods of contraception: III. Intrauterine devices. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care* 2010;15(02):96–112
 - 50 Bahamondes L, Díaz J, Petta C, Monteiro I, Monteiro CD, Regina CH. Comparison of the performances of TCu380A and TCu380S IUDs up to five years. *Adv Contracept* 1999;15(04):275–281
 - 51 Long-term reversible contraception. Twelve years of experience with the TCu380A and TCu220C. *Contraception* 1997;56(06):341–352
 - 52 Bahamondes L, Bottura BF, Bahamondes MV, et al. Estimated disability-adjusted life years averted by long-term provision of long acting contraceptive methods in a Brazilian clinic. *Hum Reprod* 2014;29(10):2163–2170
 - 53 Díaz J, Pinto Neto AM, Bahamondes L, Díaz M, Arce XE, Castro S. Performance of the copper T 200 in parous adolescents: are copper IUDs suitable for these women? *Contraception* 1993;48(01):23–28
 - 54 Zapata LB, Jatlaoui TC, Marchbanks PA, Curtis KM. Medications to ease intrauterine device insertion: a systematic review. *Contraception* 2016;94(06):739–759
 - 55 Bahamondes MV, Espejo-Arce X, Bahamondes L. Effect of vaginal administration of misoprostol before intrauterine contraceptive insertion following previous insertion failure: a double blind RCT. *Hum Reprod* 2015;30(08):1861–1866
 - 56 Fouda UM, Salah Eldin NM, Elsetohy KA, Tolba HA, Shaban MM, Sobh SM. Diclofenac plus lidocaine gel for pain relief during intrauterine device insertion. A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study. *Contraception* 2016;93(06):513–518
 - 57 Bateson D, Harvey C, Trinh L, Stewart M, Black KI. User characteristics, experiences and continuation rates of copper intrauterine device use in a cohort of Australian women. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 2016;56(06):655–661
 - 58 Mishell DR Jr. Intrauterine devices: mechanisms of action, safety, and efficacy. *Contraception* 1998;58(3, Suppl):455–53S, quiz 70S
 - 59 d'Arcangues C. Worldwide use of intrauterine devices for contraception. *Contraception* 2007;75(6, Suppl):S2–S7
 - 60 Jiménez MF, Vetori D, Fagundes PA, de Freitas FM, Cunha-Filho JS. Subendometrial microvascularization and uterine artery blood flow in IUD-induced side effects (levonorgestrel intrauterine system and copper intrauterine device). *Contraception* 2008;78(04):324–327
 - 61 Suvisaari J, Lähteenmäki P. Menstrual bleeding patterns in copper IUD and IUS users. *Contraception* 1996;54(04):201–208
 - 62 Croxatto HB, Urbancsek J, Massai R, Coelingh Bennink H, van Beek A; Implanon Study Group. A multicentre efficacy and safety study of the single contraceptive implant Implanon. *Hum Reprod* 1999;14(04):976–981
 - 63 Mäkäräinen L, van Beek A, Tuomivaara L, Asplund B, Coelingh Bennink H. Ovarian function during the use of a single contraceptive implant: Implanon compared with Norplant. *Fertil Steril* 1998;69(04):714–721
 - 64 Croxatto HB, Mäkäräinen L. The pharmacodynamics and efficacy of Implanon. An overview of the data. *Contraception* 1998;

- 58(6, Suppl)91S–97S Retraction in: Rekers H, Affandi B. Contraception. 2004 Nov;70(5):433
- 65 Darney P, Patel A, Rosen K, Shapiro LS, Kaunitz AM. Safety and efficacy of a single-rod etonogestrel implant (Implanon): results from 11 international clinical trials. *Fertil Steril* 2009;91(05):1646–1653
- 66 Blumenthal PD, Gemzell-Danielsson K, Marintcheva-Petrova M. Tolerability and clinical safety of Implanon. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care* 2008;13(Suppl 1):29–36
- 67 Organon Pharmaceuticals USA. Implanon, etonogestrel implant. [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2016 Oct 11]. Available from: <https://dailymed.nlm.nih.gov/dailymed/archives/fdaDrugInfo.cfm?archiveid=63647>
- 68 Huber J, Wenzl R. Pharmacokinetics of Implanon. An integrated analysis. *Contraception* 1998;58(6, Suppl)85S–90S
- 69 Family planning: a global handbook for providers. Baltimore and Geneva: CCP and WHO [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2016 July 12]. Available from: <http://www.glowm.com/pdf/Family%20planning%20-%20a%20global%20handbook%20for%20providers.pdf>
- 70 Lopez LM, Edelman A, Chen M, Otterness C, Trussell J, Helmerhorst FM. Progestin-only contraceptives: effects on weight. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;7(07):CD008815
- 71 Vickery Z, Madden T, Zhao Q, Secura GM, Allsworth JE, Peipert JF. Weight change at 12 months in users of three progestin-only contraceptive methods. *Contraception* 2013;88(04):503–508
- 72 Burrows LJ, Basha M, Goldstein AT. The effects of hormonal contraceptives on female sexuality: a review. *J Sex Med* 2012;9(09):2213–2223
- 73 Martin KA, Chang RJ, Ehrmann DA, et al. Evaluation and treatment of hirsutism in premenopausal women: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2008;93(04):1105–1120
- 74 Hidalgo MM, Lisondo C, Juliato CT, Espejo-Arce X, Monteiro I, Bahamondes L. Ovarian cysts in users of Implanon and Jadelle subdermal contraceptive implants. *Contraception* 2006;73(05):532–536
- 75 Rubenstein J, Rubenstein P, Barter J, Pittrof R. Counselling styles and their effect on subdermal contraceptive implant continuation rates. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care* 2011;16(03):225–228
- 76 Belsey EM, Machin D, d’Arcangues C. The analysis of vaginal bleeding patterns induced by fertility regulating methods. World Health Organization Special Programme of Research, Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction. *Contraception* 1986;34(03):253–260
- 77 Guazzelli CA, de Queiroz FT, Barbieri M, Torloni MR, de Araujo FF. Etonogestrel implant in postpartum adolescents: bleeding pattern, efficacy and discontinuation rate. *Contraception* 2010;82(03):256–259
- 78 Mansour D, Korver T, Marintcheva-Petrova M, Fraser IS. The effects of Implanon on menstrual bleeding patterns. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care* 2008;13(Suppl 1):13–28
- 79 Mansour D, Bahamondes L, Critchley H, Darney P, Fraser IS. The management of unacceptable bleeding patterns in etonogestrel-releasing contraceptive implant users. *Contraception* 2011;83(03):202–210
- 80 Abdel-Aleem H, d’Arcangues C, Vogelsong KM, Gaffield ML, Gülmezoglu AM. Treatment of vaginal bleeding irregularities induced by progestin only contraceptives. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;10:CD003449
- 81 Madden T, Allsworth JE, Hladky KJ, Secura GM, Peipert JF. Intrauterine contraception in Saint Louis: a survey of obstetrician and gynecologists’ knowledge and attitudes. *Contraception* 2010;81(02):112–116
- 82 Abma JC, Martinez GM, Copen CE. Teenagers in the United States: sexual activity, contraceptive use, and childbearing, national survey of family growth 2006–2008. *Vital Health Stat* 23 2010;30(30):1–47
- 83 Obijuru L, Bumpus S, Auinger P, Baldwin CD. Etonogestrel Implants in Adolescents: Experience, Satisfaction, and Continuation. *J Adolesc Health* 2016;58(03):284–289
- 84 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 392, December 2007. Intrauterine device and adolescents. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007;110(06):1493–1495
- 85 Ott MA, Sucato GS; Committee on Adolescence. Contraception for adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2014;134(04):e1257–e1281 Review
- 86 Lyus R, Lohr P, Prager S; Board of the Society of Family Planning. Use of the Mirena LNG-IUS and Paragard CuT380A intrauterine devices in nulliparous women. *Contraception* 2010;81(05):367–371
- 87 Phillips SJ, Tepper NK, Kapp N, Nanda K, Temmerman M, Curtis KM. Progestogen-only contraceptive use among breastfeeding women: a systematic review. *Contraception* 2016;94(03):226–252
- 88 Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Pesquisa de prevalência de aleitamento materno em municípios brasileiros [Internet]. Brasília (DF): Ministério da Saúde; 2010. [cited 2017 Fev 20]. Available from: <http://www.fiocruz.br/redeblh/media/pamuni.pdf>
- 89 Tocce KM, Sheeder JL, Teal SB. Rapid repeat pregnancy in adolescents: do immediate postpartum contraceptive implants make a difference? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2012;206(06):481.e1–481.e7
- 90 Fusco CL, Silva RdeS, Andreoni S. Unsafe abortion: social determinants and health inequities in a vulnerable population in São Paulo, Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica* 2012;28(04):709–719
- 91 Braga GC, Ferriolli E, Quintana SM, Ferriani RA, Pfrimer K, Vieira CS. Immediate postpartum initiation of etonogestrel-releasing implant: A randomized controlled trial on breastfeeding impact. *Contraception* 2015;92(06):536–542
- 92 Bastos FI, Bertoni N. Pesquisa nacional sobre uso de crack: quem são os usuários de crack e/ou similares do Brasil? Quantos são nas capitais brasileiras? [Internet]. Rio de Janeiro: ICICT - Fio Cruz, 2014. [cited 2017 Fev 20]. Available from: <http://www.obid.senad.gov.br/portais/OBID/biblioteca/documentos/Relatorios/329786.pdf>
- 93 Sakamoto LC, Malavasi AL, Karasin AL, Frajzinger RC, Araújo MR, Gebrim LH. Prevenção de gestações não planejadas com implante subdérmico em mulheres da Cracolândia, São Paulo. *Reprod Clim* 2015;30(03):102–107
- 94 Brasiliano S, Hochgraf PB, Torres RS. Comportamento sexual de mulheres dependentes químicas. *Rev Bras Psiquiatr* 2002;24(Suppl 2):5–25
- 95 Nappo AS, Sanches ZM, Oliveira LG, et al. Comportamento de risco de mulheres usuárias de crack em relação às DST/Aids. São Paulo: CEBRID; 2004
- 96 von Diemen L, De Boni R, Kessler F, Benzano D, Pechansky F. Risk behaviors for HCV- and HIV-seroprevalence among female crack users in Porto Alegre, Brazil. *Arch Women Ment Health* 2010;13(03):185–191
- 97 Terplan M, Hand DJ, Hutchinson M, Salisbury-Afshar E, Heil SH. Contraceptive use and method choice among women with opioid and other substance use disorders: A systematic review. *Prev Med* 2015;80:23–31
- 98 Black KI, Day CA. Improving access to long-acting contraceptive methods and reducing unplanned pregnancy among women with substance use disorders. *Subst Abuse* 2016;10(Suppl 1):27–33
- 99 American Public Health Association. Opposition to the CRACK campaign. *Am J Public Health* 2001;91(03):516–517
- 100 Pagano ME, Maietti CM, Levine AD. Risk factors of repeated infectious disease incidence among substance-dependent girls and boys court-referred to treatment. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* 2015;41(03):230–236
- 101 Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Resolução n. 196, de 10 de outubro de 1996: Diretrizes e normas regulamentadoras de pesquisas

- envolvendo seres humanos. Brasília (DF): Ministério da Saúde; 1996
- 102 Gallo JH. Conselho Federal de Medicina. Recomendação CFM No 1/2016.103. Dispõe sobre o processo de obtenção de consentimento livre e esclarecido na assistência médica. Brasília (DF): CFM; 2016
- 103 Veronense JR. Medidas socioeducativas: sinônimo de pena? Âmbito Jurídico. Disponível em www.ambitojuridico.com.br/artigo/index. (Accessed April 2015).
- 104 Brasil. Estatuto da Criança e do Adolescente. Lei no 8.069, de 13 de julho de 1990. Dispõe sobre o Estatuto da Criança e do Adolescente e da outras providencias. Brasília (DF): Diário Oficial da União; 1990
- 105 Brasil L. Decretos. Lei no 12.015, de 07 de agosto de 2009. Altera o Título VI da Parte Especial do Decreto-Lei no 2.848, de 7 de dezembro de 1940 - Código Penal, e o art. 1o da Lei no 8.072, de 25 de julho de 1990, que dispõe sobre os crimes hediondos, nos termos do inciso XLIII do art. 5o da Constituição Federal. Brasília (DF): Diário Oficial da União; 2009; 10 ago.
- 106 Brasileira de Pediatria S. Federação Brasileira das Sociedades de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia. Contracepção ética: diretrizes atuais durante adolescência. *Adolesc Saude* 2005;2(02):6-7